Clinical characteristics of antimitochondrial antibody-positive patients at a safety net health care system in Arizona

Susanna Tan,^{1,2} Napatkamon Ayutyanont,^{1,2} Bikash Bhattarai,^{1,2} Zohreh Movahedi,^{1,2} Lakshmi Jayaram,^{1,2} Robert Gish,³ Abdul Nadir^{1,2}

To cite: Tan S, Ayutyanont N, Bhattarai B, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of antimitochondrial antibody-positive patients at a safety net health care system in Arizona. *BMJ Open Gastro* 2017;**4**:e000158. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2017-000158

Received 29 May 2017
Revised 3 August 2017
Accepted 8 August 2017

ABSTRACT

Background and aims To assess whether aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (AP) levels can predict the diagnosis of primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) or any other diagnoses and whether PBC occurs either simultaneously or independently of other liver diseases among antimitochondrial antibody (AMA)-positive patients.

Methods Demographic and clinical variables were assessed in 90 AMA-positive patients with and without liver biopsies. These patients were further categorised as having a diagnosis of PBC, overlap syndrome or 'not established with a diagnosis of PBC'. Receiver operating characteristic curves were constructed to determine the thresholds of liver enzymes that predict these three diagnoses.

Results The 48 patients with liver biopsies were more frequently female and had significantly higher AP levels compared with the non-liver biopsy group. Based on liver biopsy findings, 12, 12 and 22 patients were assigned a diagnosis of PBC, overlap syndrome with autoimmune hepatitis and PBC and 'not established diagnosis of PBC', respectively. Seven of 12 patients classified as PBC had AP level of <200 IU. AST, ALT and AP levels were significant predictors of a diagnosis of overlap syndrome compared with the rest of the patients; however, these tests were not discriminatory between diagnoses of PBC and 'not established with PBC'. Findings of fatty liver and bile duct injury on liver biopsies were not significantly associated with any liver test pattern.

Conclusions As the liver test pattern did not correlate with the liver biopsy findings of PBC or other non-PBC diagnoses in AMA-positive patients at risk for other disease, a liver biopsy and/or non-invasive liver assessment along with serum liver tests should be interpreted to complete liver evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

Antimitochondrial antibody (AMA) is diagnostic of primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) if an elevated alkaline phosphatase (AP) level or a compatible liver histology is documented on clinical evaluation. Moreover, among AMA-positive individuals, a

combination of high AP and low aspartate aminotransferase (AST) has an excellent positive predictive value for the diagnosis of PBC alone, eliminating the need for a liver biopsy.¹ In contrast, a liver biopsy has been reported to be diagnostic for PBC among those who have normal liver tests including AP in AMA-positive patients.² Despite being an option for establishing the diagnosis of PBC and occasional reports of biopsy-proven PBC with and without cirrhosis in AMA-positive patients with normal liver tests,³ a liver biopsy can miss the histological changes of PBC.^{1 4 5} Other liver diseases such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) and viral hepatitis can simultaneously occur with PBC in AMA-positive patients.^{6 7} Although the overlap of PBC with AIH has been extensively studied, clear diagnostic and management criteria remain suboptimal, leaving the ultimate diagnosis to the clinical judgement of a physician based on histology.⁸

As AMA testing has become widely available for liver disease evaluation, the incidence of PBC that is diagnosed based on AMA positivity and high AP without liver biopsy has been increasing.⁹ Many patients test positive for AMA when laboratory tests are ordered to work up the aetiology of their liver disease, regardless of the presence of the 'typical liver test pattern' described in association with PBC. These AMA-positive patients can be diagnosed or remain undiagnosed with PBC or may have no liver disease or risk of PBC, particularly as liver biopsies are not done on many patients with a low AP level or near normal liver tests.⁵ This approach stands in contrast to reported data in the literature where a liver biopsy is indicated for a lower or normal AP rather than just a higher AP level.¹

¹Maricopa Medical Center, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

²University of Arizona College of Medicine-Phoenix, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

³Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Abdul Nadir;
anadir786@aol.com

Summary box

What is already known about this patient population?

- ▶ Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) can occur at any level of alkaline phosphatase (AP).
- ▶ At a higher level of AP, PBC can be diagnosed without a liver biopsy provided that the antimitochondrial antibody (AMA) is positive.
- ▶ Patients with high AP and high aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels should undergo a liver biopsy to diagnose the overlap of autoimmune hepatitis with PBC.
- ▶ Liver biopsy and/or non-invasive tests should be considered in AMA-positive patients with lower AP levels to identify patients at risk for fatty liver.

What are the new findings?

