Value and risk of percutaneous liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis and clinical suspicion of autoimmune hepatitis

Pimsiri Sripongpun,^{1,2} Ananya Pongpaibul,³ Phunchai Charatcharoenwitthaya ¹

Tocite: Sripongpun P, Pongpaibul A, Charatcharoenwitthaya P. Value and risk of percutaneous liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis and clinical suspicion of autoimmune hepatitis. *BMJ Open Gastro* 2021;**8**:e000701. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2021-000701

Received 4 May 2021
Accepted 20 July 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective The decision regarding whether to perform a liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis and clinically suspected autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) remains a challenge. This study aimed to assess the utility and complications of percutaneous liver biopsy in cirrhosis for differentiating AIH from other liver conditions.

Methods A clinicopathological database of patients undergoing percutaneous liver biopsies for suspected AIH (unexplained hepatitis with elevated γ -globulin and autoantibody seropositivity) was reviewed to identify patients presenting with cirrhosis. Biopsy slides were reviewed by an experienced hepatopathologist who was blinded to clinical data.

Results In 207 patients who underwent liver biopsy for suspected AIH, 59 patients (mean age: 59.0 $\pm$ 12.0 years, 83.1% female) had clinically diagnosis of cirrhosis. Mean Child-Turcotte-Pugh score was 6.6 $\pm$ 1.6, and 44% of patients had a Child-Turcotte-Pugh score $\geq$ 7. According to the revised International AIH Group (IAIHG) criteria, histology assessment combined with clinical information facilitated a diagnosis of AIH or overlap syndrome of AIH and primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) in 81.4% of cases. Liver biopsy identified other aetiologies, including PBC (n=2), non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (n=6) and cryptogenic cirrhosis (n=3). A reliable diagnosis of AIH could be made using histological category of the simplified criteria in 69.2% and 81.8% of cases using IAIHG scores before biopsy of <10 and 10–15, respectively. Three patients with cirrhosis (5.1%) experienced bleeding following biopsy, but none of 148 patients with non-cirrhosis had bleeding complication (p=0.022).

Conclusion Liver biopsy provides important diagnostic information for the management of patients with cirrhosis and suspected AIH, but the procedure is associated with significant risk.

INTRODUCTION

The International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group (IAIHG) affirmed the role of liver biopsy in the diagnosis of AIH in both their revised and simplified diagnostic criteria.^{1 2} Biochemical and immunological tests are insufficiently specific on their own to make a definite diagnosis of AIH. Given its wide range of clinical manifestations and characteristics, but not pathognomonic biochemical and immunological

Summary box

What is already known about this subject?

- ▶ The process of diagnosing autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) continues to be a challenge, in particular for those who present with manifestations of cirrhosis.

What are the new findings?

- ▶ Liver biopsy evaluation provides valuable histology information for discriminating AIH-related cirrhosis from other aetiologies; however, it carries a significant risk of bleeding following the procedure.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- ▶ A liver biopsy provides additional essential diagnostic information that would enhance our understanding and management of unexplained advanced liver disease.

features, AIH can mimic other liver diseases. In patients with a chronic hepatitis pattern, some elderly women with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)-related cirrhosis may show increased γ -globulin and positive autoantibodies.^{3 4} Thus—when using the diagnostic scoring systems for AIH,^{1 2} some patients with NASH may be misdiagnosed as having probable AIH. Hence, the process of diagnosing AIH continues to be a challenge, in particular for those who present with manifestations of cirrhosis.

A liver biopsy can identify patients with overlap syndromes, and is particularly useful in patients with biochemical cholestasis. A recent position statement by the IAIHG recommends that these patients should be classified and treated according to the predominant features of their disease.⁵ Moreover, liver biopsy provides prognostic information relating to the subsequent development of progressive fibrosis and the risk of liver-related death or transplantation, and it yields predictive information regarding response to treatment. Patients with AIH-related cirrhosis or bridging necrosis on liver

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Medicine, Mahidol University Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand

²Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkla, Thailand

³Pathology, Mahidol University Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand

Correspondence to

Dr Phunchai Charatcharoenwitthaya; phunchai@yahoo.com

biopsy have a poorer prognosis than those without.⁶⁻⁹ However, these patients usually have steroid-responsive disease, so proactive treatment is warranted. Therefore, liver biopsy should be performed in all patients with clinical suspicion of AIH, and in patients with overlapping features between/among disorders within the spectrum of autoimmune liver diseases unless there is a significant contraindication for biopsy.

Numerous reports have described the safety and complication rate of percutaneous liver biopsy. Minor complications of the procedure include pain at the biopsy site and vasovagal episodes.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ The most common complication of major concern is bleeding, which occurs in 0.8%–1.7% of liver biopsies,¹⁰⁻¹⁸ and the reported mortality rate ranged from 0% to 0.14%.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ The complication risk is presumed to be higher in patients with advanced liver disease, but detailed analyses of biopsy complications in patients with cirrhosis are scarce.

