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ABSTRACT

Objective To describe the various mechanisms of liver disease in patients with HIV infection, and to link these mechanisms to disease states which may utilise them.

Background Non-AIDS causes of morbidity and mortality are becoming increasingly common in patients chronically infected with HIV. In particular, liver-related diseases have risen to become one of the leading causes of non-AIDS-related death. A thorough understanding of the mechanisms driving the development of liver disease in these patients is essential when evaluating and caring for these patients.

Methods The literature regarding mechanisms of liver disease by which different disease entities may cause hepatic injury and fibrosis was reviewed and synthesised.

Results A number of discrete mechanisms of injury were identified, to include: oxidative stress, mitochondrial injury, lipotoxicity, immune-mediated injury, cytotoxicity, toxic metabolite accumulation, gut microbial translocation, systemic inflammation, senescence and nodular regenerative hyperplasia. Disease states may use any number of these mechanisms to exert their effect on the liver.

Conclusions The mechanisms by which liver injury may occur in patients with HIV infection are numerous. Most disease states use multiple mechanisms to cause hepatic injury and fibrosis.

INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in the mid-1990s, HIV has become a manageable (though incurable) chronic disease.1 As HIV-infected patients live longer, non-AIDS illnesses are becoming increasingly important sources of morbidity and mortality in the HIV-infected population.1 In particular, liver-related diseases are becoming increasingly prominent in HIV-infected patients. For example, 5%–25% of patients may be coinfected with hepatitis B virus (HBV),2 30% with hepatitis C virus (HCV)3 and 30%–40% of patients may exhibit signs of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).4 Liver-related disease has been estimated to account for 13%–18% of all-cause mortality in HIV-infected patients and is one of the leading causes of non-AIDS-related death.5–7 Therefore, early and prompt recognition and diagnosis of liver disease in its early stages is an essential component of ensuring continued improvements in morbidity and mortality in this population.

The mechanisms of liver diseases in those living with HIV somewhat depend on the function of the immune system. Data from Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) network of Integrated Clinical Systems study cohort demonstrated that poorly controlled HIV monoinfection is an independent risk factor for liver fibrosis.8 The development of liver fibrosis represents the most clinically relevant final common pathway of hepatic injury. A detailed description of the mechanisms of hepatic fibrogenesis is beyond the scope of this review. However, numerous publications that provide a detailed synthesis of this final pathway are available.9 HIV-infected patients with controlled disease (suppressed HIV RNA and restored CD4 counts) are prone to developing liver diseases from simple and common causes such as alcoholic and NAFLD, viral hepatitis, and ageing in addition to more HIV-specific processes such as HAART-related toxicity and direct injury to the liver by the HIV virus itself. While the mechanisms that cause liver injury and fibrosis in the HIV-infected patient are distinct, the patterns with which they are used by various disease states is not, with a single disease state often using a number different mechanisms in its pathogenesis. With this in mind, a broad understanding of these mechanisms of liver injury, followed by a linking of these mechanisms to the diseases that use them, may be of utility to both clinicians and investigators caring for the HIV-infected patient with liver disease. Typical mechanisms of liver disease in these patients include oxidative stress, mitochondrial injury, lipotoxicity, immune-mediated injury, cytotoxicity, toxic metabolite accumulation, gut microbial translocation, systemic inflammation, senescence and nodular regenerative hyperplasia (figure 1).
OXIDATIVE STRESS
Oxidative stress is a process by which free reactive oxygen species (ROS) cause increased activation of Kupffer cells in the liver. These activated immune cells promote stellate cell activation via nuclear factor kappa-beta (NF-κB) and activator protein 1, leading to increased production of proinflammatory and profibrotic cytokines. Left unchecked, liver damage, fibrosis and cirrhosis may result.10 Alcohol, viral hepatitis, NAFLD, HIV and medications have all been shown to cause liver damage via this mechanism. Alcohol increases concentrations of ROS throughout the body by inducing a systemic hyperhomocystinaemia.11 In the case of HBV and HCV, the immune response associated with active infection leads to increased concentrations of ROS, with the effect of HCV appearing to be potentiated by comorbid HIV infection.12 In HBV, accumulation of HBV proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) can lead to DNA damage, and the Negative Regulatory Factor (NEF) protein can affect metabolism of lipid droplets causing an increase in ROS.13 A similar mechanism is seen in NAFLD as a result of increased hepatic free fatty acid (FFA) oxidation.14 The HIV directly activates hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) via the gp120 receptor, activating metabolic pathways resulting in ROS.15 Finally, HIV medications, most notably nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) such as didanosine can cause mitochondrial toxicity and oxidative stress.15