- ▶ In a community practice, liver biopsies are more likely to be performed in patients with higher rather than lower AP levels among AMA-positive patients.
- ▶ Compared with a tertiary centre, a diagnosis of PBC can be documented at a lower AP level in a community practice.
- ▶ The yield of a liver biopsy for the diagnosis of PBC in patients with a positive AMA and a lower AP level is substantial; the use of cytokeratin-19 or cytokeratin-7 stains can further highlight early changes of PBC on a liver biopsy.
- ▶ Despite undergoing a liver biopsy, many AMA-positive patients would not be diagnosed with PBC and other diseases such as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) could be documented.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- ▶ A liver biopsy should be considered in AMA-positive patients with a lower AP regardless of AST level where the diagnosis of PBC cannot be established with liver tests alone or there exists the possibility of another liver disease. Moreover, among those AMA-positive patients with higher AP and lower AST levels where liver tests alone can make the diagnosis of PBC, a liver biopsy may find additional or alternate diagnoses. Non-invasive tests or a liver biopsy could be considered to evaluate other underlying diseases such as NAFLD that can be concomitantly present along with PBC.

In our hepatology practice at Maricopa Integrated Health Centre (MIHS), a safety-net hospital in Phoenix, Arizona, AMA has been included in the battery of liver tests that are used for liver disease evaluation. We have encountered patients with a positive AMA who exhibited a variety of patterns of liver tests who eventually were found to have other liver diseases present besides PBC and/or in whom a diagnosis of PBC could not be established. We sought to assess the clinical characteristics and differences among AMA-positive patients with or without a liver biopsy. Furthermore, we analysed AMA-positive patients with liver biopsies to find any particular liver tests that could reliably differentiate liver pathology features and assist in assigning a specific and accurate diagnosis to each patient.

PATIENTS AND METHODS**Patients**

Maricopa Medical Centre (MMC) is a state-owned hospital that fulfils primary healthcare needs of patients

who are insured by the state or are uninsured. The hepatology clinic receives consults from MMC's emergency department, hospital discharges and family health clinics owned by MIHS with only occasional consults received from community gastroenterologists.

Data collection

Electronic medical records (EMRs) at MIHS in Phoenix, Arizona, were accessed to review patient records for this retrospective study approved by the institutional review board. Data including demographics, weight, alcohol/drug abuse, diabetes mellitus (DM), liver imaging (ascites, varices, fatty liver), liver tests, antinuclear antibody (ANA) and F-actin antibodies, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (EGD) findings (varices) and liver biopsy results were collected. The highest available values (in one collection) among all the documented levels of AP, total bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and AST before initiation of ursodeoxycholic acid (URSO) therapy were recorded; the lowest level of serum albumin was also recorded.

Laboratory and clinical methods

The semiquantitative detection of mitochondrial antibodies was conducted using the QUANTA Lite M2 EP (MIT three antigen) ELISA with a cut-off value of 25 determined to be positive for AMA. The sensitivity and specificity for this test has been reported as 87.3% and 98.7%, respectively.^{10 11} F-actin antibody and ANA were reported positive if the values were above 19 units and above 1:40, respectively. Ascites or esophageal varices were marked present if documented on imaging, EGD reports or provider notes.

Interpretation of liver biopsy and other diagnostic tests

Variables including bile duct injury, fatty change, fibrosis, cirrhosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) were extracted from liver biopsy reports. Thirty-six of 48 biopsies were read by one of the five pathologists at MIHS. For 23 patients, biopsies were sent out for a second opinion from an expert hepato-pathologist. A diagnosis of overlap syndrome of AIH and PBC was determined based on the Paris criteria.¹² Those patients who had bile duct injury on the liver biopsy and did not fulfil Paris criteria for the overlap syndrome were classified as PBC. Patients who didn't fulfil Paris criteria or had no evidence of bile duct injury on the liver biopsy were labelled as 'not established with PBC'.

Bile duct injury was reported if there were inflammatory cells within the bile duct lining epithelium or lumen or evidence of degeneration of bile duct lining cells. If typical changes of PBC including bile duct granulomas were not present and only bile duct injury was documented on a liver biopsy, the patient was classified as having a diagnosis compatible with PBC. Liver biopsies of six patients were also stained with cytokeratin-7 (CK-7) and/or cytokeratin-19 (CK-19) to highlight Canals of Hering (CoH); however, absence or paucity of

CoH was not used as a criterion to label the diagnosis of PBC. NASH was described if there was fibrosis or hepatocellular drop out along with at least a 5% fatty change present on the liver biopsy. NAFLD was diagnosed in a patient with evidence of hepatic steatosis on either liver biopsy and/or imaging.¹³ AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI) and Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) were calculated using standard equations.^{14 15} An AST level of 30 and 20 IU for men and women, respectively, were used for APRI score calculation.¹⁶