The main objective of this analysis was to assess the utility and safety of percutaneous liver biopsy for differentiating AIH from other conditions in patients with cirrhosis. A liver biopsy would provide additional essential diagnostic information that would enhance our understanding and management of unexplained advanced liver disease.

METHODS

Study population

We search clinical and liver biopsy databases to identify patients with a clinical suspicion of AIH in Siriraj Hospital (Bangkok, Thailand) during 2000-2015. The eligible criteria were as follows: (1) unexplained elevation of aminotransferase with elevated γ -globulin, and positive for the non-organ-specific antibodies known to be associated with AIH and (2) appropriate exclusion of other liver diseases, such as hepatitis B or C virus, alcohol, haemochromatosis, Wilson's disease and drug-induced hepatotoxicity. A total of 386 patients with suspected

AIH were identified. Empiric treatment with immunosuppressants was initiated for 144 patients who presented with acute liver failure (n=22), acute severe hepatitis (n=56), acute flares on chronic liver disease (n=29), and cirrhosis with hepatic decompensation (n=37), as shown in figure 1. This group of patients with probable or definite AIH based on the 1999 revised IAIHG criteria were excluded due to the lack of histological evaluation before treatment. For the main objective of the study, 207 patients with available pathological slides for review were included in the analysis. Before the liver biopsy examination, the diagnosis of cirrhosis was established by the clinical manifestations, laboratory investigations and imaging studies.

Clinical and laboratory evaluations

Relevant clinical, biochemical and serological information at the time of liver biopsy were collected. Laboratory tests included serological markers, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total bilirubin, albumin, γ -globulin, immunoglobulins and standard haematological indices. Antinuclear antibody (ANA), smooth muscle antibody (SMA), liver-kidney-microsomal antibody and anti-mitochondrial antibody (AMA) were detected by indirect immunofluorescence, and a titre of 1:40 or greater was considered positive. The revised IAIHG score with and without histology assessment was calculated before the administration of specific therapy.¹ Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) score and the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score were calculated at the time of liver biopsy.

Histological and clinicopathological diagnosis

All biopsy slides were reviewed by a single experienced hepatopathologist (AP) who was blinded to all other clinical information. Histological features were categorised into one of the following three categories: atypical histology, histology compatible with AIH, or typical

Figure 1 Flow chart of the study population. AIH, autoimmune hepatitis.

histology according to the 2008 IAIHG simplified scoring system.² In brief, the presence of interface hepatitis with lymphocytic or lymphoplasmacytic infiltrates in portal tracts and extending into the lobule, emperipolesis (active penetration by one cell into and through a larger cell), and hepatic rosette formation was considered to be typical AIH histology. Compatible feature was a finding of chronic hepatitis with lymphocytic infiltration without any of the features considered typical. Histology was considered atypical when there was evidence of an alternative diagnosis.

A diagnosis of AIH was established based on the 1999 revised IAIHG criteria.¹ A diagnosis of primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) was made when at least two of the following three criteria were met: biochemical evidence of cholestasis based on ALP elevation; the presence of AMA; and/or histological evidence of non-suppurative destructive cholangitis and destruction of interlobular bile ducts.¹⁹ AIH-PBC overlap syndrome was diagnosed when two out of the following three PBC criteria (serum ALP level at least twice the upper limit of normal (ULN); presence of AMA; and/or liver histology showing florid bile duct lesion), and two out of the following three AIH criteria (serum ALT levels at least five times ULN; serum IgG levels at twice ULN or presence of SMA; and/or liver histology showing moderate or severe periportal or peri-septal lymphocytic piecemeal necrosis) were met.²⁰ A diagnosis of NASH was established by the presence of a characteristic pattern of steatosis, lobular inflammation, hepatocyte ballooning, Mallory-Denk body, and pericellular fibrosis at the centrilobular area on the liver biopsies in the absence of significant alcohol consumption.²¹

Complications of liver biopsy

To assess the risk of percutaneous liver biopsy among patients with cirrhosis, we compared the number and types of procedure-related complications in patients with suspected AIH-related cirrhosis with those of cases with suspected AIH but no clinical features of cirrhosis at biopsy evaluation during the same period. All adverse events that occurred within 30 days after liver biopsy were recorded and reviewed to determine their relationship with the biopsy. Serious adverse events were defined as complications requiring a blood transfusion, or complications that led to perforation of an organ, surgery, or death.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data are summarised as frequencies and percentages, and continuous data as mean (SD) or median (IQR) as appropriate. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables, and Fisher's exact test or χ^2 test for dichotomous variables. All statistical testing was performed at the conventional two-tailed α level of 0.05. The software package SPSS Statistics version V.18.0 (SPSS) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study cohort

