Risk of primary gastrointestinal cancers following incident non-metastatic breast cancer: a Danish population-based cohort study

Kasper Adelborg ,¹ Dóra Körmendiné Farkas,¹ Jens Sundbøll ,¹ Lidia Schapira,² Suzanne Tamang,³ Mark R Cullen,³ Deirdre Cronin-Fenton,¹ Henrik Toft Sørensen¹

To cite: Adelborg K, Farkas DK, Sundbøll J, *et al.* Risk of primary gastrointestinal cancers following incident non-metastatic breast cancer: a Danish population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open Gastro* 2020;7:e000413. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2020-000413

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjgast-2020-000413>).

Received 1 April 2020
Revised 12 May 2020
Accepted 13 May 2020

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

²Stanford Cancer Institute and Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

³Stanford Center for Population Health Sciences and Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Kasper Adelborg;
kade@clin.au.dk

ABSTRACT

Objective We examined the risk of primary gastrointestinal cancers in women with breast cancer and compared this risk with that of the general population.

Design Using population-based Danish registries, we conducted a cohort study of women with incident non-metastatic breast cancer (1990–2017). We computed cumulative cancer incidences and standardised incidence ratios (SIRs).

Results Among 84 972 patients with breast cancer, we observed 2340 gastrointestinal cancers. After 20 years of follow-up, the cumulative incidence of gastrointestinal cancers was 4%, driven mainly by colon cancers. Only risk of stomach cancer was continually increased beyond 1 year following breast cancer. The SIR for colon cancer was neutral during 2–5 years of follow-up and approximately 1.2-fold increased thereafter. For cancer of the oesophagus, the SIR was increased only during 6–10 years. There was a weak association with pancreas cancer beyond 10 years. Between 1990–2006 and 2007–2017, the 1–10 years SIR estimate decreased and reached unity for upper gastrointestinal cancers (oesophagus, stomach, and small intestine). For lower gastrointestinal cancers (colon, rectum, and anal canal), the SIR estimate was increased only after 2007. No temporal effects were observed for the remaining gastrointestinal cancers. Treatment effects were negligible.

Conclusion Breast cancer survivors were at increased risk of oesophagus and stomach cancer, but only before 2007. The risk of colon cancer was increased, but only after 2007.

BACKGROUND

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer among women in Western and Asian countries. It constitutes approximately one-third of all newly diagnosed tumours in women.¹ Because of the establishment of screening programmes for breast cancer with early detection and improvements in treatments, the mortality rates of breast cancer have decreased in most Western societies.^{2–4}

Summary box

What is already known about this subject?

- The number of breast cancer survivors is growing due to improvements in early cancer detection and treatment.
- The long-term risk of new primary malignancies among patients with breast cancer may be increased due to cancer treatment and/or shared risk factors.

What are the new findings?

- The risk of being diagnosed with oesophagus cancer and stomach cancer was higher for patients diagnosed with breast cancer before 2007 than for the general population, while in later years the risk approximated unity.
- The opposite was observed for colon cancer, where the risk was increased only after 2007.
- The absolute cancer risks were relatively low.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- Knowing and quantifying the risk of gastrointestinal cancers among patients with breast cancer are of major public interest to substantiate and possibly refine guideline recommendations.
- The observed low absolute risks support that extensive screening strategies to detect more gastrointestinal cancers is not indicated among patients with breast cancer.

Given the rise in prevalence of long-term survivors of breast cancer, concerns exist that the different treatment modalities have contributed to the development of new primary cancers. Endocrine therapy has been a cornerstone treatment in oestrogen receptor positive breast cancer and may interfere with several sites in the gastrointestinal tract with endocrine function. Radiotherapy may induce cancer in sites in close proximity

to the breasts, that is, the pharynx, oesophagus, and gastric ventricle.^{5 6}

Previous studies examined the risk of new primary gastrointestinal malignancies among patients with breast cancer.^{5–15} Notwithstanding, studies were limited by follow-up periods (<10 years),^{5 9 11 13 15} lacked data on *human epidermal growth factor receptor 2* (*HER2*) status,^{5–15} and few studies covered all types of gastrointestinal cancers.^{10 12} Many studies also included patients with breast cancer diagnosed before 2007,^{7–15} the year when tamoxifen was replaced by aromatase inhibitors in post-menopausal women with estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer. Because the treatment of breast cancer has changed considerably over the past decades, and because some studies reported contradictory findings, it is necessary to revisit earlier findings. Such data are needed to guide follow-up programmes of these patients. Therefore, we performed a population-based cohort study of risks of new primary gastrointestinal cancers among patients with an incident breast cancer, compared with risks in the general population. We also evaluated whether the associations varied by time periods and treatment regimens.

