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ABSTRACT

Background: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause of chronic liver disease worldwide, with prevalence above 30% in many adult populations. Strongly associated with obesity, weight loss through diet and physical activity is the mainstay of its management. Weight loss can be difficult to achieve and maintain however, and uncertainty exists as to which lifestyle changes are most effective.

Objective: The aim of this work was to systematically evaluate randomised controlled trials assessing diet, exercise or combination interventions aimed at reducing steatosis or markers of NAFLD activity.

Design: Medline, Scopus and Cochrane databases were searched from 1 January 1980 through to 31 July 2016, for intervention trials assessing the effects of diet, weight loss, exercise or any combination thereof, on NAFLD disease markers in human adults. Risk of publication bias and study quality was assessed using the American Dietetic Association Quality Criteria Checklist.

Results: From a total of 1710 identified records, 24 articles met the inclusion and exclusion criteria; 6 assessed weight loss using dietary restriction, 10 assessed exercise and 8 were combination interventions. While all of the trials demonstrated significant reduction in steatosis and/or markers of NAFLD activity, combination interventions appear to be the most effective at improving NAFLD. Results suggest that 5–10% weight loss using a modestly hypocaloric diet of 500 kcal less per day than calculated energy requirement, in combination with 30–60 min exercise on 3–5 days per week should be recommended.

Conclusions: We conclude this amount of weight loss is achievable in the trial setting but is challenging in the clinical environment. High-intensity, multidisciplinary intervention in specialist clinics is likely to be required in order to manage NAFLD by lifestyle modification alone. This systematic review protocol was registered prospectively at PROSPERO as CRD42016032764.

INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of liver pathology, strongly associated with obesity and diabetes. Described as the hepatic component of metabolic syndrome, NAFLD is now the most common cause of chronic liver disease worldwide.1 Prevalence estimates vary depending on population and ethnicity, but current data suggest prevalence may be as high as 45% of the adult population in many countries.2 While the majority of people affected have simple steatosis, which confers a relatively low risk, up to 50% of patients with NAFLD will develop complications including steatohepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis and end-stage liver failure or hepatocellular carcinoma.3

Determining the precise incidence and prevalence of NAFLD is problematic as NAFLD is generally an incidental finding in the clinical setting, and the lack of a precise and inexpensive diagnostic tool makes population studies on a large scale difficult.4 A diagnosis of NAFLD can only be made in the absence of significant ethanol intake (<20 g/day in men and 10 g/day in women). In addition, the exclusion of other causes of steatosis, including: hepatitis C, medication, malnutrition, rapid weight loss and inflammatory bowel disease, is also required.4–6 Importantly, evidence suggests it is possible to delay or even reverse disease progression in the earlier stages of NAFLD, and many therapeutic agents and nutritional supplements have been studied to this end, with some showing some limited promise in phase II and III clinical trials.7 However, currently there is no specific pharmaceutical agent for the treatment of NAFLD, and lifestyle change aimed at weight loss remains the mainstay of clinical management guidelines.4–6

Weight loss and increased physical activity are known to be associated with improvements in other components of metabolic syndrome, possibly by reducing the enhanced inflammatory state associated with the condition.8–9 While there is general consensus that many types of lifestyle interventions should...
be effective in reducing steatosis and improving histo-
logical markers of disease progression, comparison
proves difficult because of the diversity of intervention
protocols and NAFLD diagnostic and outcome criteria
used in trials.\textsuperscript{10} Recent meta-analyses examining exercise
interventions suggest positive effects on reduction in
alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels and intrahepatic
fat, although the clinical significance of reported stand-
ard mean differences can be difficult to interpret.\textsuperscript{11, 12}
These reviews highlight the considerable heterogeneity
in duration and types of exercise intervention employed.
While they both conclude a benefit to exercise interven-
tion, some low-quality trials were included and questions
about inclusion and exclusion criteria, grouping of
studies and the role of diet and weight loss remain.

In this context, the aim of this review was to systematic-
ically evaluate the existing randomised controlled trials
(RCTs) assessing the effects of interventions involving
diet, weight loss, exercise or any combination thereof,
on biochemical, radiological or histological markers of
NAFLD in adults. It was hypothesised that weight loss
and exercise interventions would be effective, and that
combination interventions would be more effective than
weight loss or exercise alone.

METHODS
Methods of the analysis and eligibility criteria were speci-
fied prior to the literature search and documented in a
protocol registered with Prospero (CRD42016032764). 
The review was conducted by SK according to Preferred
Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)
guidelines\textsuperscript{13} including inde-
pendent execution of search strategy and bias assess-
ment, completed by JS; third-party adjudication was
done by JBM. The PubMed, Scopus and the Cochrane
databases were searched from 1 January 1980 to 31 July
2016. The search terms were ‘NAFLD and exercise’, ‘NAFLD
and weight loss’ and ‘NAFLD and diet/diet, reducing’ for PubMed and Scopus, and ‘NAFLD’ for the
Cochrane database. The searches were limited to clinical
trials, human studies, English language and journal arti-
cles where possible.