Of the 207 patients who underwent liver biopsy for suspected AIH, 59 patients had the clinical presentation of cirrhosis. The clinical characteristics of patients with cirrhosis are summarised in table 1. The mean age at the time of the biopsy was 59.0 ± 12.0 years, and 49 (83.1%) patients were female. The median level of serum ALT was 94 IU/L (IQR: 53–165), the mean level of γ -globulin was 4.8 ± 1.1 g/dL. The mean MELD score was 10.8 ± 4.5 . The average CTP score was 6.6 ± 1.6 , and 56% and 44% of patients were in CTP class A and CTP class B, respectively. According to the 1999 revised IAIHG criteria, 46 patients (78%) were classified as probable AIH (pre-biopsy IAIHG score of 10–15), and the remaining 13 patients (22%) had a score less than 10 before liver biopsy evaluation (figure 2).

Clinical and laboratory features

By weighting of clinical, biochemical and histological parameters, 48 patients with cirrhosis satisfied the descriptive AIH criteria proposed by the IAIHG. Among those, 38 patients had a diagnosis of pure AIH, while ten patients were identified with AIH-PBC overlap syndrome. The remaining 11 patients had a diagnosis of PBC (n=2), NASH (n=6), or cryptogenic cirrhosis (n=3). Clinical and laboratory features of AIH-related cirrhosis and other causes of cirrhosis at the time of biopsy are presented in table 1. There was no significant difference in the clinical characteristics of AIH patients versus those of patients with other liver diseases, as shown in table 1. Notably, ANA was often found in AIH patients than those with other liver diseases. In the subgroup of AIH cases, AIH-PBC overlap syndrome patients were more likely to have a cholestatic pattern of liver enzymes with seropositivity for AMA, and less impaired hepatic function, as indicated by lower INR values and MELD scores than pure AIH patients. Patients with a pre-biopsy IAIHG score <10 were often diagnosed with AIH-PBC overlap syndrome compared with those with a pre-biopsy IAIHG score of 10–15 (38.5% vs. 10.9%, $p=0.019$).

Comparisons of histological features between AIH and other liver diseases

Significant overlap of histological findings was observed between AIH and other liver diseases (table 2). Interface hepatitis and lymphocytic/lymphoplasmacytic infiltrates in portal tracts with extension into the lobule were present in all cases of AIH and in almost patients with other liver diseases, but the level of severity was greater among AIH ($p<0.05$). Emperipolesis and rosette formation tended to be more frequent in AIH. AIH had more lobular inflammation, which is associated with a higher degree of injury ($p=0.038$). Steatosis ($p=0.046$) and Mallory-Denk body ($p=0.008$) were features that significantly favoured NASH.

We also analysed 10 cases of AIH-PBC overlap syndrome vs 38 pure AIH to identify potentially discriminating

Table 1 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with cirrhosis compared between those with autoimmune hepatitis and those with other liver diseases

Characteristics	Autoimmune hepatitis			Other liver diseases (n=11)	P value*
	All AIH (n=48)	Pure AIH (n=38)	AIH-PBC (n=10)		
Age (years)	58.6±12.3	60.1±12.0	53.0±12.5	60.6±11.1	0.625
Female gender	39 (81.3%)	30 (79.0%)	9 (90%)	10 (90.9%)	0.670
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.8±3.8	24.3±3.4	22.5±4.8	29.2±7.0	0.089
Comorbidity					
Diabetes mellitus	6 (12.5%)	5 (13.6%)	1 (10%)	4 (36.4%)	0.079
Hypertension	15 (31.3%)	12 (31.6%)	3 (30%)	5 (45.5%)	0.373
Dyslipidaemia	6 (12.5%)	6 (15.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (18.2%)	0.635
Extrahepatic autoimmune disorder	3 (6.3%)	3 (7.9%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (9.1%)	0.572
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	1.8 (1.0–6.1)	2.2 (1.1–6.3)	1.5 (0.9–4.5)	1.1 (0.7–4.3)	0.245
AST (IU/L)	113 (65–342)	158 (66–405)	87 (57–127)†	84 (68–133)	0.414
ALT (IU/L)	102 (50–228)	110 (52–290)	88 (48–141)†	72 (55–137)	0.454
ALP (IU/L)	135 (97–212)	125 (96–192)	230 (172–299)†	117 (92–186)	0.763
Albumin (g/dL)	3.4±0.7	3.3±0.7	3.5±0.6	3.6±0.5	0.274
Globulin (g/dL)	4.8±1.2	4.9±1.2	4.7±1.1	4.6±0.7	0.586
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	11.5±1.8	11.6±1.8	11.3±1.9	11.9±1.6	0.522
Platelet (x10 ⁹ /L)	189±65	185±65	189±86	168±85	0.405
INR	1.13±0.16	1.16±0.16	0.99±0.09†	1.06±0.20	0.206
CTP score	6.7±1.6	6.9±1.6	6.0±1.5	6.1±1.3	0.258
CTP class					0.316
Class A	25 (52.1%)	18 (47.4%)	7 (70%)	8 (72.7%)	
Class B	23 (47.9%)	20 (52.6%)	3 (30%)	3 (27.3%)	
MELD score	11.0±4.5	11.5±4.5	8.9±4.2†	9.8±4.5	0.466
ANA titre≥1:40	40 (83.3%)	31 (81.6%)	9 (90%)	6 (54.6%)	0.039
SMA titre≥1:40	21 (43.8%)	18 (47.4%)	3 (30%)	6 (54.6%)	0.520
AMA titre≥1:40	7 (14.6%)	3 (7.9%)	4 (40%)†	0 (0.0%)	0.328
Pre-biopsy IAIHG score	11.4±2.2	11.6±2.1	10.8±2.3	11.3±1.8	0.838