MITOCRHAL INJURY
Mitochondrial products serve as the primary source of useful energy to the hepatocyte. As such, any process that impairs mitochondrial function can lead to hepatic injury. The primary mechanism by which mitochondrial injury occurs in patients with HIV is increased stress on the ER. This increased ER stress may occur due to a variety of mechanisms, with a final common pathway of triggering increased production of inflammatory cytokines and a mitochondrial ‘alarm response’ that culminates in increased macrophage activation and beta-oxidation of accumulated fatty acids within the liver. In the case of NAFLD, viral hepatitis, HIV and alcohol, this ER stress is initiated by activation of the IRE1/TRAF 2 (Inositol REquiring 1 / TNF receptor-associated factor 2) pathway.11 14

In addition, antiretroviral drugs, in particular older NRTIs and protease inhibitors (PIs), can directly cause mitochondrial toxicity. In the case of the older NRTIs, this is primarily via a mechanism of increased lipid content of cell membranes leading to ER stress and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction.16 In the case of the PIs (primarily indinavir and ritonavir), a PI-mediated decrease in sacroplasmic/ER calcium ATPase can lead to ER stress by decreasing levels of Ca2+ within the ER. This PI-mediated effect may be potentiated by concomitant alcohol use.13

LIPOTOXICITY
The primary mechanism of lipotoxicity on the liver is the result of increased FFAs in the liver. Peroxidation of these FFAs leads to increased ROS and ER stress, with resultant fibrosis occurring via mechanisms discussed in corresponding sections above.14 In the HIV-infected patient, a number of mechanisms can be responsible for this increased hepatic FFA accumulation. The prevalence of NAFLD may be up to 30%–40% in patients with HIV.4 The pathophysiology of NAFLD is complex, with accumulation of FFAs in the liver leading to metabolic dysregulation resulting in (and being reinforced by) the development of insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia and obesity, features of the metabolic syndrome that are commonly, but not always, associated with NAFLD.14 While genetic variants such as PNPLA3 polymorphisms17 and endocrinopathies that may predispose a patient to NAFLD or Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) may be presumed to be evenly distributed in HIV and non-HIV patients, there are circumstances unique to the HIV-infected patient that may contribute to the development of NAFLD and NASH. For example, medications specific to treatment of HIV may lead to fatty acid accumulation via a variety of pathways. PIs have been noted to alter hepatic FFA composition by a variety of mechanisms such as inducing insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia. PI-mediated alteration of adiponectin and resistin levels leads to increased body fat composition and decreased insulin sensitivity, resulting in increased interleukin (IL)-6 and HSC activation, as well as increased leptin, which in turn upregulates transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-B) production and creation of ROS.18 Additionally, indinavir may increase activity of hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG CoA) synthase, leading to increased cholesterol in cell membranes, as well as increasing activity of fatty acid synthase, which leads to increasing levels of monounsaturated fatty acids in hepatocytes.19