RCTs in human adults of any gender/ethnicity with a
diagnosis of NAFLD, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
(NASH), fibrosis or NAFLD-based cirrhosis made on
validated biochemical, radiological or histological evi-
dence were included; other causes of fatty liver disease
were excluded. Papers excluded from this review were:
non-human studies, letters/case reports, studies relating
to children, articles not reporting primary outcomes of
interest or primary data reporting, and trials using
non-invasive methods that have not been validated.
Included trials had to have measured as primary out-
comes either: changes in biochemical, radiological or
histological markers of NAFLD in response to a diet,
weight loss or exercise (or combination of these) inter-
vention. The validity of eligible RCTs was assessed

using the American Dietetic Association (ADA) quality
assessment tool.\textsuperscript{14}

Information was recorded from each included trial,
specifically: (1) the aim of the intervention; (2) the
method of recruiting participants; (3) the inclusion
and exclusion criteria for the study; (4) whether or not
informed consent was obtained; (5) whether or not
effects approval had been sought and granted; (6) any
funding sources; (7) the statistical methods used to
analyse the data; (8) methods of randomisation;
methods of allocation concealment; blinding of partici-
pants and personnel where appropriate; blinding of the
outcome assessment; (9) incomplete outcome data
reporting; any selective reporting and other sources of
bias as detailed in the Cochrane handbook.\textsuperscript{15}

In addition, further information recorded from each
trial included: the principal and secondary outcome
measures as identified by the authors; the methods of
assessing outcome measures; the validity and reliability
of the outcome measures; the methods of follow-up for
non-responders; the timing of the outcome assessment
and any adverse events. The primary measures of the
treatment effects were relative reduction in markers of
NAFLD compared with controls. These included degree
of steatosis on imaging, levels of biochemical markers of
NAFLD, histological assessment of NAFLD activity score
(NAS) and change in biochemical indices aimed at
assessing degree of NAFLD, for example, the fatty liver
index (FLI) or the visceral adiposity index (VAI).

RESULTS
Search results
The identification and selection of eligible studies
included in this review are illustrated in figure 1. Database
searches returned 1979 citations with 269
included in more than one search. Following removal of
duplicates, 1710 citations remained. These publications
were screened for eligibility by reading the abstracts
and those not meeting the eligibility criteria were excluded.
Papers excluded were either animal studies, drug/sup-
plementation trials, review papers, trials done in chil-
dren and trials that were not randomised and/or
controlled, trials not assessing NAFLD markers as a
primary outcome and non-English articles. This resulted
in 43 trials which, based on reading the abstracts, met
the inclusion criteria for this review. The papers report-
ing these trials were then read in full. Following this, a
further 19 trials were excluded, for the following
reasons: five were not randomised; three had no control
or cointervention group; five did not require a diagnosis
of NAFLD as an inclusion requirement; one included
subjects with hepatitis C so did not meet the exclusion
criteria. Two were retrospective studies and three evalu-
ated a primary outcome that was not related to NAFLD.
Five trials did not specify NAFLD as an inclusion criter-
on, but did assess and report change in NAFLD as a
main outcome and were included.\textsuperscript{16–20}

Open Access
Trial characteristics

Overall, 2322 individuals participated in a total of 24 trials with durations ranging from 2 weeks to 1 year. Of the 24 trials that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 6–20 assessed weight loss through dietary modification (table 1), 16–19, 26–31 assessed exercise (table 2), and 8, 32–39 investigated the effects of a combination of diet and exercise (table 3).

The protocols for the interventions were diverse. The trials assessing dietary intervention alone were all hypocaloric but reductions in food intake ranged from a mild decrease of 200 kcal/day24 to a very low calorie diet (VLCD) with a total intake of 450 kcal/day.20 Dietary compositions were different for each of the 6 interventions. The majority of exercise interventions were various forms of aerobic exercise such as cycling ergometer16 18 or walking at high intensity,19 however three studies considered the effects of differing resistance exercise regimes alone.27 29 30 while the protocol for one involved counselling alone, aimed at increasing physical activity.26 Similarly, there was considerable heterogeneity among the combination interventions.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria also varied; of the 24 trials, 10 specified overweight or obesity as a requirement.16 18–20, 22 28 34–36 39 Diabetes status was sometimes an inclusion criterion16 17 but more often a reason for exclusion.18 29 22 24 28 29 32 The majority of trials included participants of both genders (age 18–75), with the percentage participation of each sex varying across the studies, although a minority included exclusively male36 or female35 subjects. Five interventions involving exercise also excluded those who were physically unable to complete the protocol.17 29 30 32 34 All but one of the interventions assessing exercise without dietary modification required participants to have been sedentary prior to the intervention.30 The trials took place in a wide range of geographical locations; however, only four studies specified ethnicity as an inclusion/exclusion criterion.16 17 20 33