Data presented as mean±SD, median and IQR, or number and percentage

A p<0.05 indicates statistical significance

*Comparison between patients with all AIH and those with other liver diseases.

†A p<0.05 for comparison between patients with pure AIH and those with AIH-PBC.

AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; AIH-PBC, autoimmune hepatitis overlap with primary biliary cholangitis; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AMA, antimitochondrial antibody; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CTP, Child-Turcotte-Pugh; IAIHG, International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group; INR, international normalised ratio; MELD, model of end-stage liver disease; SMA, smooth muscle antibody.

histological features (table 2). This subgroup analysis showed severity of inflammation and the frequency of AIH-specific findings to be comparable between pure AIH and AIH-PBC overlap syndrome. However, the florid duct lesion was found in only AIH-PBC cases. Ductopenia and granuloma in the portal area were more frequently observed in AIH-PBC overlap syndrome (p<0.05).

The concordance rate of clinicopathological versus histological diagnosis

As shown in table 3, 54 (91.5%) of the 59 patients with cirrhosis were concordantly diagnosed with well-established clinicopathological and histological diagnosis

by the simplified criteria for AIH and other liver diseases: 44 (74.6%) patients with pure AIH or AIH-PBC overlap syndrome, and 10 (16.9%) cases with other liver diseases, including NASH (n=6), PBC (n=2) and cryptogenic cirrhosis (n=2). Assessing liver histology of NASH patients showed characteristic features of steatosis, lobular inflammation, hepatocyte ballooning, and Mallory-Denk bodies with pericellular fibrosis at the centrilobular area without significant portal inflammation and interface hepatitis. In two cases with PBC, liver biopsy demonstrated ductopenia and portal inflammation with plasma cell infiltrates accompanied by mild interface hepatitis. Treatment with

Figure 2 The revised 1999 International Autoimmune Hepatitis score before and after liver histological evaluation.

ursodeoxycholic acid led to biochemical improvement in both cases. Chronic hepatitis with mild lobular inflammation and cytological ballooning in the absence of significant steatosis was seen in two patients with diabetes, who were considered cryptogenic cirrhosis. According to the simplified criteria, these histological characteristics of patients with other liver diseases were considered atypical features for AIH.

Among discordant results, an older woman without comorbid disease was referred to evaluate abnormal liver tests, seropositivity for ANA, and cirrhotic features on abdominal ultrasonography. She was asymptomatic and did not use alcohol. A liver biopsy showed a mild degree of interface hepatitis and lymphocytic infiltrate in portal tracts, which were regarded as compatible features for AIH according to the simplified criteria. Fortunately, aminotransferase levels return to normal during follow-up despite no specific therapy. Hence, the exact cause of cirrhosis for this case is unknown. The other four patients with pure AIH or AIH-PBC overlap syndrome had prominent portal-based inflammation with interface hepatitis and characteristics of steatohepatitis, florid duct lesion and ductopenia, which were considered atypical AIH by the simplified criteria. However, the diagnosis of AIH and the overlap syndrome was made for these cases based on the combination of biochemical, immunological, and histological features and responsiveness to corticosteroid therapy or in combination with ursodeoxycholic acid.

Typical features and histology compatible with AIH were observed in 7.7% and 61.5% of patients with a prebiopsy IAIHG score <10, respectively; whereas, the same corresponding features were observed in 6.8% and 75% of those with a prebiopsy IAIHG score 10–15, respectively. No significant differences in histological features were observed between groups. AIH was finally diagnosed in 84.6% of patients in the pre-biopsy IAIHG score <10 subgroup, while 80.4% of cases with a prebiopsy IAIHG score of 10–15 were confirmed as having AIH.

Liver biopsy complications

Among 207 patients who underwent liver biopsy for suspected AIH, the cirrhotic group was older, and had lower levels of aminotransferase, albumin, haemoglobin and platelet but tended to have higher γ -globulin levels than the non-cirrhotic group (table 4). According to the 1999 revised IAIHG criteria, there was no significant difference in histological features between the cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic groups.