IMMUNE-MEDIATED INJURY
The two primary immune cells of the liver are the HSC and the Kupffer cell. Kupffer cells activate local inflammatory responses and hepatocellular repair,18 while HSCs...
act as the primary drivers of hepatic fibrogenesis and deposition of extracellular matrix proteins. An upset of balance between the activities of these two cell lines can lead to increased hepatic cell death and fibrosis. Viral agents, primarily HBV, HCV and HIV are the primary diseases that affect the liver via immune-mediated mechanisms. In the case of HBV, the mechanism is primarily via interference of the dendritic cells, via alteration of toll-like receptor signalling leading to inefficient activation of dendritic cells and, by extension, hepatic immune function. HCV can cause natural killer cell dysregulation via its E2 protein, leading to overexpression of profibrotic cytokines. HIV can interact with HSCs via gp120 producing inappropriate activation and increased HSC production of collagen and monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP-1) (a macrophage chemotactic protein). HIV also functions to decrease the number of Kupffer cells in the liver, and in doing so significantly impacts the ability of the liver to clear products of microbial translocation from the portal blood. The imbalance between CD4 and CD8 cells seen in HIV infection can lead to alteration in the cytokine profiles, with reduction in antifibrotic cytokines mediated by a decrease in interferon (IFN)-gamma from Th1 cells and an increase in profibrotic cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-10 and IL-13) due to a relative increase in TH2 signal. Finally, autoimmune hepatitis, while rare in the HIV population, exerts its effect by local immune-mediated injury. Literature is limited primarily to case reports, with one reported case of autoimmune hepatitis presenting as a component of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS). IRIS is a unique entity to HIV-infected patients and as such offers a novel avenue for liver injury. IRIS is characterised by paradoxical worsening of a pre-existing infection or the emergence of a new infection after initiation of treatment of HAART, typically within 4-8 weeks after initiation. While a number of infectious agents may be implicated, those of most interest to hepatologists are HBV and HCV. In the case of chronic HBV, reconstitution of a previously suppressed immune system may cause a patient to transition from an immune tolerant status characterised by normal alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and minimal liver injury/fibrosis to active hepatitis (chronic or episodic) with resulting liver injury and fibrosis. In HIV/HCV coinfection, treatment with HAART may precipitate flares of hepatitis in up to 18% of patients and appears to lead to transient increases in liver enzymes, HCV replication rates and viral loads on initiation of HAART. Though often self-limited, these flares may lead to hepatic decompensation in patients with pre-existing cirrhosis.

**CYTOTOXICITY**

Direct cytotoxicity as a cause of liver disease in the HIV-infected patient is primarily seen as a result of infection with HCV or HIV. HCV may trigger hepatocyte apoptosis via its E2 protein. HIV also has a directly cytotoxic effect on hepatocytes, primarily triggering apoptosis via the HIV gp120 protein-receptor signalling pathway. These directly cytotoxic effects appear to be enhanced in patients coinfected with HIV and HCV, with each virus having significant effects on the other’s replication, immune dysregulation and cytotoxicity, even with complete control of HIV replication by HAART. An additional cytotoxic mechanism of injury unique to the HIV/HCV coinfected patient is fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis. First reported in 2002, fibrosing cholestatic HCV is characterised by extensive, dense portal fibrosis and cholestasis with rapid deterioration of liver function and eventual graft failure in patients with HIV/HCV undergoing immunosuppressive therapy after solid organ transplant. Incidence and severity are variable. In one relatively large (n=59) cohort, incidence of FCH was 19%, with 9 of the 11 patients affected progressing to graft failure and death within 26.3 months of liver transplant (LT) despite treatment with pegylated IFN and ribavirin. Of note, this cohort was collected prior to the widespread use of Direct Acting Antivirals (DAAs) to treat HCV (cohort 1999–2008), and more recent cohorts of patients with post-transplant FCH have shown significantly better outcomes with more modern HCV treatments.