A diagnosis of DM was ascertained if it was documented in the EMR or if the haemoglobin A1C level was ≥ 6.5 . A diagnosis of alcoholic liver disease was given if documentation of alcohol abuse without any other aetiology of liver disease was documented in the EMR except the possible diagnosis of PBC with a positive AMA. Criteria set by the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group was used to make a diagnosis of AIH.¹⁷

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 21. Continuous variables were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests and categorical variables by using Fisher's 2-sided exact tests. Receiver operating characteristic curves (ROCs) were created to determine the optimal cut-off levels (least misclassification) of AP, AST and ALT to determine a correct diagnosis of PBC or overlap syndrome and of patients who could not be established with a diagnosis of PBC. The area under the ROC for liver enzymes (AP, ALT and AST) in predicting the diagnosis of overlap, PBC and non-established with PBC based on liver biopsies were reported. We also reported the recommended liver enzyme thresholds for optimum sensitivity and specificity (least misclassification) of the liver disease diagnoses based on ROCs.

RESULTS

We identified 90 AMA-positive patients, 48 with liver biopsies, from January 2012 to September 2015. Data on 46 of 48 patients with liver biopsies were collected before initiation of URSO therapy. Two patients had liver biopsies performed at an outside facility, and since data and histology prior to URSO initiation was not available, they were excluded from the final statistical analysis of the liver biopsy group.

Liver biopsy versus no liver biopsy groups

The median APRI and FIB-4 scores for all 90 patients were 1.71 and 1.96, respectively (table 1). As APRI and FIB-4 were designed for patients with only a moderate degree of AST/ALT elevation and a moderate reduction of platelet count,^{14 15} we excluded patients with AST > 200 IU/L and/or ALT level of > 200 IU/L and/or platelet count of < 20 000 cc/mm³. The mean (median, range) APRI for 30 patients who underwent a liver biopsy was 2.4 (1.5, 0.5–8.9) compared with 1.5 (0.9, 0.2–7.1) for 36 patients without a liver biopsy ($p=0.057$). Mean (median, range) FIB-4 was 3.3 (2.0, 0.7–14.2) for liver biopsy

patients and 2.7 (1.4, 0.5–17.88) for non-biopsy patients ($p=0.046$). The corresponding author reviewed data on patients without liver biopsies and assigned a diagnosis of PBC to eight patients with a mean AP level of 455 IU (range 196–492). None of the patients in the no liver biopsy group had a suspected overlap syndrome. The number of females and AP level was significantly higher in the liver biopsy group ($n=48$) compared with the no liver biopsy group ($n=42$). Mean levels of AST, ALT and total bilirubin were numerically higher and serum albumin lower in the liver biopsy group compared with those who did not have liver biopsies, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Grouping of subjects based on liver biopsy findings

Based on liver biopsy findings and Paris criteria, a diagnosis of PBC, overlap syndrome and 'not established with PBC' were assigned to 12, 12 and 22 patients, respectively. Twenty-six of 90 patients were on URSO therapy at the time of data collection. Of these 26 patients, 22 had liver biopsies. Additional data were collected on all except two patients prior to URSO exposure to allow baseline pretherapy information to be used in our statistical calculations. These two patients showed liver biopsy changes compatible with and diagnostic of PBC and were not analysed in the final data set. Table 2 summarises epidemiological, liver biopsy and other clinical variables. Four of six patients whose liver biopsies were stained with CK-7 or/and CK-19 showed paucity or absence of CoH in the peri-portal zone; however, there was no correlation between level of AP, presence or absence of bile duct injury on H&E stain and paucity or absence of CoH. Three patients with paucity of CoH highlighted on CK-7 and/or CK-19 staining didn't show any evidence of bile duct injury on H&E.

Patients with overlap syndrome

All 12 patients diagnosed with overlap syndrome showed some bile duct injury. Only one patient diagnosed with overlap syndrome did not have hepatitis but fulfilled the Paris criteria. Average mean, median and range of IgG, IgM and IgA levels were available from 10 overlap patients: IgG: mean, 1906 mg/dL; median, 1753 mg/dL; range 928–3030 mg/dL; IgM: mean, 642 mg/dL; median, 451 mg/dL; range 105–3000 mg/dL; and IgA: mean, 337 mg/dL; median, 292 mg/dL; range, 142–602 mg/dL. Cirrhosis and markers of portal hypertension including ascites and varices were seen more frequently among patients with overlap diagnosis, but the differences were not statistically significant.