A liver biopsy complication was reported in 12 (5.8%) of the 207 biopsies analysed (table 4). Three serious adverse events consisted of bleeding from the hepatic puncture site causing subcapsular haematoma with haemothorax in a case and haemoperitoneum in two patients. All of these patients had CTP class A cirrhosis. All patients required blood transfusion, and one patient underwent immediate intercostal drainage for haemothorax. Interestingly, the three patients with cirrhosis with bleeding complication had an INR of 1.1 or less with platelet counts greater than $120 \times 10^9/L$. Although no serious adverse events were observed in patients with non-cirrhosis, six cases developed abdominal pain after the biopsy that required intravenous opioid analgesia in one case. No deaths occurred as a complication of liver biopsy.

DISCUSSION

In patients with cirrhosis and clinical suspicion of AIH, a standardised histological evaluation combined with clinical information is very useful for discriminating AIH from other chronic liver diseases. Nevertheless, liver biopsy is invasive and is potentially associated with severe complications that can even be life-threatening in some cases of advanced liver disease.

Liver biopsy provides valuable diagnostic information for the management of AIH.²² Current international guidelines recommend performing a liver biopsy at the time of the first presentation in order to establish the diagnosis and to guide the treatment.^{23–26} Although the data in patients without cirrhosis showed that most patients with AIH features, based on clinical and laboratory characteristics, are likely to have compatible liver histology. Thus liver biopsy might not be necessary.²⁷ Besides, treatment outcomes are comparable between patients with probable and definite AIH by pretreatment IAIHG score.²⁸ However, the decision regarding whether to perform a percutaneous liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis and clinical suspicion of AIH remains a challenge. In addition to having a potentially higher risk of procedure-related complications, patients with cirrhosis also have an increased risk of treatment-related adverse effects, which makes empirical treatment without a definite diagnosis perhaps equally risky. On the other hand, delayed diagnosis of AIH in patients with cirrhosis may lead to more rapid clinical deterioration than what would be observed in patients without cirrhosis. Hence, we set forth to explore the role and risk of liver biopsy evaluation in patients with cirrhosis and clinical suspicion of AIH.

Table 2 Histological features of patients with cirrhosis compared between those with autoimmune hepatitis and those with other liver diseases

Histological features	Autoimmune hepatitis			Other liver diseases (n=11)	P value*
	All AIH (n=48)	Pure AIH (n=38)	AIH-PBC (n=10)		
Interface hepatitis					<0.001
None	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (18.1%)	
Mild	9 (18.8%)	7 (18.4%)	2 (20%)	6 (54.6%)	
Moderate to severe	39 (81.2%)	31 (81.6%)	8 (80%)	3 (27.3%)	
Emperipolesis	7 (14.6%)	6 (15.8%)	1 (10%)	0 (0.0%)	0.329
Rosette formation	7 (14.6%)	5 (13.2%)	2 (20%)	1 (9.1%)	0.634
Lymphocyte infiltration into the portal area					0.007
None	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (9.1%)	
Mild	29 (60.4%)	23 (60.5%)	6 (60%)	10 (90.9%)	
Moderate to severe	19 (39.6%)	15 (39.5%)	4 (40%)	0 (0.0%)	
Plasma cell infiltration into the portal area					<0.001
None	2 (4.2%)	1 (2.6%)	1 (10%)	4 (36.4%)	
Mild	25 (52.1%)	21 (55.3%)	4 (40%)	7 (63.6%)	
Moderate to severe	21 (43.7%)	16 (42.1%)	5 (50%)	0 (0.0%)	
Lobular inflammation					0.038
None	7 (14.6%)	5 (13.2%)	2 (20%)	4 (36.4%)	
Few	18 (37.5%)	14 (36.8%)	4 (40%)	5 (45.5%)	
Many	23 (47.9%)	19 (50%)	4 (40%)	2 (18.2%)	
Steatosis					0.046
≤5%	39 (81.2%)	30 (78.9%)	9 (90%)	5 (45.5%)	
>5%–33%	7 (14.6%)	6 (15.8%)	1 (10%)	5 (45.5%)	
>33%–66%	2 (4.2%)	2 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (9.1%)	
Hepatocyte ballooning					0.341
None	23 (47.9%)	16 (42.1%)	7 (70%)	3 (27.3%)	
Few	16 (33.3%)	15 (39.5%)	1 (10%)	4 (36.4%)	
Many	9 (18.8%)	7 (18.4%)	2 (20%)	4 (36.4%)	
Glycogenated nuclei	11 (22.9%)	11 (28.9%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (45.5%)	0.133
Mallory-Denk body	14 (29.2%)	12 (31.6%)	2 (20%)	8 (72.7%)	0.008
Florid duct lesion	3 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (30%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000
Ductopenia	7 (14.6%)	1 (2.6%)	6 (60%)†	3 (27.3%)	0.376
Granuloma in portal area	5 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (50%)†	0 (0.0%)	0.572

Data presented as number and percentage

A p<0.05 indicates statistical significance

*Comparison between patients with all AIH and those with other liver diseases.