METHODS

Setting and design

This nationwide population-based cohort study was conducted in Denmark, which has a population of 5.8 million inhabitants.¹⁶ The national healthcare system is tax supported, ensuring unfettered access to general practitioners and hospitals for all Danish inhabitants, including care for patients with cancer.¹⁷ Accurate linkage of all registries at the individual level is possible in Denmark owing to the unique central personal registry number assigned to each Danish inhabitant at birth and to residents on immigration.¹⁷

Patients with breast cancer

All women ≥18 years of age diagnosed with incident localised or regional spread breast cancer between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2017 were identified using the Danish Cancer Registry (DCR).¹⁸ The DCR contains detailed data, including cancer stage, on prospectively recorded incident cancers diagnosed in Denmark since 1943. All incident cases of cancer in Denmark have been reported to the DCR from all clinical departments and departments of pathology and forensic medicine. From 2004 onwards, reporting to the registry became electronic and administered by the Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR). Tumours have been classified according to the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision* and the *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition* since 1978. Routinely scheduled quality control is performed, ensuring a high degree of completeness and validity of the registry with 95%–98% completeness and accuracy of recorded diagnoses.¹⁸

We excluded patients with a history of cancer at any time before their hospital contact for breast cancer to

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with breast cancer, Denmark, 1990–2017

Total	84 972 (100)
Median follow-up time, years (25th–75th percentiles)	7.4 (3.5–12.9)
Median age, years (25th–75th percentiles)	61.3 (51.7–70.0)
Age group	
18–49 years	17 220 (20.3)
50–59 years	22 107 (26.0)
60–69 years	24 535 (28.9)
≥70 years	21 110 (24.8)
Calendar period	
1990–2006	46 889 (55.2)
2007–2017	38 083 (44.8)
Breast cancer stage	
Localised	48 960 (57.6)
Regional spread	36 012 (42.4)
Oestrogen receptor status*	
Positive	42 192 (59.8)
Negative	9705 (13.8)
Unknown	18 612 (26.4)
HER2 receptor status*	
Positive	1944 (2.8)
Negative	11 711 (16.6)
Unknown	56 854 (80.6)
Treatment within the first year after diagnosis	
Radiotherapy	43 722 (51.5)
Chemotherapy	27 117 (31.9)
Tamoxifen therapy	14 789 (17.4)
Aromatase inhibitor treatment†	594 (1.6)
Lumpectomy*	40 833 (57.9)
Mastectomy*	32 229 (45.7)
Charlson Comorbidity Index score	
Low (score=0)	68 812 (81.0)
Moderate (score=1–2)	14 317 (16.9)
Severe (score >2)	1843 (2.2)

Data are numbers (%) unless otherwise specified.

*Restricted to 1996 onwards due to limitations in data availability.

†Restricted to 2007 onwards due to limited registration. HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

ensure that cases of breast cancer and cancer outcomes both were incident. Data were retrieved on oestrogen receptor and *HER2* receptor status from the Patobank,¹⁹ which is a nationwide Danish registry of all pathology specimens analysed since 1996. Data on breast cancer treatments were retrieved from DCR until end of 2003 and from the DNPR since 2004²⁰ (including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, tamoxifen therapy, aromatase inhibitor treatment, lumpectomy, and mastectomy). Data

Figure 1 Cumulative incidence of gastrointestinal cancers after a breast cancer diagnosis during 20 years of follow-up.

on lumpectomy and mastectomy were obtained from the DNPR and restricted to 1996 onwards due to data registration limitations.

Gastrointestinal cancers

We searched the DCR to identify any subsequent gastrointestinal cancer after the diagnosis of breast cancer. Gastrointestinal cancers included cancers of the oesophagus, stomach, small intestine, colon (including rectosigmoid colon), rectum, anal canal, liver, gallbladder and biliary tract, and pancreas. We also classified the cancers into upper gastrointestinal cancers (oesophagus, stomach, and small intestine), lower gastrointestinal cancers (colon, rectum, and anal), and other gastrointestinal cancers (liver, gallbladder and biliary tract, and pancreas). To avoid bias due to heightened diagnostic workup, we focused on 1-year breast cancer survivors in the main analysis and subgroup analyses. To examine potential temporal trends, we also stratified the main analysis by calendar time period (1990–2006 and 2007–2017). In this analysis, we restricted follow-up to 10 years. All codes used in the study are in online supplementary tables 1 and 2.

Statistical analysis

The breast cancer cohort was characterised by median follow-up time, age group (18–49, 50–59, 60–69, ≥70 years), calendar year period of breast cancer diagnosis with cutpoints selected according to the 2007 introduction of aromatase inhibitors in Denmark (1990–2006 and 2007–2017),²¹ oestrogen receptor and *HER2* receptor status, and breast cancer treatment within the first year after breast cancer diagnosis (radiotherapy, chemotherapy, tamoxifen therapy, aromatase inhibitor treatment, lumpectomy, and mastectomy). Additionally, patients were classified by Charlson Comorbidity Index scores (low, moderate, and severe comorbidity levels).²² Cumulative gastrointestinal cancer incidences during 20 years of follow-up after breast cancer diagnosis were

computed and graphically presented using the cumulative incidence risk function, accounting for death as a competing risk.^{23 24} Incidence rates were calculated using the number of events divided by risk time. Associated 95% CIs were derived using a normal approximation (Wald interval),²⁵ assuming a Poisson distribution.

To contextualise the risk of new gastrointestinal cancers among patients with breast cancer with the cancer risk of the general population, we calculated standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) as the observed number of cancers relative to the expected number, based on national incidence rates by age in 5-year intervals, and by calendar period in 5-year intervals.²⁶ As the SIR estimates were calculated using indirect standardisation, they were not directly comparable to each other. Corresponding 95% CIs were derived using Byar's approximation, assuming that the observed number of cases in a specific category followed a Poisson distribution. We used exact 95% CIs when the observed number of cancers was less than ten.²⁷ Multiplying the number of person years of observation by national incidence rates yielded the number of cancer cases that would be expected if patients with breast cancer had the same risk of cancer as the general population. In SIR analyses, follow-up began 1 year after their hospital contact date for breast cancer, while follow-up ended at occurrence of a primary gastrointestinal cancer diagnosis, emigration, death, or 31 December 2017, whichever came first.