All patients with hepatitis C and/or hepatitis B were included in the 'not established with PBC' group. Eight patients had both bile duct injury consistent with PBC and fatty change consistent with NAFLD documented on their liver biopsies. One patient who was categorised as NAFLD based on the initial liver biopsy underwent a second biopsy 10 years later (after data collection) and showed severe ductopenia and confirmed changes of

Table 1 Characteristics of AMA-positive patients with or without a liver biopsy

Features	Liver biopsy (n=48)	No liver biopsy (n=42)	p Value
Hispanic/non-Hispanic (%)	32/16 (66.7)	28/14 (66.7)	>0.999
Sex: female (%)	42/6 (87.5)	23/19 (54.8)	0.001
Alcohol (%)	14/34 (29.2)	18/24 (42.9)	0.193
Substance abuse (%)	12/36 (25.0)	10/32 (23.8)	>0.999
Overweight or obese (%)	40/8 (83.3)	32/10 (76.2)	0.438
DM (%)	11/36 (23.4)	10/31 (24.4)	>0.999
Age mean (median, range)	52 (54, 14–77)	54 (55, 25–79)	0.533
Laboratory			
Platelet count 150–450×10 ⁹ /L	232 (225, 53–619)	203 (205, 7–417)	0.449
Albumin, mean (median, range) (g/dL)	3.6 (3.9, 1.9–4.9)	3.9 (4.1, 2.5–5.1)	0.070
ALT, mean (median, range) (IU/L)	153 (69, 15–1540)	102 (72, 8–311)	0.958
AST, mean (median, range) (IU/L)	144 (81, 19–960)	100 (64, 12–516)	0.271
AP, mean (median, range) (IU/LT)	316 (199, 72–1357)	164 (114, 62–492)	0.001
Total bilirubin mean (median) (mg/dL)	2.47 (0.95, 0.2–19.5)	1.52 (0.95, 0.1–20.6)	0.283
APRI mean (median, range)	4.728 (2.292, 0.478–41.611)	5.399 (1.338, 0.210–121.429)	0.075
FIB-4 mean (median, range)	4.138 (2.116, 0.721–25.494)	7.347 (1.683, 0.497–167.571)	0.176
ANA (%)	14/31 (31.1)	12/25 (32.4)	>0.999
F-actin Y (%)	19/26 (42.2)	9/24 (27.3)	0.234
HCV (%)	8/40 (16.7)	9/33 (21.4)	0.379
HBV (%)	1/47 (2.1)	1/41 (2.4)	>0.999
Imaging			
Steatosis (%)	14/28 (33.3)	11/24 (31.4)	>0.999
Varices Y/N, (%)	9/36 (20)	7/30 (18.9)	>0.999
Ascites (%)	7/39 (15.2)	7/35 (16.7)	>0.999
Therapy			
URSO (%)	22/26 (45.8)	4/38 (9.5)	<0.001

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AP, alkaline phosphatase; APRI, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; DM, diabetes mellitus; FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; URSO, ursodeoxycholic acid.

PBC. While the first liver biopsy showed a normal number of expected CoH and steatohepatitis, the second liver biopsy showed complete absence of CoH, ductopenia, stage IV PBC and a lesser degree of steatohepatitis. AP levels of this patient trended up over time but remained under 200 IU throughout the illness course.

Table 3 summarises the differences in liver tests between three groups of patients with liver biopsies. AST, ALT, AP and total bilirubin values were significantly higher in overlap syndrome compared with 'non-established with PBC' and PBC groups. Patients categorised as PBC had the lowest AST and ALT values, but there was no significant difference compared with the non-established with PBC group. The mean AP levels were numerically lower and AST/ALT levels were numerically higher in the non-established with PBC group compared with the PBC group, but the differences did not achieve statistical significance. Seven patients with a diagnosis of PBC had an AP level of <200 IU.

A non-parametric ROC plot of AP for distinguishing between overlap (n=12) and non-overlap (n=34)

diagnoses among AMA patients with liver biopsy results showed an area under the curve of 0.853 ($p<0.001$, figure 1). The cut-off/threshold for AP to achieve the optimum sensitivity and specificity (least misclassification) of overlap versus non-overlap diagnosis was at 485 IU. Similarly, the ideal ALT cut-off was 75.5 for the diagnosis of overlap (AUC=0.750, SE=0.833, Sp=0.735, $p=0.11$) and the best AST cut-off was determined to be 86.5 (AUC=0.830, SE=0.917, Sp=0.706, $p=0.001$). ROC-determined liver enzyme cut-offs to differentiate between PBC and not-established PBC were not discriminatory for AP (AUC=0.563, $p=0.556$), ALT (AUC=0.449, $p=0.632$) and AST (AUC=0.354, $p=0.173$).

DISCUSSION

In our study of AMA-positive patients, with or without liver biopsies, only 22% (12 with and 8 without a liver biopsy; 20/90) could be diagnosed with PBC alone. Other diseases were frequently seen in this cohort including overlap syndrome of PBC with AIH, as well as NAFLD, alcoholic liver disease and hepatitis C virus infection.