†A p<0.05 for comparison between patients with pure AIH and those with AIH-PBC.

AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; AIH-PBC, autoimmune hepatitis overlap with primary biliary cholangitis.

Our results revealed histological overlap between AIH and other chronic liver diseases. We observed that interface hepatitis and rosette formation, which are generally cited as classic histological features of AIH, could be observed in liver disease of variable aetiology. In 2008, the IAIHG condensed liver histology features into three categories as a necessary element for a diagnosis of AIH. We evaluated the ability of this histological system to discriminate between AIH and other chronic liver diseases. Given

the observed concordance rate between histological and clinicopathological diagnosis (table 3), histological categories according to the simplified scoring system may be beneficial in the histological diagnosis of AIH.

Regarding comparison of AIH vs other liver diseases, lymphocytic infiltrates in portal tracts, another finding of chronic hepatitis pattern, was present in all patients with AIH and in almost patients with other hepatitides, but they were generally more severe in AIH. Additionally,

Table 3 Agreement between clinicopathological diagnosis and histological diagnosis by the simplified criteria for autoimmune hepatitis and other liver diseases

Clinicopathological diagnosis	n	Histological category by the simplified criteria*		
		Typical AIH (%)	Compatible AIH (%)	Atypical AIH (%)
AIH	38	3 (5.1)	33 (55.9)	2 (3.4)
AIH-PBC overlap syndrome	10	1 (1.7)	7 (11.9)	2 (3.4)
PBC	2	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.4)
NASH	6	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (10.2)
Cryptogenic	3	0 (0.0)	1 (1.7)	2 (3.4)

Data presented as number and percentage.

The agreement between clinicopathological diagnosis and histological diagnosis by the simplified criteria was shown in the grey boxes.

*The simplified scoring system for AIH condenses liver histology criteria into three categories: 'typical' when in addition to interface hepatitis, rosetting and emperipolesis are present, 'compatible' when not all three features are present, and 'atypical' when there is evidence of an alternative diagnosis.

AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; AIH-PBC, autoimmune hepatitis overlap with primary biliary cholangitis; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis.

the prevalence of plasma cell-rich portal inflammation and lobular inflammation of variable severity were, in fact, higher in AIH than in other diseases. Ductopenia was detected in a small proportion of pure AIH and overlap syndrome, so their presence does not preclude

a diagnosis of AIH. Glycogenated nuclei, Mallory-Denk body and steatosis or steatohepatitis are sometimes seen, but—if they are prominent—a diagnosis of AIH is less likely according to the revised IAIHG classification.¹ Thus, even though the pattern of injury and typical

Table 4 Characteristics and complications compared between patients with cirrhosis and non-cirrhosis

Variables	Patients with cirrhosis (n=59)	Patients with non-cirrhosis (n=148)	P value
Characteristics			
Age (years)	59.0±12.0	54.5±13.2	0.024
Female gender	49 (83.1%)	134 (90.5%)	0.130
AST (IU/L)	108 (67–279)	262 (95–621)	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)	94 (53–165)	230 (98–640)	<0.001
ALP (IU/L)	135 (96–209)	146 (96–200)	0.949
Albumin (g/dL)	3.4±0.7	3.7±0.7	0.004
Globulin (g/dL)	4.8±1.1	4.5±1.0	0.059
Platelet (x10 ⁹ /L)	186±68	221±83	0.005
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	11.6±1.7	12.3±1.5	0.003
INR	1.10 (1.00–1.24)	1.10 (1.03–1.28)	0.344
Liver histology			
Interface hepatitis	57 (96.6%)	140 (94.6%)	0.542
Predominantly lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate	58 (98.3%)	142 (95.9%)	0.398
Rosette formation	8 (13.6%)	20 (13.5%)	0.993
Biliary changes	12 (20.3%)	22 (14.9%)	0.338
Complications of liver biopsy			
All adverse events	6 (10.2%)	6 (4.1%)	0.090
Pain at the hepatic puncture site	4 (6.8%)	6 (4.1%)	0.410
Severe pain requiring intravenous analgesics	2 (3.4%)	1 (0.7%)	0.141
Postbiopsy bleeding	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0.022

Data presented as mean±SD, median and IQR, or number and percentage

A p<0.05 indicates statistical significance

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; INR, international normalised ratio

features of AIH are non-specific, the combined observation of inflammation (ie, types of infiltrates and area) and degree of inflammation can help discriminate AIH from other liver diseases.