As a complement, we also evaluated the impact of different patient characteristics and treatment regimens during the first year following breast cancer on the subsequent risk of gastrointestinal cancers. To avoid conditioning on the future²⁸ and the risk of detection bias, follow-up was started 1 year after the breast cancer diagnosis (consistent with the main analysis), excluding patients with gastro-oesophageal cancer events or death within the first year. In these analyses, patient characteristics included breast cancer stage, oestrogen receptor and *HER2* receptor status, age groups, and treatment regimens included radiotherapy, chemotherapy, tamoxifen therapy, aromatase inhibitor treatment, lumpectomy, mastectomy. These subgroup analyses were performed for the main cancer groups only (ie, upper, lower, and other gastrointestinal cancers) to retain precise effect estimates.

To allow a minimum of 2 years of follow-up for all patients, we performed a sensitivity analysis, restricting the study population to 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2015.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS, V.9.4 (SAS Institute). In Denmark, registry-based research does not require approval from an ethics committee or informed consent from patients.

RESULTS

The cohort comprised 84972 patients with a first-time breast cancer diagnosis (table 1). The patients were

Table 2 Risk of selected site-specific gastrointestinal cancers in patients with breast cancer (1990–2017), by follow-up periods

	+1 year*			2–5 years			6–10 years			+10 years		
	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)
Gastrointestinal cancers overall	2124/1931	319 (305 to 332)	1.10 (1.05 to 1.15)	667/660	249 (230 to 268)	1.01 (0.94 to 1.09)	709/605	336 (312 to 361)	1.17 (1.09 to 1.26)	748/666	398 (369 to 426)	1.12 (1.04 to 1.21)
Upper gastrointestinal cancers	279/222	42 (37 to 47)	1.26 (1.11 to 1.41)	89/78	33 (26 to 40)	1.14 (0.92 to 1.41)	101/70	48 (39 to 57)	1.45 (1.18 to 1.76)	89/75	47 (37 to 57)	1.19 (0.96 to 1.47)
Oesophagus	83/77	12 (10 to 15)	1.07 (0.86 to 1.33)	17/26	6 (3 to 9)	0.64 (0.37 to 1.03)	38/24	18 (12 to 24)	1.55 (1.10 to 2.13)	28/26	15 (9 to 20)	1.06 (0.70 to 1.53)
Stomach	157/115	24 (20 to 27)	1.37 (1.16 to 1.60)	61/41	23 (17 to 29)	1.48 (1.13 to 1.90)	51/36	24 (18 to 31)	1.43 (1.06 to 1.88)	45/38	24 (17 to 31)	1.19 (0.87 to 1.60)
Small intestine	39/30	6 (4 to 8)	1.29 (0.92 to 1.76)	11/10	4 (2 to 7)	1.09 (0.54 to 1.94)	12/9	6 (2 to 9)	1.26 (0.65 to 2.21)	16/11	9 (4 to 13)	1.50 (0.86 to 2.44)
Lower gastrointestinal cancers	1429/1301	214 (203 to 226)	1.10 (1.04 to 1.16)	453/442	169 (154 to 185)	1.03 (0.93 to 1.12)	476/407	226 (206 to 246)	1.17 (1.07 to 1.28)	500/452	266 (242 to 289)	1.11 (1.01 to 1.21)
Colon incl. rectosigmoid colon	1028/916	154 (145 to 164)	1.12 (1.06 to 1.19)	314/307	117 (104 to 130)	1.02 (0.91 to 1.14)	342/286	162 (145 to 179)	1.20 (1.07 to 1.33)	372/323	198 (178 to 218)	1.15 (1.04 to 1.28)
Rectum	362/343	54 (49 to 60)	1.06 (0.95 to 1.17)	128/120	48 (40 to 56)	1.07 (0.89 to 1.27)	121/108	57 (47 to 68)	1.12 (0.93 to 1.34)	113/115	60 (49 to 71)	0.98 (0.81 to 1.18)
Anal canal	39/42	6 (4 to 8)	0.93 (0.66 to 1.27)	11/15	4 (2 to 7)	0.73 (0.36 to 1.31)	13/13	6 (3 to 10)	0.98 (0.52 to 1.67)	15/14	8 (4 to 12)	1.11 (0.62 to 1.83)
Other gastrointestinal cancers	416/408	62 (56 to 68)	1.02 (0.92 to 1.12)	125/140	47 (39 to 55)	0.89 (0.74 to 1.06)	132/128	63 (52 to 73)	1.03 (0.86 to 1.22)	159/140	84 (71 to 98)	1.13 (0.97 to 1.33)
Liver	47/64	7 (5 to 9)	0.73 (0.54 to 0.97)	13/22	5 (2 to 8)	0.58 (0.31 to 1.00)	19/20	9 (5 to 13)	0.95 (0.57 to 1.48)	15/22	8 (4 to 12)	0.69 (0.38 to 1.13)
Gallbladder and biliary tract	65/68	10 (7 to 12)	0.96 (0.74 to 1.23)	20/24	7 (4 to 11)	0.85 (0.52 to 1.31)	16/21	8 (4 to 11)	0.76 (0.43 to 1.23)	29/23	15 (10 to 21)	1.26 (0.85 to 1.82)
Pancreas	304/277	46 (40 to 51)	1.10 (0.98 to 1.23)	92/94	34 (27 to 41)	0.97 (0.79 to 1.20)	97/87	46 (37 to 55)	1.12 (0.91 to 1.36)	115/95	61 (50 to 72)	1.21 (1.00 to 1.45)

*Main analysis
E, expected; IR, incidence rate; O, observed; PY, person years; SIR, standardised incidence ratio.

equally distributed across age categories. Breast cancer stage was localised in 58% of the patients, and 60% were oestrogen receptor positive. The majority of patients had a low Charlson Comorbidity Index score (81%).