**ACCUMULATION OF TOXIC METABOLITES**

Hepatotoxic metabolites are myriad and responsible for a significant portion of liver disease in non-HIV patients. While acetaminophen is the prototypical agent for toxin-induced liver injury, there are specific mechanisms more unique to the HIV-infected population. Medications used with greater frequency in HIV-infected persons, including both HAART components and medications used to treat opportunistic infections, may lead to accumulation of toxic metabolites. For example, agents such as ketoconazole and erythromycin inhibit cytochrome P450 function in addition to having direct effects on liver chemistries, while drugs such as isoniazid are well known as a direct cause of hepatotoxicity and idiosyncratic liver injury. In addition, patients with HIV appear to have increased risk of hypersensitivity reactions when compared with the general population, possibly predisposing them to higher likelihood of Drug induced liver injury (DILI) from medications such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and acyclovir. Additionally, drug-drug interactions seen in these patients who are typically burdened by polypharmacy may potentiate hepatotoxic effects of other agents. For example, ribavirin (typically used as a component of treatment in HCV/HIV coinfected patients), if coadministered with didanosine, can decrease didanosine phosphorylation and increase cellular concentrations of didanosine, leading to mitochondrial toxicity. In the case of alcohol, PIs appear to cause downregulation of the P450 enzyme CYP2E1 (typically upregulated in response to alcohol consumption to aid in clearance of the toxic alcohol metabolite
acetaldehyde), leading to increased susceptibility to alcohol-related hepatotoxicity.37

GUT MICROBIAL TRANSLocation
Gut microbial translocation leads to hepatic injury primarily via increased hepatic levels of bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) causing hepatic inflammation by one of three mechanisms: (1) recruitment and activation of inflammatory cells (Kupffer cells and HSCs), (2) indirectly inducing systemic immune responses and promoting hepatocyte cell death38 39 and (3) inducing production of proinflammatory cytokines and acute phase reactants such as transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFβ1), IL-6 and IL-10.40 41 As our understanding of gut–liver axis improves, this pathological translocation of products of bacterial degradation is becoming recognised as an increasingly significant mechanism of liver dysfunction in a number of disease states. In the case of HIV, acute infection directly targets gut lymphocyte tissue and preferentially depletes CD22, CD4 and TH17.42–45 In addition, HIV viral proteins increase production of inflammatory cytokines by gut epithelium, leading to increased apoptosis of epithelial cells and breakdown of tight junctions.42 46–48 Of note, this gut barrier dysfunction appears to persist even after successful treatment with HAART, with sCD14 (a surrogate marker for the presence of bacterial LPS) remaining elevated even after systemic markers of infection such as viral load and IL-6 have normalised.49 50

In both cases, the end result is increased intestinal permeability and hepatic exposure to LPS. LPS exerts its effect in the liver primarily by modulating the activity and phenotypes of HSCs via the toll-like-receptor 4 (TLR4)-mediated signalling pathway.50 Once activated, this HSC TLR4 pathway results in activation of three major transcriptional complexes including nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-kB), activator protein 1 (AP-1) and IFN regulatory factors. The specific actions of these transcriptional complexes are poorly understood, but the net effect of their activation is upregulation of the inflammatory/fibrotic HSC phenotype and potentiation/increased longevity of HSC cell lines. Proinflammatory and proinflammatory mediators upregulated by the TLR4 pathway include tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), IL-1, IL-6, chemotactic cytokines and macroophage chemoattractants, increased activity of inducible nitric oxide synthase leading to increased ROS, cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) and IFN-gamma.50 HSC cell lines appear to be preserved primarily by alterations in proteins involved in regulating cell-cycle progression and apoptotic thresholds.50

Gut microbial translocation has also been recognised as a possible cause of both alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver disease. In the case of alcohol, both chronic and binge consumption have been shown to increase gut permeability. While the precise mechanism is unclear, it is theorised that alterations in the gut microbiome or bile acid composition may be to blame.37 In the case of NAFLD, there appears to be impairment of gut barrier dysfunction, possibly due to gut dysbiosis (lower concentration of bacteroidetes relative to prevotella) and increased expression of TLR4, TLR9 and TNF receptors.51 52