Based on the descriptive criteria published by the IAIHG,¹ none of our 59 patients with cirrhosis met the criteria for a definite diagnosis of AIH before histological assessment. Under this circumstance, it is reasonable to consider liver biopsy for differential diagnosis of AIH, since liver histology may show additional or unexpected findings that could affect patient management. This is supported by the fact that no clinical or laboratory features could be used to discriminate AIH-related cirrhosis from other aetiologies in our analysis. Overall, 48 (81.4%) of our 59 patients had a final diagnosis of AIH after the detailed histology assessment. With information from liver histology, 6 (46.2%) of 13 cases who initially had a prebiopsy IAIHG score <10 turned out to be AIH, and 32 (69.6%) of 46 patients who were probable AIH by prebiopsy IAIHG scoring were definitely confirmed as AIH (figure 2). Furthermore, liver biopsy could identify 10 patients with features that overlap between disorders within the spectrum of autoimmune liver diseases, and biopsy was found to be particularly useful in those with biochemical cholestasis. Interestingly, biopsy-proven NASH was established in six cases who were misdiagnosed as having probable AIH by prebiopsy IAIHG scoring. The exact aetiology of cirrhosis cannot be identified in three patients after detailed clinical, laboratory and histological investigations. Some of these cases with seropositive autoantibodies may have an autoimmune nature. However, the benefit of immunosuppressive treatment in an asymptomatic older patient with the mild activity of interface hepatitis and lymphocyte infiltration in portal tracts on biopsy is not established; a decision not to treat was justified for such a case. In diabetic individuals with cirrhosis of unknown origin, the cause of cirrhosis might be a burnt out NASH; however, a significant lipid accumulation in the hepatocytes was not detected. Lifestyle change with improved physical activity and better nutrition was recommended for treating their metabolic conditions to optimistically slow or halt the progression of the liver disease. These findings highlight that histological evaluation is of enormous importance in managing patients with cirrhosis with clinical suspicion of AIH.

A decision whether or not to perform a liver biopsy must be made only after weighing the benefits against the risk of complications. In the present study, any adverse event occurred in 10.2% and 4.1% of patients in the cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic groups, respectively. Of the 12 adverse events observed in this study, only three serious bleeding events occurred in patients with compensated cirrhosis. The bleeding rate among patients with cirrhosis in our study was higher than the reported rates of 0%–0.6% in hepatitis C patients with advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis.^{15 29 30} The disparity might be attributed to the fact that earlier studies that evaluated the risk of liver biopsy included

patients with less advanced liver disease. Because the serious adverse events in our study involved bleeding, we evaluated for association with platelet count and INR value. We found that all bleeding complications were developed in patients with cirrhosis with normal platelet count and INR value. Hence, we recommend caution when considering liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis, even in those with normal coagulation tests. Thromboelastography provides a more comprehensive global homeostasis assessment than conventional coagulation assays.³¹ There are limited data to suggest the clinical use of thromboelastography-guided correction of coagulation parameters before invasive procedures in patients with cirrhosis.³² Also, the utility of this point-of-care test has not been explored in those occurring procedure-related bleeding. Further studies on the clinical application of viscoelastic instruments in cirrhosis are warranted.

This study has some limitations. First, the status of HLA-DR3 and HLA-DR4 was not assessed in our patients. Among 48 patients with AIH, three patients had 15 points with histology assessment using the revised original pretreatment criteria in our group. The presence of HLA typing may upgrade some of them from probable AIH to definite diagnosis using the revised original criteria. Second, immunoglobulin G levels were not measured in most patients, so we could not calculate the diagnostic score for AIH regarding the simplified criteria.²

In conclusion, liver biopsy in patients with cirrhosis and suspected AIH provides valuable histological information on contributing to the clinical diagnostic scoring systems, identifying the overlap syndrome and differential diagnosis from other entities. However, it carries a significant risk of bleeding complications following the procedure. Thus, careful histological examination in conjunction with detailed clinical and laboratory information is essential for the optimal management of patients with cirrhosis and suspected AIH.

Contributors PC designed the study. PS, AP and PC participated in the data collection and analysis. PS and PC drafted this manuscript. PS, AP and PC interpreted the data. PC reviewed and revised this manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding This research was supported by a grant from the Siriraj Research Development Fund.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SI 082/2011). Given the retrospective nature of the research, the requirement for informed consent was waived.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. The data sets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available on reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Phunchai Charatcharoenwithaya <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8334-0267>