Table 2 Clinical and liver biopsy features of liver biopsy group (n=46)

Patient features	Non-established PBC (n=22)	Overlap: Paris (n=12)	PBC (n=12)	Fisher's exact P*†‡
Age, mean (median, range)	52 (54, 25–76)	50 (55, 14–752)	48 (50, 27–64)	0.717§
Sex: female	18 (81.8%)	10 (83.3%)	12 (100%)	0.389
Substance abuse	9 (40.9%)	1 (8.3%)	2 (16.7%)	0.103
Alcohol use	9 (40.9%)	3 (25.0%)	1 (8.3%)	0.121
Overweight/obese	20 (90.9%)	9 (75.0%)	10 (83.3%)	0.435
DM¶	5 (23.8%)	1 (8.3%)	5 (41.7%)	0.175
Biopsy				
BDI	0	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	<0.001*‡
Fatty change	12 (54.5%)	2 (16.7%)	5 (41.7%)	0.110
Fibrosis	9 (64.3%)	4 (66.7%)	5 (50.0%)	0.728
Cirrhosis	8 (61.5%)	6 (75.0%)	2 (25.6%)	0.176
Cholestasis	1 (4.5%)	5 (41.7%)	2 (16.7%)	0.016*
NASH	8 (36.4%)	2 (16.7%)	3 (25.0%)	0.586
Piece meal necrosis	4 (18.2%)	11 (91.7%)	1 (8.3%)	<0.001*†
Imaging				
Ascites¶	3 (13.6%)	4/11 (36.4)	0/11 (0%)	0.068
Varices¶	4/21 (19.05)	4 (33.35)	1/10 (10%)	0.449
Steatosis¶	7/20 (35.0%)	3/9 (33.3%)	4/11 (36.4%)	>0.999
Serologies				
Hepatitis C	8 (36.4%)	0	0	–
Hepatitis B	1 (4.5%)	0	0	–
ANA	2 (9.5%)	8 (72.7%)	4 (36.4%)	0.001*†‡
F-actin	5 (26.3%)	9 (75%)	4 (33.3%)	0.025*

*Fisher's exact test significant for non-established PBC versus overlap.

†Fisher's exact test significant for overlap versus PBC-Paris.

‡Fisher's exact test significant for non-established PBC versus PBC-Paris.

§Independent samples median test comparing age across three groups.

¶In less than the n presented at the header.

ANA, antinuclear antibody; BDI, bile duct injury; DM, diabetes mellitus; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis.

We found that more females and higher AP level, APRI and FIB-4 scores were associated with ordering a liver biopsy. However, we did not find any correlation between AP, AST and ALT levels and liver biopsy findings that

would support a diagnosis of PBC. Our data corroborate previous reports and guidelines that a liver biopsy should be considered when high AP and AST levels are documented in AMA-positive patients in order to differentiate

Table 3 Laboratory features of liver biopsy group (n=46)

Laboratory features	Non-established PBC (n=22)	Overlap (n=12)	PBC (n=12)	p*
ALT mean (median, range) IU/L	115 (62, 15–554)	332 (186, 24–1540)	65 (64, 27–133)	0.037†‡
AST mean (median, range) IU/L	121 (70, 19–720)	287 (185, 29–960)	57 (54, 29–85)	0.002†‡
AP mean (median, range) IU/L	185 (160, 72–428)	638 (688, 94–1357)	244 (180, 93–679)	0.001†‡
Total bilirubin mean (median, range)	2.136 (0.95, 0.2–13.5)	5.05 (4.25, 0.6–19.5)	0.692 (0.55, 0.2–1.9)	0.001†‡
Platelet count mean $\times 10^9$ (median, range)	214 (199, 53–523)	311 (189, 60–443)	255 (245, 72–598)	0.397
Albumin mean (median, range)	3.8 (4, 1.9–4.9)	3.4 (3.5, 2.2–4.3)	3.8 (3.9, 2.6–4.3)	0.244

*Independent sample Kruskal-Wallis test.

†Mann-Whitney test significant for non-established PBC versus overlap.

‡Mann-Whitney test significant for overlap versus PBC.

§Mann-Whitney test significant for Non-established PBC versus PBC.

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis.

Figure 1 Non-parametric receiver operating characteristic curve plot of three liver enzymes in distinguishing between overlap versus other diagnoses (grey shaded, (a–c) and PBC versus not established PBC (d–f) in AMA-positive patients from a community hepatology practice. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis.