During the 28 years of follow-up (median, 7.4 years), 2340 incident gastrointestinal cancers were diagnosed among patients with breast cancer. The cumulative incidence of gastrointestinal cancers after 1 and 20 years of follow-up was 0.26% and 4.40% (0.01% and 0.18% for oesophagus cancer, 0.02% and 0.31% for stomach cancer, 0.01% and 0.07% for small intestine cancer, 0.13% and 2.15% for colon cancer, 0.03% and 0.72% for rectum cancer, 0.01% and 0.08% for anal canal cancer, 0.00% and 0.10% for liver cancer, 0.01% and 0.14% for gallbladder and biliary tract cancer, and 0.03% and 0.65% for pancreas cancer; [figure 1](#)). The incidence rate per 100 000 person years was increasing slightly during follow-up for any gastrointestinal cancers (319 during +1 years, 249 during 2–5 years, 336 during 6–10 years, and 398 beyond 10 years of follow-up; [table 2](#)). The SIR for cancer of the stomach was increased approximately 1.5-fold during 2–5 year of follow-up (1.48, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.90) and 6–10 years of follow-up (1.43, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.88), after which it was moderately elevated at 1.2-fold. The SIR for cancer of the colon was neutral during 2–5 years of follow-up, and approximately 1.2-fold increased beyond 5 years of follow-up ([table 2](#)). The excess risk of colon cancer was mainly driven by localised cancer (+1 year

SIR estimates were 1.20, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.33 for localised colon cancer, 1.08, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.23 for regional spread disease, and 1.06, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.21 for distant stage cancer). For cancer of the oesophagus, the SIR was increased only during 6–10 years (1.55, 95% CI 1.10 to 2.13). While there was a weak association with pancreas cancer beyond 10 years after breast cancer diagnosis, the risks of the remaining gastrointestinal cancers were not appreciably increased at any point during follow-up.

Time-trend analyses revealed that the risk was higher than expected for lower gastrointestinal cancers, particularly colon cancer, but only after 2007 (SIR=1.21, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.34; [table 3](#)). The opposite trend was observed for upper gastrointestinal cancers, where the SIR was increased during the first part of the study (1.42, 95% CI 1.19 to 1.68). The remaining outcomes were largely unaffected by calendar period stratification.

Additional analyses

In age-stratified analyses, the association was attenuated with increasing age for all subtypes of gastrointestinal cancer ([table 4](#)). This pattern was especially pronounced for upper gastrointestinal cancers. No substantial difference in risk of secondary cancers was observed for localised and regional breast cancer stages with the exception of upper gastrointestinal cancers where the SIRs were 1.52 (95% CI 1.27 to 1.81) for regionally advanced breast cancer and 1.09 (95% CI 0.93 to 1.28) for localised breast

Table 3 Risk of selected site-specific gastrointestinal cancers in patients with breast cancer (1990–2017), by calendar periods

	1990–2006			2007–2017		
	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)	O/E	IR per 100 000 PY (95% CI)	SIR (95% CI)
Gastrointestinal cancers overall	864/814	270 (252 to 288)	1.06 (0.99 to 1.14)	512/451	323 (295 to 350)	1.13 (1.04 to 1.24)
Upper gastrointestinal cancers	135/95	42 (35 to 49)	1.42 (1.19 to 1.68)	55/53	35 (25 to 44)	1.05 (0.79 to 1.36)
Oesophagus	39/32	12 (8 to 16)	1.21 (0.86 to 1.66)	16/19	10 (5 to 15)	0.85 (0.49 to 1.39)
Stomach	78/52	24 (19 to 30)	1.50 (1.19 to 1.87)	34/25	21 (14 to 29)	1.36 (0.94 to 1.90)
Small intestine	18/11	6 (3 to 8)	1.65 (0.98 to 2.60)	5/9	3 (0 to 6)	0.57 (0.19 to 1.34)
Lower gastrointestinal cancers	558/543	175 (160 to 189)	1.03 (0.94 to 1.12)	371/306	234 (210 to 257)	1.21 (1.09 to 1.34)
Colon incl. rectosigmoid colon	378/379	118 (106 to 130)	1.00 (0.90 to 1.10)	278/215	175 (155 to 196)	1.30 (1.15 to 1.46)
Rectum	162/148	51 (43 to 59)	1.10 (0.94 to 1.28)	87/80	55 (43 to 66)	1.09 (0.87 to 1.34)
Anal canal	18/17	6 (3 to 8)	1.06 (0.63 to 1.68)	6/11	4 (1 to 7)	0.53 (0.19 to 1.15)
Other gastrointestinal cancers	171/175	54 (45 to 62)	0.97 (0.83 to 1.13)	86/93	54 (43 to 66)	0.93 (0.74 to 1.15)
Liver	22/27	7 (4 to 10)	0.82 (0.51 to 1.24)	10/16	6 (2 to 10)	0.64 (0.31 to 1.19)
Gallbladder and biliary tract	23/30	7 (4 to 10)	0.77 (0.49 to 1.16)	13/15	8 (4 to 13)	0.87 (0.46 to 1.49)
Pancreas	126/119	39 (33 to 46)	1.06 (0.88 to 1.26)	63/62	40 (30 to 49)	1.01 (0.78 to 1.29)

The analysis was restricted to 1–10 years of follow-up.