REFERENCES

- 1 Alvarez F, Berg PA, Bianchi FB, *et al.* International autoimmune hepatitis group report: review of criteria for diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 1999;31:929–38.
- 2 Hennes EM, Zeniya M, Czaja AJ, *et al.* Simplified criteria for the diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2008;48:169–76.
- 3 Adams LA, Lindor KD, Angulo P. The prevalence of autoantibodies and autoimmune hepatitis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1316–20.
- 4 Younes R, Govaere O, Petta S, *et al.* Presence of serum antinuclear antibodies does not impact long-term outcomes in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020;115:1289–92.
- 5 Boberg KM, Chapman RW, Hirschfield GM, *et al.* Overlap syndromes: the International autoimmune hepatitis group (IAIHG) position statement on a controversial issue. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:374–85.
- 6 Al-Chalabi T, Underhill JA, Portmann BC, *et al.* Impact of gender on the long-term outcome and survival of patients with autoimmune hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2008;48:140–7.
- 7 Feld JJ, Dinh H, Arenovich T, *et al.* Autoimmune hepatitis: effect of symptoms and cirrhosis on natural history and outcome. *Hepatology* 2005;42:53–62.
- 8 Cooksley WG, Bradbear RA, Robinson W, *et al.* The prognosis of chronic active hepatitis without cirrhosis in relation to bridging necrosis. *Hepatology* 1986;6:345–8.
- 9 Czaja AJ, Rakela J, Ludwig J. Features reflective of early prognosis in corticosteroid-treated severe autoimmune chronic active hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1988;95:448–53.
- 10 Cadranet JF, Rufat P, Degos F. Practices of liver biopsy in France: results of a prospective nationwide survey. for the group of epidemiology of the French association for the study of the liver (AFEF). *Hepatology* 2000;32:477–81.
- 11 Gilmore IT, Burroughs A, Murray-Lyon IM, *et al.* Indications, methods, and outcomes of percutaneous liver biopsy in England and Wales: an audit by the British Society of gastroenterology and the Royal College of physicians of London. *Gut* 1995;36:437–41.
- 12 Huang J-F, Hsieh M-Y, Dai C-Y, *et al.* The incidence and risks of liver biopsy in non-cirrhotic patients: an evaluation of 3806 biopsies. *Gut* 2007;56:736–7.
- 13 Janes CH, Lindor KD. Outcome of patients hospitalized for complications after outpatient liver biopsy. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;118:96–8.
- 14 Myers RP, Fong A, Shaheen AAM. Utilization rates, complications and costs of percutaneous liver biopsy: a population-based study including 4275 biopsies. *Liver Int* 2008;28:705–12.
- 15 Seeff LB, Everson GT, Morgan TR, *et al.* Complication rate of percutaneous liver biopsies among persons with advanced chronic liver disease in the HALT-C trial. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;8:877–83.
- 16 van der Poorten D, Kwok A, Lam T, *et al.* Twenty-Year audit of percutaneous liver biopsy in a major Australian teaching hospital. *Intern Med J* 2006;36:692–9.
- 17 Mahal AS, Knauer CM, Gregory PB. Bleeding after liver biopsy. *West J Med* 1981;134:11–14.
- 18 McGill DB, Rakela J, Zinsmeister AR, *et al.* A 21-year experience with major hemorrhage after percutaneous liver biopsy. *Gastroenterology* 1990;99:1396–400.
- 19 Lindor KD, Bowlus CL, Boyer J, *et al.* Primary biliary cholangitis: 2018 practice guidance from the American association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2019;69:394–419.
- 20 Chazouillères O, Wendum D, Serfaty L, *et al.* Primary biliary cirrhosis-autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndrome: clinical features and response to therapy. *Hepatology* 1998;28:296–301.
- 21 European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO clinical practice guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2016;64:1388–402.
- 22 Tiniakos DG, Brain JG, Bury YA. Role of histopathology in autoimmune hepatitis. *Dig Dis* 2015;33 Suppl 2:53–64.
- 23 Manns MP, Czaja AJ, Gorham JD, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2010;51:2193–213.
- 24 Gleeson D, Heneghan MA, British Society of Gastroenterology. British Society of gastroenterology (BSG) guidelines for management of autoimmune hepatitis. *Gut* 2011;60:1611–29.
- 25 European Association for the Study of the L. EASL clinical practice guidelines: autoimmune hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:971–1004.
- 26 Mack CL, Adams D, Assis DN, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis in adults and children: 2019 practice guidance and guidelines from the American association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2020;72:671–722.
- 27 Björnsson E, Talwalkar J, Treeprasertsuk S, *et al.* Patients with typical laboratory features of autoimmune hepatitis rarely need a liver biopsy for diagnosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;9:57–63.
- 28 Czaja AJ. Comparability of probable and definite autoimmune hepatitis by international diagnostic scoring criteria. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140:1472–80.
- 29 Sherman KE, Goodman ZD, Sullivan ST, *et al.* Liver biopsy in cirrhotic patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;102:789–93.
- 30 Pockros PJ, Jeffers L, Afdhal N, *et al.* Final results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the antifibrotic efficacy of interferon-gamma1b in chronic hepatitis C patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007;45:569–78.
- 31 O'Leary JG, Greenberg CS, Patton HM, *et al.* AGA clinical practice update: coagulation in cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2019;157:34–43.
- 32 Shin KH, Kim IS, Lee HJ, *et al.* Thromboelastographic evaluation of coagulation in patients with liver disease. *Ann Lab Med* 2017;37:204–12.