**Case report**

Bowel ulceration following tocilizumab administration in a COVID-19 patient
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**ABSTRACT**

Tocilizumab, a monoclonal antibody against interleukin-6, has been used to treat cytokine release syndrome (CRS) in a subset of patients with severe COVID-19 disease. Acute ulcerative bowel disease has been only rarely documented in patients treated for rheumatological conditions. The gastrointestinal side effects seen when used in the context of COVID-19 are unknown. We present a case of COVID-19 CRS in which acute terminal ileum and perforated caecal ulceration evolved after tocilizumab exposure. We raise awareness of a possible causal relationship between even a single dose of tocilizumab and gut ulceration in patients with COVID-19. Any such drug enteropathy relationship requires watchful monitoring during upcoming trials of tocilizumab in patients with COVID-19.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 45-year-old man presented to our hospital with a week’s history of cough, high fever and anosmia. A nasopharyngeal PCR swab taken on arrival to hospital was positive for SARS-CoV-2 (MiRXES Fortitude 2.1 COVID-19 RT-PCR test). His medical history included gout, hypertension and chronic kidney disease (CKD-3, eGFR 55). Previously, he had suffered from unprovoked lower limb venous thromboembolism (VTE) and was found to be lupus anticoagulant (LAC) positive on two separate occasions in 2017 but had declined anticoagulation (B2-glycoprotein IgG and anti-cardiolipin IgM negative, homocysteine, anti-thrombin III and protein C levels normal).

Our patient was admitted directly to intensive care and had a stormy disease course. On day 1 of hospitalisation, he required intubation for respiratory failure, commencement of continuous renal replacement therapy. He developed COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (H-score 146). Tocilizumab, a monoclonal antibody against IL-6, was administered on day 2 of hospitalisation (800 mg via intravenous route) in the face of rapidly deteriorating clinical and biochemical picture, with use of supportive clinical-laboratory scoring. Further doses were withheld due to improvement in clinical status. He received hydrocortisone between days 2 and 5 for shock but received no other targeted COVID-19 intervention (antivirals or other therapies).

Our patient had antecedent LAC positivity with prior unprovoked VTE, and a heparin infusion was started on day 2 of hospitalisation and continued until day 17 when overt lower gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding occurred. Two days prior to overt GI bleeding, high-volume diarrhoea had necessitated rectal tube insertion. On day 17, CT mesenteric angiogram (CTMA) showed arterial blush in the caecum and thickening of the terminal ileum. Embolisation of the culprit branch was performed.

Our patient was admitted directly to intensive care and had a stormy disease course. On day 1 of hospitalisation, he required intubation for respiratory failure, commencement of continuous renal replacement therapy. He developed COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (H-score 146). Tocilizumab, a monoclonal antibody against IL-6, was administered on day 2 of hospitalisation (800 mg via intravenous route) in the face of rapidly deteriorating clinical and biochemical picture, with use of supportive clinical-laboratory scoring. Further doses were withheld due to improvement in clinical status. He received hydrocortisone between days 2 and 5 for shock but received no other targeted COVID-19 intervention (antivirals or other therapies).

Progression towards refractory shock, rapidly deteriorating CARDS and high-grade fever prompted review by our institute’s multidisciplinary COVID-19 team for possible cytokine release syndrome (CRS). Supportive investigations included an interleukin-6 (IL-6) level of >1000 pg/mL (reference range 0.0–3.4 pg/mL), D-dimer 8.56 mcg/mL, ferritin 8109 ng/mL (21.8–275.0 ng/mL), Lactate dehydrogenase 2111 U/L (125–220 U/L) and Aspartate transaminase 1896 U/L (10–34 U/L). His overall H-score was 146. Tocilizumab, a monoclonal antibody against IL-6, was administered on day 2 of hospitalisation (800 mg via intravenous route) in the face of rapidly deteriorating clinical and biochemical picture, with use of supportive clinical-laboratory scoring. Further doses were withheld due to improvement in clinical status. He received hydrocortisone between days 2 and 5 for shock but received no other targeted COVID-19 intervention (antivirals or other therapies).

Bleeding continued on day 18 of admission; repeated CTMA demonstrated new areas of active bleeding in the ascending colon. A second embolisation was performed of a separate culprit vessel. Despite these two procedures, our patient continued to bleed, requiring significant amounts of blood transfusion. On day 20 of admission, colonoscopy was performed with attempted endostasis. Endoscopy revealed erythematous friable ileal mucosa and luminal bleeding up to at least 15 cm proximal to the ileocecal valve.
There was colitis of the caecum and ascending colon (<50% of segment) with large ulcerations (<10% of segment). Due to failure of endostasis, a right-sided hemicolectomy and segmental resection of the terminal ileum was performed. Gross examination shows extensive ulceration of the distal 30 cm segment of the terminal ileum associated with thickened dusky wall, fat encroachment and mesenteric stranding (figure 1). The caecum showed three deep linear circumferential ulcers with associated bowel wall perforation. Microscopic examination revealed extensive ulceration of the distal ileum and deep fissuring ulceration of the caecum associated with perforation. The mucosa at the ulcer edges showed irregular, branching and disordered crypts, compatible with regenerative changes. Apart from foreign material from prior embolisation attempts, there were no thrombi within the large vessels. Smaller vessels around ulcerative sites contained scattered fibrin microthrombi but the overall burden was low, and no ischaemic changes were observed. No features of severe ischaemic colitis, transmural lymphoid aggregates, viral inclusions, granulomas, parasites or vasculitic changes were found (figure 2).