E, expected; IR, incidence rate; O, observed; PY, person years; SIR, standardised incidence ratio.

Table 4 Risk of gastrointestinal cancers among 1-year patients with breast cancer, by subgroups

	Observed/expected	Incidence rate per 100 000 person years (95% CI)	Standardised incidence ratio (95% CI)
Upper gastrointestinal cancers			
Age group			
18–49 years	40/23	23 (16 to 30)	1.72 (1.23 to 2.35)
50–69 years	164/136	43 (36 to 50)	1.21 (1.03 to 1.41)
≥70 years	75/63	68 (53 to 84)	1.18 (0.93 to 1.48)
Breast cancer stage			
Localised	151/138	38 (32 to 44)	1.09 (0.93 to 1.28)
Regional	128/84	48 (40 to 56)	1.52 (1.27 to 1.81)
Oestrogen receptor status*			
Positive	124/105	40 (33 to 47)	1.18 (0.98 to 1.40)
Negative	26/19	41 (25 to 56)	1.40 (0.91 to 2.05)
Unknown	50/39	42 (30 to 54)	1.28 (0.95 to 1.69)
HER2 status*			
Positive	5/2	57 (7 to 106)	2.16 (0.70 to 5.04)
Negative	12/11	33 (15 to 52)	1.08 (0.56 to 1.89)
Unknown	183/150	41 (35 to 47)	1.22 (1.05 to 1.41)
Lower gastrointestinal cancers			
Age group			
18–49 years	149/119	85 (72 to 99)	1.25 (1.06 to 1.47)
50–69 years	861/777	225 (210 to 240)	1.11 (1.04 to 1.18)
≥70 years	419/404	381 (344 to 417)	1.04 (0.94 to 1.14)
Breast cancer stage			
Localised	864/811	217 (202 to 231)	1.07 (1.00 to 1.14)
Regional	565/490	211 (194 to 229)	1.15 (1.06 to 1.25)
Oestrogen receptor status*			
Positive	715/623	230 (213 to 247)	1.15 (1.06 to 1.23)
Negative	123/108	193 (159 to 227)	1.14 (0.95 to 1.36)
Unknown	243/229	204 (179 to 230)	1.06 (0.93 to 1.20)
HER2 status*			
Positive	22/13	249 (145 to 353)	1.68 (1.05 to 2.54)
Negative	76/64	212 (164 to 259)	1.19 (0.94 to 1.49)
Unknown	983/883	219 (205 to 233)	1.11 (1.04 to 1.18)
Other gastrointestinal cancers			
Age group			
18–49 years	46/35	26 (19 to 34)	1.32 (0.96 to 1.75)
50–69 years	252/249	66 (58 to 74)	1.01 (0.89 to 1.15)
≥70 years	118/125	107 (88 to 127)	0.94 (0.78 to 1.13)
Breast cancer stage			
Localised	264/255	66 (58 to 74)	1.04 (0.92 to 1.17)
Regional	152/154	57 (48 to 66)	0.99 (0.84 to 1.16)
Oestrogen receptor status*			
Positive	196/194	63 (54 to 72)	1.01 (0.87 to 1.16)
Negative	39/34	61 (42 to 80)	1.16 (0.83 to 1.59)
Unknown	66/72	56 (42 to 69)	0.92 (0.71 to 1.17)

Continued

Table 4 Continued

	Observed/expected	Incidence rate per 100 000 person years (95% CI)	Standardised incidence ratio (95% CI)
<i>HER2</i> status*			
Positive	†	23 (0 to 54)	0.50 (0.06 to 1.81)
Negative	16/19	45 (23 to 66)	0.84 (0.48 to 1.36)
Unknown	†	63 (56 to 70)	1.03 (0.91 to 1.15)

*Restricted to 1996 onwards due to limitations in data availability.

†Observed and expected numbers are not reported due to too few events.

HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

cancer. When stratifying by oestrogen receptor status, the SIRs were not substantially affected for any outcome. The SIR of lower gastrointestinal cancers was elevated among *HER2* positive patients, but not substantially for *HER2* negative patients.

In analyses stratified by treatment during the first year after the breast cancer diagnosis, the SIR was largely unaffected by radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and tamoxifen therapy for all outcomes (table 5). We observed no impact of lumpectomy or mastectomy on the risk of secondary gastrointestinal cancers. Due to sparse data, estimates were inconclusive for patients receiving aromatase inhibitor treatment. The sensitivity analyses allowing a minimum of 2 years of follow-up revealed estimates consistent with the main analysis (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this Danish population-based study, patients with breast cancer had slightly higher than expected long-term risk (<10 years) of cancers of the oesophagus, stomach, and colon, but not other gastrointestinal cancers compared with risks in the general population. The associations were strongest for stomach cancer. Our analyses focusing on temporality showed that the risk of upper gastrointestinal cancer was only increased before 2007, while the risk of lower gastrointestinal cancer only was increased after 2007. The associations were generally stronger in younger versus elderly patients. No substantial treatment effects were observed for patients treated with radiotherapy, chemotherapy, tamoxifen, lumpectomy or mastectomy and there was no difference in subgroups of patients with oestrogen receptor positive breast cancer.