PBC from the overlap of PBC and AIH, particularly as the medical therapy for these two conditions is different.^{1 18}

As a community hospital taking care of a predominantly Hispanic patient population, we evaluated many patients with non-specific elevation of liver tests with a battery of liver serological tests including AMA. The work up of abnormal liver tests in the community setting is not standardised and is based on the expert opinion of the healthcare provider and the expected clinical profile of an individual patient. There are no standard published guidelines regarding the use of AMA as part of the routine work up of patients with abnormal liver tests or NAFLD. The complete clinical assessment of patients with a positive AMA and the use of the liver biopsy to either diagnose or stage PBC is now focused on the possibility of other liver disease(s) being present in the individual patient.^{1 2 19–21}

Data reported in the literature have shown a variety of outcomes of AMA-positive patients depending on the circumstances in which AMA was ordered. Among ‘healthy’ people and blood donors, 0.07%–9.9% test positive for AMA.^{22 23} Only 0.73% of AMA-positive Japanese patients from these settings were reported to have

symptomatic PBC.²⁴ Most recently, a French study reported that, among 720 AMA-positive patients, the prevalence of patients without evidence of PBC was 16.1 per 100 000; moreover, among these patients, 16% of those with no evidence of cirrhosis and a normal ALP progressed to PBC over 5 years.⁵ Metcalf *et al* described the follow-up of 29 asymptomatic patients who were AMA positive and had normal AP levels and no signs of liver disease at first detection. All 29 patients were previously screened in a work up for another autoimmune disease. Initial work up on these patients showed their AP levels to be <92 IU and 24 of 29 patients showed histological changes ‘consistent’ or ‘compatible’ with PBC. Ten years later, the majority of these patients showed liver enzyme abnormalities including an elevated AP, and four of nine liver biopsies showed progression of liver injury.^{2 21}

Data from a tertiary hospital that used 240 IU as the upper limits of normal (ULN) for AP in their own cohort of patients (all with liver biopsies) convincingly documented that an AP level of $\geq 1.5 \times$ ULN and AST of $< 5 \times$ ULN had a 98.5% positive predictive value for the diagnosis of PBC. However, in contrast to our data where the AP level was much lower and the majority of AMA-positive

patients could not be diagnosed with PBC, only 25/156 patients in their study were diagnosed with diseases other than PBC. Nine patients were diagnosed with an overlap of AIH and PBC; these patients were categorised separately from the PBC patients and curiously had significantly lower AP levels compared with the PBC group. All patients had liver biopsies, and an AP level of 526 IU was documented to have 95% sensitivity for histological correlation with a diagnosis of PBC. The researchers concluded that a liver biopsy is not necessary for the diagnosis of PBC in patients with a high AP and low AST but should be considered in those who have high AST and high AP levels to diagnose overlap syndrome; however, the evaluation of patients with low AP and low AST levels was not discussed.¹ The differences in laboratory data between our primary care practice and data reported from this tertiary centre reflect the patient referral trends in these two hospital systems.

Changes of fatty liver either on imaging or liver biopsies were randomly present in our patients without any association to any distinct pattern of liver enzymes. NAFLD has been reported to occur among patients with normal liver enzymes, and many are diagnosed with liver disease based on imaging studies.²⁵ Up to 57% of patients with PBC have been reported to have NAFLD with metabolic syndrome documented in some. Moreover, a combination of obesity, fatty liver and PBC has a worse liver clinical outcome compared with PBC alone.⁶ The prevalence of AMA has been reported to be 2.38% in NAFLD,²⁶ 5%–35% in AIH^{27,28} and 8% among patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC).⁷ It has been reported that patients with NAFLD with positive NOSA (non-organ-specific antibodies) do not have worse liver disease outcomes.²⁹ In another report, 33% of patients with CHC who were positive for AMA showed more than twofold elevation of AP and 22% had a histological pattern compatible with PBC.⁷ None of our eight AMA-positive patients with CHC who had a liver biopsy showed any changes of PBC; however, nine patients with CHC who did not undergo a liver biopsy could have had an overlap of CHC with PBC. We believe that our finding of several patients with an overlap of PBC with AIH and NAFLD could be partly attributed to a predilection for these diseases in the Hispanic population.^{30,31}

While the odds of making a correct diagnosis of PBC are high among AMA-positive patients with high AP levels,¹ those with lower AP values²¹ could be missed with early PBC or advanced liver disease without the performance of a liver biopsy.^{3,32} In our practice, patients with lower liver enzymes and appearance of fatty liver and/or hepatic decompensation on imaging studies did not undergo liver biopsy (table 1). Our data corroborate the findings of a recent report that documented that AMA-positive patients with normal AP and less liver disease typically do not undergo a liver biopsy⁵ and support that the yield of liver biopsy for the diagnosis of PBC or other diagnoses is in fact substantial in these particular patients. AMA-positive patients with a normal or near normal AP who did

not undergo a liver biopsy are labelled ‘non-established with PBC’ or, if they have undergone a liver biopsy and show subtle changes of PBC yet do not fulfil histological criteria, are categorised as ‘compatible’ with PBC. Such patients have been shown to be at risk for progression to overt PBC.^{2,5} We found loss of CoH on a liver biopsy to be a useful predictor of early PBC; however, we also found a patient who had bile duct injury on H&E stain, but no evidence of loss of CoH on K-19, highlighting that while loss of CoH can be a harbinger of early PBC,⁴ this finding may not be consistently present in early PBC.