Drug chart review did not identify other culprit drugs (vasopressors had been stopped by day 9 of hospitalisation, hydrocortisone prescribed for 3 days during shocked episode, no non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs prescribed). Superimposed infective cause for the gut inflammation observed was excluded. HIV screening was negative, *Clostridium difficile* testing of stool samples was negative. Tissue bacterial culture yielded light growth of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas*, which had also grown from the respiratory tract and was viewed as a colonising organism. Fungal cultures grew light growth of *Candida glabrata*, which was also considered non-pathogenic. Acid-fast smears and molecular testing for tuberculosis in excised tissue were negative, as were PCR tests varicella-zoster virus. Serology for cytomegalovirus IgM negative, blood PCR for cytomegalovirus and histological analysis for viral inclusions were all negative. Tissue PCR for SARS-CoV-2 from the excised specimen was not possible at our local laboratory. A stool PCR for SARS-CoV-2 was sent prior to laparotomy was negative. After ileostomy formation, a swab taken per stoma was also negative for SARS-CoV-2 PCR.

**DISCUSSION**

A subset of patients with severe COVID-19 experience CRS characterised by sustained lymphopenia and elevated cytokines. This hyperinflammation is associated with increased mortality. IL-6 may perpetuate CRS and observational data of 21 patients treated with tocilizumab suggested that IL-6 blockade may improve mortality in severe COVID-19 infection. Expert opinion supports screening patients using laboratory values and clinical-laboratory composite scoring (such as H-scoring) to identify patients at risk of hyperinflammation and potentially
select patients for targeted biological therapy, although concerns exist over the sensitivity of H-scoring in COVID-19. The use of tocilizumab in COVID-19 is cautiously supported by the Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines in the context of clinical trials. At time of writing, there were 19 studies worldwide actively recruiting for use of tocilizumab in COVID-19 (clinical-trials.gov).

**TOCILIZUMAB AND RISK OF ACUTE GUT INJURY**

Tocilizumab is associated with lower GI perforation (predominantly sigmoid and colonic) and perforated diverticular disease during treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. As such, these conditions are frequent exclusion criteria in tocilizumab-COVID trials. Acute ulcerative bowel disease is rarely described. We found the report of three cases where tocilizumab appears to have contributed to severe terminal ileum-centred disease. Iwasa and colleagues described a 57-year-old woman with rheumatoid arthritis who presented with haematochezia after 3 months of tocilizumab therapy. Endoscopic examination showed multiple ulcers in the small and large intestines. Tocilizumab was restarted after a 1-month drug holiday, resulting in recurrence of punched out ulceration in the terminal ileum and deep ulcers in the caecum through to the descending colon. In the second case, a 68-year-old man who had been receiving tocilizumab for 1 year for inflammatory arthritis was found to have pneumatosis intestinalis on a routine chest radiograph. Withdrawal of tocilizumab led to resolution of the pneumatosis. A follow-up magnetic resonance study of the bowel showed severe strictureing of the terminal ileum, perhaps indicating the site of most intense inflammation. Finally, a 15-year-old boy treated for systemic juvenile arthritis developed abdominal pain and diarrhoea 2 days after his first tocilizumab exposure. Endoscopy demonstrated minor inflammation in the distal ileum. He received a second dose of tocilizumab and represented 8 days after administration critically unwell secondary to multiple perforations of the terminal ileum. Collectively, these cases provide warning of an ulcerative disease process centred on the terminal ileum which shows temporal relation and possibly a dose-related effect of tocilizumab enteropathy.

**THE ROLE OF IL-6 IN GUT HOMEOSTASIS**

IL-6 has protective and reparative roles in acute bowel injury. In mice, injury to the intestine results in IL-6 production by intraepithelial lymphocytes; blockade of IL-6 impairs wound healing by preventing enterocyte proliferation. Translational research demonstrates similar effects in human tissue. In patients undergoing emergency surgery, IL-6 localised to regional traumatic gut perforation but was absent at sites distant to injury. IL-6 ameliorates gut injury following ischaemia-reperfusion in mice and defends gut barrier integrity. This is an important consideration for the intensivist managing patients with COVID-19, since tocilizumab has been associated with septic shock secondary to presumed gut translocation of microorganisms. Patients with COVID-19 requiring intensive care may already risk impaired gut integrity due to systemic hypoperfusion and vasopressor use. Tocilizumab may further impair gut barrier health in these patients. Taken together, there is evidence experimentally that IL-6 plays an important role in preventing enterocyte cell death, while translational research shows IL-6 is specifically induced at sites of injury in human gut tissue.