In the present study, we confirmed the findings of a previous study of 525 527 patients with breast cancer from 13 European countries, suggesting a higher than expected long-term (1–9 years) risk of new-onset oesophageal cancer (1.3–2.1-fold), stomach cancer (1.3–1.5-fold), and colorectal cancer (1.2–1.3 fold) among patients with breast cancer.¹⁰ Further, our data largely confirmed the findings of a Dutch study of 58 068 patients with invasive breast cancer (1989–2003), which reported increased risks for oesophagus cancer (1.6-fold), stomach cancer (1.3-fold), colorectal cancers (1.1–1.3-fold), but not other gastrointestinal cancers (pancreas and gall bladder).¹²

The same pattern for colorectal cancer and pancreas cancer was found in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort.¹⁴ In contrast to our findings, two studies of 1-year breast cancer survivors recorded in the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) during 1977–2001¹¹ did not report any substantial increased risk for oesophagus cancer, stomach cancer, colon cancer, or other types of gastrointestinal cancers. Other studies from Taiwan,⁵ USA,¹⁵ and France⁸ reported data with no substantial association between breast cancer and gastrointestinal cancers overall.

Few studies examined the impact of various treatment modalities on the risk of gastrointestinal cancers following a breast cancer diagnosis, yielding somewhat conflicting results.^{6,9,13} In many of these studies, relatively few gastrointestinal cancer outcomes occurred across the different exposure/treatment groups, resulting in imprecise effect estimates and thus difficulties in drawing meaningful conclusions. Based on data from the Osaka Cancer Registry in Japan (1973–2003),¹³ recent data from the DBCG,⁶ and our study, radiation therapy was linked to an increased risk of mainly stomach cancer. A Japanese study found increased risk of stomach cancer among tamoxifen-treated patients, but not among non-tamoxifen-treated patients—a finding which could not be confirmed in our analysis. Studies on chemotherapy and subsequent risk of gastrointestinal cancers are sparse, heterogeneous and not directly comparable to our findings.

The mechanisms by which breast cancer are associated with some but not all gastrointestinal cancers are probably complex and multifactorial. Cancers potentially attributable to local radiotherapy for breast cancer include oesophagus and stomach cancer due to the close proximity to the breasts. Radiation therapy has become increasingly targeted during the past decades, and thus less likely to affect tissues surrounding the breast. This may explain why the associations observed in our study tapered off in the last part of the study period, in contrast to previous studies.¹⁰ In Denmark, the national breast cancer screening programme was launched in 2007. Consequently, a larger proportion of women diagnosed in the late study period rather than the early study period likely were patients with localised disease. These patients

Table 5 Risk of gastrointestinal cancers in patients with breast cancer, by treatment during the first year after breast cancer diagnosis

	Observed/expected	Incidence rate per 100 000 person years (95% CI)	Standardised incidence ratio (95% CI)
Upper gastrointestinal cancers			
Radiotherapy			
No	163/130	45 (38 to 52)	1.26 (1.07 to 1.47)
Yes	116/93	38 (31 to 45)	1.25 (1.03 to 1.50)
Chemotherapy			
No	221/184	46 (40 to 52)	1.20 (1.05 to 1.37)
Yes	58/39	31 (23 to 40)	1.51 (1.14 to 1.95)
Tamoxifen therapy			
No	224/180	41 (35 to 46)	1.24 (1.09 to 1.42)
Yes	55/42	47 (35 to 59)	1.30 (0.98 to 1.70)
Aromatase inhibitor treatment*			
No	†	34 (25 to 43)	1.03 (0.78 to 1.35)
Yes	†	131 (–50 to 312)	2.70 (0.33 to 9.74)
Lumpectomy‡			
No	96/74	46 (37 to 55)	1.30 (1.05 to 1.59)
Yes	104/89	37 (30 to 44)	1.16 (0.95 to 1.41)
Mastectomy‡			
No	84/79	34 (27 to 42)	1.07 (0.85 to 1.32)
Yes	116/84	47 (38 to 55)	1.38 (1.14 to 1.65)
Lower gastrointestinal cancers			
Radiotherapy			
No	810/771	225 (210 to 241)	1.05 (0.98 to 1.13)
Yes	619/530	202 (186 to 217)	1.17 (1.08 to 1.26)
Chemotherapy			
No	1168/1092	242 (228 to 256)	1.07 (1.01 to 1.13)
Yes	261/208	141 (124 to 159)	1.25 (1.11 to 1.42)
Tamoxifen therapy			
No	1158/1049	211 (199 to 223)	1.10 (1.04 to 1.17)
Yes	271/251	231 (204 to 259)	1.08 (0.95 to 1.21)
Aromatase inhibitor treatment*			
No	†	234 (210 to 258)	1.22 (1.10 to 1.35)
Yes	†	196 (–26 to 418)	0.65 (0.13 to 1.88)
Lumpectomy‡			
No	499/443	237 (217 to 258)	1.13 (1.03 to 1.23)
Yes	582/517	205 (189 to 222)	1.13 (1.04 to 1.22)
Mastectomy‡			
No	507/456	207 (189 to 225)	1.11 (1.02 to 1.21)
Yes	574/504	231 (212 to 250)	1.14 (1.05 to 1.24)
Other gastrointestinal cancers			
Radiotherapy			
No	263/243	73 (64 to 82)	1.08 (0.96 to 1.22)
Yes	153/165	50 (42 to 58)	0.92 (0.78 to 1.08)
Chemotherapy			