PBC can adversely affect the quality of life even in its early stages, and a higher mortality not related to liver disease has also been reported for patients with PBC, thus justifying a full work up in all AMA-positive patients.^{5,33} Moreover, it is becoming clear that some AMA-positive patients would have changes of PBC and/or NAFLD regardless of the pattern or degree of elevation of liver enzymes. As the treatment of PBC differs from NAFLD or alcoholic liver disease, the documentation of both diagnoses in a patient will help a clinician to come up with a comprehensive management plan for each AMA-positive patient.

As many patients with a positive AMA do not have PBC at the time of AMA testing, some might argue that there is no need to order a test that can lead to further work up, costs and patient anxiety and thus potentially avoid a liver biopsy with its inherent risks.¹ It is, of course, important to remember and discuss with patients that a liver biopsy is an invasive procedure that has high cost, is limited by sampling error and observer variability and has risk, although limited, for serious procedure-related complications.^{34,35} Fortunately, there have been multiple advances in recent years for non-invasive liver disease evaluation, including particularly MRI proton density fat fraction and MR elastography for quantification of steatosis and fibrosis³⁶ and elastographic methods for assessing fibrosis, including FibroScan (Echosens; Paris, France)^{37,38} and real-time 2-D shear wave elastography done with the SuperSonic Imagine Real-time ShearWave Elastography ultrasound system (Aixplorer, Aix-en-Provence, France).^{39–41} The addition of the Controlled Attenuation Parameter software for use with the FibroScan system has also made accurate assessment of steatosis possible with this elastographic system,^{42–44} with the XL probe improving the accuracy of liver stiffness measurement in obese patients.⁴⁵ The availability of these new modalities for liver disease evaluation may give us the opportunity to perform a thorough non-invasive analysis of AMA-positive patients, eliminating for at least some patients the need for a liver biopsy.

Contributors All authors have participated in preparing this manuscript including data collection, data analysis and manuscript writing. All the authors agree with the contents of the manuscript.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Detail has been removed from this case description/these case descriptions to ensure anonymity. The editors and reviewers have seen the detailed

information available and are satisfied that the information backs up the case the authors are making.