**SARS-COV-2-RELATED GUT INJURY**

GI symptoms can be elicited in up to 15% of patients with COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 may be detectable in stool in more than 50% of patients. There is biological rationale for possible COVID-19 tropism to the ileum. SARS-CoV-2 requires presence of both the cell receptor ACE2 and the transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) for access into cells, both are coexpressed in the oesophagus, lung, ileum and colon. ACE2 is highly expressed in distal enterocytes and ACE2 expression in the terminal ileum is almost 10-fold higher than that in the rectal colon. In vivo, SARS-CoV-2 is enteropathic. Human intestinal organoids infected with SARS-CoV-2 demonstrate cellular stress and even frank disintegration under high infection burden. There is paucity of endoscopic studies in patients with COVID-19. Lin and colleagues described erosions and ulcers in the oesophagus of one out of six patients with COVID-19 undergoing endoscopy for GI complaints. SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in biopsies from the oesophagus, stomach, duodenum and rectum in one out of the six patients examined (proctoscopy but not colonoscopy was performed). In a separate cohort of 73 patients in China, a single patient underwent endoscopy for upper GI bleeding. Although ulcerations were found in the oesophagus, staining for viral nucleocapsid protein was limited to glandular tissues in the stomach, duodenum and rectum and was undetectable in the oesophageal (squamous) epithelium. Autopsy publications reporting GI findings are scant. Stenosis and dilatation of the small bowel has been described in a single patient postmortem; however, it is unclear if these findings can be attributed to COVID-19 disease. Varga et al described two cases of mesenteric ischaemia in patients with circulatory failure. Histology from the small bowels of these patients demonstrated diffuse endothelial inflammation and cell apoptosis. Viral inclusions were demonstrable in the kidney of a third patient without bowel ischaemia but were not found in the gut endothelium of the first two cases.

Evidence for direct erosive SARS-CoV-2 enteropathy sufficient to cause clinically apparent disease remains absent. To our knowledge, despite biological plausibility, there is no confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 presence in the terminal ileum nor histological evidence of SARS-CoV-2 enteropathy in the small bowel to date.
The surgical team at Massachusetts General Hospital have published both case study and case series of COVID-related gut complications. Out of 141 patients, 58 had ileus, 2 pseudo-obstruction, 1 had extensive hepatic necrosis, and 4 had bowel ischaemia requiring resection. One of the four patients had pulmonary embolism, extensive bowel necrosis and terminal ileum perforation on exploratory laparotomy. The antimesenteric pattern of necrosis observed was attributed to thrombomicrothrombus and hypercoagulability. Patients with COVID-19 have elevated fibrinogen and D-dimer levels, which correlate with mortality, and point-of-care thromboelastometry demonstrates hypercoagulopathic profiles. Microthrombi are frequently observed in the lungs postmortem; however, viral-PCR testing and histopathological studies of the colon and ileum have not been reported in these cohorts to date. Endotheliitis has been documented in excised small bowel in patients suffering COVID-19 and mesenteric ischaemia. It seems unlikely that endotheliitis would be responsible for widespread bowel necrosis however, and both patients described had superimposed circulatory dysfunction which may have contributed to the ischaemia observed. Our patient had antecedent unprovoked deep vein thrombosis and LAC positivity which may have further increased his prothrombotic risk; however, no evidence of significant microthrombi nor ischaemia was seen on histological analysis.

CONCLUSIONS AND LEARNING POINTS
We describe, to our knowledge, the first case of severe ulcerative disease in the context of tocilizumab therapy in a COVID-19-positive patient. This case study joins a growing body of reports identifying acute ulceration focused on the terminal ileum and temporally related to tocilizumab exposure.

Factors other than direct drug enteropathic effect should be considered. SARS-CoV-2 is enterotropic, yet whether COVID-19 has specific tropism for the ileocecal region in infected humans is unresolved. We do not have evidence of SARS-CoV-2 gut infection in our own patient since stool and stomal PCR tests were negative, and we cannot find supporting literature for a clinically important enteropathy effect in infected humans. IL-6 is important in gut homeostasis. Although the temporal relation of tocilizumab administration and ulceration in our patient remains highly suspect, we cannot exclude that tocilizumab is acting as a permissive rather than culprit agent and may simply block adequate healing mechanisms in a gut injured from alternative disease processes (viral enteropathy, microthrombus disease, global mesenteric hypoperfusion from critical illness state and vasopressor use).

A possible causal relationship between even a single dose of tocilizumab and gut ulceration in COVID-19 populations needs to be confirmed by larger observational studies and carefully monitored for in upcoming trials of tocilizumab in patients with COVID-19 CRS. The incidence of such an association in patients with COVID-19 is unknown and requires further investigation.
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