Continued

Table 5 Continued

	Observed/expected	Incidence rate per 100 000 person years (95% CI)	Standardised incidence ratio (95% CI)
No	355/345	74 (66 to 81)	1.03 (0.92 to 1.14)
Yes	61/63	33 (25 to 41)	0.97 (0.74 to 1.24)
Tamoxifen therapy			
No	351/329	64 (57 to 71)	1.07 (0.96 to 1.19)
Yes	65/80	56 (42 to 69)	0.82 (0.63 to 1.04)
Aromatase inhibitor treatment*			
No	86/92	54 (43 to 66)	0.93 (0.75 to 1.15)
Yes	†		
Lumpectomy‡			
No	142/139	68 (56 to 79)	1.02 (0.86 to 1.21)
Yes	159/160	56 (47 to 65)	0.99 (0.84 to 1.16)
Mastectomy‡			
No	145/141	59 (50 to 69)	1.03 (0.87 to 1.21)
Yes	156/158	63 (53 to 73)	0.99 (0.84 to 1.16)

*Restricted to 2007 onwards due to limitations in data availability.

†Observed and expected numbers are not reported due to too few events.

‡Restricted to 1996 onwards due to limitations in data availability.

require less extensive cancer treatment, and this mechanism may contribute to our findings.

It is also possible that breast cancer and some gastrointestinal cancers are independent cancers driven by shared genetic mutations, but with a longer latency period for gastrointestinal cancers. For example, *HER2* overexpression is increasingly recognised as a molecular abnormality involved in the pathogenesis of gastric and oesophageal cancers.²⁹ Similarly, a recent study of patients with Lynch syndrome reported a markedly higher risk of breast cancer among *MSH2* mutation carriers than in the general Canadian population, suggesting a link between colorectal cancer and breast cancer.³⁰ Beyond breast and ovarian cancers, it has previously been proposed that mutations in the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes increase risks for pancreatic cancer. This potential association was not apparent in other studies,^{10 12 14} but cannot be ruled out based on our observations. In addition, clear patterns have emerged that alcohol consumption is linked to both breast cancer and many other types of cancer, including gastrointestinal cancers.³¹ As opposed to this, use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, which is commonly used among patients with breast cancer, may have reduced the risk of some new primary gastrointestinal cancers, for example, colorectal cancer.³² Importantly, we showed that the SIR was moderately increased for colon cancer after 2007. A national population-based screening programme for colon cancer was implemented in Denmark in 2014. This could have affected our estimates if breast cancer survivors were more likely to participate in the screening programmes and opportunistic screening than individuals in the general population. Oestrogen pathways may be involved in the development of colon cancer,³³ and

therefore the introduction of aromatase inhibitors may, at least partly, contribute to our findings, although a recent study did not report an association between use of aromatase inhibitors and colon cancer.³⁴

Knowing and quantifying the risk of gastrointestinal cancers among patients with breast cancer are of major public interest to substantiate and possibly refine guideline recommendations. Although our analysis suggested slightly elevated SIR estimates, the cumulative risk of cancer was relatively low, with highest risk observed for colon cancer. It was not an objective of our study to determine whether patients with breast cancer would benefit from additional screening, for example, colonoscopy, but the low absolute risks support that extensive screening strategies to detect more gastrointestinal cancers are not indicated.

Our analysis was based on detailed population-based data with long-term complete follow-up in a uniform healthcare system. Thus, our results are less prone to selection bias, stemming from inclusion of specific study participants or informative loss to follow-up. Limitations should also be addressed. The relatively low number of rare gastrointestinal cancer events prohibits drawing firm conclusions in some of the subgroup analyses. Although we had several detailed clinical data, we had some missing data issues (eg, *HER2* status) and lacked data on some variables (eg, life style factors). The accuracy and completeness of cancer diagnoses are high in the DCR,¹⁸ although the breast cancer diagnosis has not been validated.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the risk of being diagnosed with oesophagus cancer, stomach cancer, and colon cancer, but not other

gastrointestinal cancers, was higher for patients with breast cancer than for the general population. The excess risk was most noteworthy for stomach cancer. Underlying explanations for these observations may include shared risk factors, surveillance and/or be related to cancer treatments. The risk of lower gastrointestinal cancers was negligible before 2007, but increased thereafter. For upper gastrointestinal cancers, the opposite pattern was observed.

Contributors HTS conceived the study idea. KA, JS, DCF, and HTS designed the study. KA and JS reviewed the literature, organised the writing, wrote initial drafts and directed the analyses which were carried out by DKF. All authors participated in the discussion and interpretation of the results. All authors critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version.

Funding This work was supported by Program for Clinical Research Infrastructure established by the Lundbeck Foundation and the Novo Nordisk Foundation and administered by the Danish Regions.