Ethics approval Institutional review board.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Zein CO, Angulo P, Lindor KD. When is liver biopsy needed in the diagnosis of primary biliary cirrhosis? *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;1:89–95.
- Metcalf JV, Mitchison HC, Palmer JM, et al. Natural history of early primary biliary cirrhosis. *Lancet* 1996;348:1399–402.
- Brostoff JM, Rashid S, McCrea D. Primary Biliary Cirrhosis with a normal Alkaline Phosphatase: a case report. *Cases J* 2008;1:33.
- Khan FM, Komarla AR, Mendoza PG, et al. Keratin 19 demonstration of canal of Hering loss in primary biliary cirrhosis: "minimal change PBC"? *Hepatology* 2013;57:700–7.
- Dahlqvist G, Gaoar F, Carrat F, et al. Large-scale characterization study of patients with antimitochondrial antibodies but nonestablished primary biliary cholangitis. *Hepatology* 2017;65.
- Hindi M, Levy C, Couto CA, et al. Primary biliary cirrhosis is more severe in overweight patients. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2013;47:e28–e32.
- Ramos-Casals M, Pares A, Jara LJ, et al. Antimitochondrial antibodies in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: description of 18 cases and review of the literature. *J Viral Hepat* 2005;12:648–54.
- Czaja AJ. The overlap syndromes of autoimmune hepatitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2013;58:326–43.
- Momah N, Lindor KD. Primary biliary cirrhosis in adults. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;8:427–33.
- Leung PS, Iwayama T, Prindiville T, et al. Use of designer recombinant mitochondrial antigens in the diagnosis of primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1992;15:367–72.
- INOVA Diagnostic Inc. *Quanta Lite M2EP (M1T3) Elisa*, 2005.
- Czaja AJ. Diagnosis and management of the overlap syndromes of autoimmune hepatitis. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2013;27:417–23.
- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology* 2012;55:2005–23.
- Wai CT, Greenon JK, Fontana RJ, et al. A simple noninvasive index can predict both significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003;38:518–26.
- Shah AG, Lydecker A, Murray K, et al. Comparison of noninvasive markers of fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;7:1104–12.
- Kim HC, Nam CM, Jee SH, et al. Normal serum aminotransferase concentration and risk of mortality from liver diseases: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2004;328:983.
- Manns MP, Czaja AJ, Gorham JD, et al. Diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2010;51:2193–213.
- Lindor KD, Gershwin ME, Poupon R, et al. Primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2009;50:291–308.
- Corpechot C, Wendum D. Primary biliary cirrhosis: is there still a place for histological evaluation? *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2013;37:556–8.
- Nakanuma Y. Primary biliary cirrhosis: is there still a place for histological evaluation? *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2014;38:e1–e2.
- Mitchison HC, Bassendine MF, Hendrick A, et al. Positive antimitochondrial antibody but normal alkaline phosphatase: is this primary biliary cirrhosis? *Hepatology* 1986;6:1279–84.
- Mattalia A, Quaranta S, Leung PS, et al. Characterization of antimitochondrial antibodies in health adults. *Hepatology* 1998;27:656–61.
- Omigari K, Rowley MJ, Whittingham S, et al. Autoantibodies to M2 mitochondrial autoantigens in normal human sera by immunofluorescence and novel assays. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1996;11:610–6.
- Shibata M, Onozuka Y, Morizane T, et al. Prevalence of antimitochondrial antibody in Japanese corporate workers in Kanagawa prefecture. *J Gastroenterol* 2004;39:255–9.
- LaBrecque DR, Abbas Z, Anania F, et al. World Gastroenterology Organisation global guidelines: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2014;48:467–73.
- Loria P, Lonardo A, Leonardi F, et al. Non-organ-specific autoantibodies in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: prevalence and correlates. *Dig Dis Sci* 2003;48:2173–81.
- Nezu S, Tanaka A, Yasui H, et al. Presence of antimitochondrial autoantibodies in patients with autoimmune hepatitis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;21:1448–54.
- Dinani AM, Fischer SE, Mosko J, et al. Patients with autoimmune hepatitis who have antimitochondrial antibodies need long-term follow-up to detect late development of primary biliary cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;10:682–4.
- Ravi S, Shoreibah M, Raff E, et al. Autoimmune markers do not impact clinical presentation or natural history of steatohepatitis-related liver disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015;60:3788–93.
- Carrion AF, Ghanta R, Carrasquillo O, et al. Chronic liver disease in the Hispanic population of the United States. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;9:834–41. quiz e109–10.
- Levy C, Naik J, Giordano C, et al. Hispanics with primary biliary cirrhosis are more likely to have features of autoimmune hepatitis and reduced response to ursodeoxycholic acid than non-Hispanics. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;12:1398–405.
- Leslie D. Normal alkaline phosphatase in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Postgrad Med J* 1978;54:281–3.
- Mells GF, Pells G, Newton JL, et al. Impact of primary biliary cirrhosis on perceived quality of life: the UK-PBC national study. *Hepatology* 2013;58:273–83.
- El-Badry AM, Breitenstein S, Jochum W, et al. Assessment of hepatic steatosis by expert pathologists: the end of a gold standard. *Ann Surg* 2009;250:691–7.
- Ratzliff V, Charlotte F, Heurtier A, et al. Sampling variability of liver biopsy in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:1898–906.
- Noureddin M, Lam J, Peterson MR, et al. Utility of magnetic resonance imaging versus histology for quantifying changes in liver fat in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease trials. *Hepatology* 2013;58:1930–40.
- Afdhal NH, Bacon BR, Patel K, et al. Accuracy of fibroscan, compared with histology, in analysis of liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis B or C: a United States multicenter study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;13:772–779e1–779.
- Kim SU, Lee JH, Kim DY, et al. Prediction of liver-related events using fibroscan in chronic hepatitis B patients showing advanced liver fibrosis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e36676.
- Leung VY, Shen J, Wong VW, et al. Quantitative elastography of liver fibrosis and spleen stiffness in chronic hepatitis B carriers: comparison of shear-wave elastography and transient elastography with liver biopsy correlation. *Radiology* 2013;269:910–8.
- Zeng J, Liu GJ, Huang ZP, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of two-dimensional shear wave elastography for the non-invasive staging of hepatic fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B: a cohort study with internal validation. *Eur Radiol* 2014;24:2572–81.
- Zeng J, Zheng J, Huang Z, et al. Comparison of 2-D shear wave elastography and transient elastography for assessing liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2017;43:1563–70.
- Myers RP, Pomier-Layrargues G, Kirsch R, et al. Discordance in fibrosis staging between liver biopsy and transient elastography using the FibroScan XL probe. *J Hepatol* 2012;56:564–70.
- Myers RP, Pollett A, Kirsch R, et al. Controlled Attenuation Parameter (CAP): a noninvasive method for the detection of hepatic steatosis based on transient elastography. *Liver Int* 2012;32:902–10.
- Petta S, Wong VW, Cammà C, et al. Improved noninvasive prediction of liver fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease accounting for controlled attenuation parameter values. *Hepatology* 2017;65:1145–55.
- de Lédinghen V, Vergnol J, Foucher J, et al. Feasibility of liver transient elastography with FibroScan using a new probe for obese patients. *Liver Int* 2010;30:1043–8.