SYSTEMIC INFLAMMATION
Systemic inflammation may cause fibrosis via a number of mechanisms including oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction as a result of ER stress or accelerated senescence. States causing systemic inflammation in HIV-infected patients are comprised primarily of chronic infectious processes (HBV, HCV and HIV) and NAFLD, with the specifics of these mechanisms varying with disease state. In NAFLD, decreased insulin sensitivity and increased levels of FFAs in the liver leads to increased lipid peroxidation, ROS, ER stress and systemic inflammation as well as upregulation of IL-6 and TNF-α, all of which contribute to creating a profibrotic state in the liver.14

Chronic viral infection (HBV, HCV and HIV) generates a systemic inflammatory response via similar mechanisms. NF-kB activity, decreased in hepatitis B and C infections, leads to decreased expression of hepatoprotective genes leading to increased cell death and hepatic inflammation. TGF-β, upregulated in chronic HBV and HCV infection, leads to activation of HSC. Overexpression of TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6 increase expression of fibrotic phenotypes and impairs fibrosis of HSCs. Additionally, the CD4/CD8 imbalances seen in viral hepatitis and HIV can lead to underexpression of the anti-fibrotic cytokine IFN-gamma. This decreases IFN-gamma-mediated induction of apoptosis of activated HSCs and serves to potentiate a profibrotic state in the liver.12 13 53 54

SENCENSEN
Senescence is a progressive process by which shortening of telomeres during DNA transcription leads to expression of a senescent cellular phenotype. These senescent cells display numerous derangements, most notably disproportionate secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8.55 While this process of cellular ageing is present in patients without HIV, it has been observed that a number of diseases typically associated with ageing including liver disease, renal disease, bone loss, diabetes and non-AIDS cancers occur with greater frequency and at a younger age in HIV-infected patients.56–60 In the HIV-infected patient, normal ageing, possibly accelerated by chronic inflammation related to ongoing infection, is the primary disease state that acts by this mechanism.

NODULAR REGENERATIVE HYPERPLASIA
Nodular regenerative hyperplasia is a rare condition in which diffuse transformation of liver parenchyma into micronodules without intervening fibrosis leads to non-cirrhotic portal hypertension in patients with HIV.61 62 Liver
Pathophysiology is thought to be due to a ‘two hit’ model in which gut bacterial translocation leads to vascular endothelial damage, stenosis and portal hypertension. The endothelial damage is thought to be either immune mediated or possibly related to direct viral damage by HIV. Potentiation by HAART components such as didanosine has also been suggested.

SUMMARY

Table 1 illustrates the diversity of the mechanisms by which various disease states cause hepatic injury and fibrosis in the HIV-infected patient. While all of the above-mentioned mechanisms are important, some, such as oxidative stress, mitochondrial injury, immune-mediated injury and systemic inflammation, manifest with greater frequency and across a greater spectrum of disease states than others. Finally, as shown in table 1, a single disease state may use a number of mechanisms, with each disease effecting pathogenesis via a complex system of complimentary mechanisms of injury.

While our understanding of the pathophysiology of hepatic fibrosis has advanced significantly, a number of questions regarding the interaction between these various mechanisms, as well as possible regulatory mechanisms and possible therapeutic targets remain lacking. While there have been significant advances in treating HIV, HBV and HCV, there has also been a dramatic increase in the metabolic syndrome in those living with HIV, which suggests that NAFLD will become an increasing problem in this patient population. Therefore, future studies need to focus on ways to modulate gut microbial translocation, oxidative stress and systemic inflammation. Until then, providers of those with HIV need to be mindful of the various mechanisms of liver injury.

Table 1  Mechanisms of hepatic injury/fibrosis and corresponding disease states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Alcoholic liver disease</th>
<th>NAFLD</th>
<th>HBV</th>
<th>HCV</th>
<th>Drug effects</th>
<th>HIV-specific effects</th>
<th>Autoimmune disease</th>
<th>Senescence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial injury</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipotoxicity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune mediated</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytotoxic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation of toxic metabolite</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gut microbial translocation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular regenerative hyperplasia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, mild contribution; ++, moderate contribution; +++ , significant contribution.

HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
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