Disclaimer The funding source had no role in the design, conduct, analysis, or reporting of the study.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency, record number (2016-051-000001).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available. Danish law does not allow researchers to share raw data or datasets which include individual-level data points from the registries with third parties.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Kasper Adelborg <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5639-7252>

Jens Sundbøll <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1276-1419>

REFERENCES

- 1 Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, *et al.* Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries and 25 major cancers in 2018. *Eur J Cancer* 2018;103:356–87.
- 2 Carioli G, Malvezzi M, Rodriguez T, *et al.* Trends and predictions to 2020 in breast cancer mortality: Americas and Australasia. *Breast* 2018;37:163–9.
- 3 Guo F, Kuo Y-F, Shih YCT, *et al.* Trends in breast cancer mortality by stage at diagnosis among young women in the United States. *Cancer* 2018;124:3500–9.
- 4 Jensen AR, Madsen AH, Overgaard J. Trends in breast cancer during three decades in Denmark: stage at diagnosis, surgical management and survival. *Acta Oncol* 2008;47:537–44.
- 5 Lin C-Y, Chen S-H, Huang C-C, *et al.* Risk of secondary cancers in women with breast cancer and the influence of radiotherapy: a national cohort study in Taiwan. *Medicine* 2016;95:e5556.
- 6 Grantzau T, Møller M, Overgaard J. Second primary cancers after adjuvant radiotherapy in early breast cancer patients: a national population based study under the Danish breast cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG). *Radiother Oncol* 2013;106:42–9.
- 7 Kirova YM, De Ruyck Y, Gambotti L, *et al.* Second malignancies after breast cancer: the impact of different treatment modalities. *Br J Cancer* 2008;98:870–4.
- 8 Bazire L, De Ruyck Y, Asselain B, *et al.* Risks of second malignancies after breast cancer treatment: long-term results. *Cancer Radiother* 2017;21:10–15.
- 9 Matsuyama Y, Tominaga T, Nomura Y, *et al.* Second cancers after adjuvant tamoxifen therapy for breast cancer in Japan. *Ann Oncol* 2000;11:1537–43.
- 10 Møller M, Friis S, Olsen JH, *et al.* Risk of second cancer among women with breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118:2285–92.
- 11 Andersson M, Jensen M-B, Engholm G, *et al.* Risk of second primary cancer among patients with early operable breast cancer registered or randomised in Danish breast cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) protocols of the 77, 82 and 89 programmes during 1977–2001. *Acta Oncol* 2008;47:755–64.
- 12 Schaapveld M, Visser O, Louwman MJ, *et al.* Risk of new primary nonbreast cancers after breast cancer treatment: a Dutch population-based study. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1239–46.
- 13 Kamigaki Y, Kawakami K. Risk of second cancer after initial treatment of breast cancer: an Osaka cancer registry database study. *Oncol Lett* 2011;2:963–73.
- 14 Ricceri F, Fasanelli F, Giraudo MT, *et al.* Risk of second primary malignancies in women with breast cancer: results from the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:940–8.
- 15 Raymond JS, Hogue CJR. Multiple primary tumours in women following breast cancer, 1973–2000. *Br J Cancer* 2006;94:1745–50.
- 16 Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Adelborg K, *et al.* The Danish health care system and epidemiological research: from health care contacts to database records. *Clin Epidemiol* 2019;11:563–91.
- 17 Schmidt M, Pedersen L, Sørensen HT. The Danish civil registration system as a tool in epidemiology. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2014;29:541–9.
- 18 Storm HH, Michelsen EV, Clemmensen IH, *et al.* The Danish Cancer Registry--history, content, quality and use. *Dan Med Bull* 1997;44:535–9.
- 19 Erichsen R, Lash TL, Hamilton-Dutoit SJ, *et al.* Existing data sources for clinical epidemiology: the Danish national pathology registry and data bank. *Clin Epidemiol* 2010;2:51–6.
- 20 Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Sandegaard JL, *et al.* The Danish national patient registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol* 2015;7:449–90.
- 21 DBCGs protokoller, samlet oversigt. Available: https://www.dbcg.dk/PDF/DBCG_2014_protokoller_oversigt_01.08.2014.pdf [Accessed 20 Jan 2020].
- 22 Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, *et al.* A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40:373–83.
- 23 Greenland S, Rothman KJ, Lash TL. Measures of effect and measures of association. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL, eds. *Modern epidemiology*. 3rd edn. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008: 55–6.
- 24 Satagopan JM, Ben-Porat L, Berwick M, *et al.* A note on competing risks in survival data analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2004;91:1229–35.
- 25 Greenland S, Rothman KJ. Fundamentals of epidemiologic data analysis. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL, eds. *Modern epidemiology*. 3rd edn. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008: 226–7.
- 26 Greenland S, Rothman KJ, Lash TL. Measures of effect and measures of association. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL, eds. *Modern epidemiology*. 3rd edn. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008: 68–9.
- 27 Breslow NE, Day NE. *Statistical methods in cancer research volume II: the design and analysis of cohort studies*. IARC Scientific Publication, 1987: 82. 1–406.
- 28 Lund JL, Horváth-Puhó E, Komjáthiné Szépligeti S, *et al.* Conditioning on future exposure to define study cohorts can induce bias: the case of low-dose acetylsalicylic acid and risk of major bleeding. *Clin Epidemiol* 2017;9:611–26.
- 29 Iqbal N, Iqbal N. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in cancers: overexpression and therapeutic implications. *Mol Biol Int* 2014;2014:852748.
- 30 Goldberg M, Bell K, Aronson M, *et al.* Association between the Lynch syndrome gene MSH2 and breast cancer susceptibility in a Canadian familial cancer registry. *J Med Genet* 2017;54:742–6.
- 31 Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, *et al.* Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2015;112:580–93.
- 32 Nan H, Hutter CM, Lin Y, *et al.* Association of aspirin and NSAID use with risk of colorectal cancer according to genetic variants. *JAMA* 2015;313:1133–42.
- 33 Barzi A, Lenz AM, Labonte MJ, *et al.* Molecular pathways: estrogen pathway in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013;19:5842–8.
- 34 Khosrow-Khavar F, Yin H, Barkun A, *et al.* Aromatase inhibitors and the risk of colorectal cancer in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29:744–8.