By our review criteria, outcome measures always included changes in hepatic markers. However, associated or secondary outcomes varied markedly between studies, with some assessing only liver outcomes while others also reported data relating to weight, body mass index, glucose metabolism, cardiovascular fitness and other markers linked with NAFLD. The methods of diagnosing and measuring change in NAFLD were also heterogeneous, the most common being MRI/magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) in eight of the studies;16 18 19 23 27 28 33 38 five were based on liver biopsy,17 22 26 36 39 two on ultrasound and liver biochemistry24 31 and one on the Asian Working Party Guidelines for the diagnosis of NAFLD.30 40 One paper excluded those with simple steatosis and assessed only participants with steatohepatitis.34

Figure 1 Flow diagram showing identification and selection of relevant studies for inclusion in this systematic review.
## Table 1 Trials evaluating dietary intervention alone on NAFLD-related outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); country</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Age range; sample size (% male)</th>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Changes to outcome measures postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yamamoto (2007); Japan</strong></td>
<td>Low calorie (126 kJ/kg/d), low fat (20%), low iron (≤6 mg/d) and 1.1–1.2 g/kg/d protein</td>
<td>37–74; 27 (52%)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, TG, TC, GLC, Iron, FER, TS</td>
<td>↓ AST* ↓ ALT* ↓ WT* (4.8%) and ↓ BMI* 95 completed trial; NAFLD resolved in 5 cases (450 kcal group) and 10 cases (800 kcal group) NAFLD improved in 17 cases (450 kcal group) and 21 cases (800 kcal group) ↓ WT* 9.14% (450 kcal/day), ↓ 8.98% (800 kcal/day), and ↓ BMI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lin (2009); Taiwan</strong></td>
<td>VLCD of 450 kcal/day or 800 kcal/day</td>
<td>18–65; 132 (34%)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: NAFLD (ultrasound) resolution and improvement Other: WT, BMI, WC, FM, GLC, BP, TG</td>
<td>95 completed trial; NAFLD resolved in 5 cases (450 kcal group) and 10 cases (800 kcal group) NAFLD improved in 17 cases (450 kcal group) and 21 cases (800 kcal group) ↓ WT* 9.14% (450 kcal/day), ↓ 8.98% (800 kcal/day), and ↓ BMI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>De Luis (2010); Spain</strong></td>
<td>Low fat, low calorie (1500 kcal/day, 27% fat/53% CHO) or low CHO, low calorie diet (1507 kcal/day, 38% CHO/36% fat)</td>
<td>29–64; 162 (29%)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, BP, TC, HDL, LDL, TG, WC, WHR, GLC, INS, HOMA</td>
<td>↓ AST* ↓ ALT* ↓ WT* (3.9%) and ↓ BMI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Browning (2011); USA</strong></td>
<td>Low CHO (&lt;20 g/d) or low calorie (1200–1500 kcal/d)</td>
<td>33–57; 18 (27%)</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRS), AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, TC, TG</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 28% (low cal), 55% (low CHO); ↓ AST*; ↑ ALT§; ↓ BMI* ↓ WT* (4.9%) and ↓ BMI* ↓ AST* and ALT* in all groups; greatest reduction seen in the soy group. All diets saw ↓ WT*: 3.9%, 4.2%, 4.7%, respectively and ↓ BMI* Steatosis grade improved on both diets; more cases improved on DASH (80% vs 43%)* ↓ AST* ↓ ALT* with DASH not low cal ↓ WT* (3.0% low cal and 4.6% DASH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kani (2014); Iran</strong></td>
<td>Calorie restriction (200–500 kcal/day; 55% CHO, 15% protein, 30% fat) Calorie restriction, low CHO (45% CHO, 20% protein, 35% fat) Calorie restriction, low CHO + 30 g soy protein Calorie restriction (350–700 kcal) or Calorie restriction and DASH diet (rich in fruit, veg, whole grains and low fat dairy; low in saturated fats, cholesterol and sugar)</td>
<td>43–52; 45 (46%)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, INS, TG, TC, HDL, LDL, ALP, FIB, MDA</td>
<td>Steatosis grade improved on both diets; more cases improved on DASH (80% vs 43%)* ↓ AST* ↓ ALT* with DASH not low cal ↓ WT* (3.0% low cal and 4.6% DASH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Razavi Zade (2016); Iran</strong></td>
<td>Calorie restriction (350–700 kcal) or Calorie restriction and DASH diet (rich in fruit, veg, whole grains and low fat dairy; low in saturated fats, cholesterol and sugar)</td>
<td>25–75; 60 (50%)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: Steatosis grade (ultrasound), AST, ALT Other: WT, VLDL, LDL, HDL</td>
<td>Steatosis grade improved on both diets; more cases improved on DASH (80% vs 43%)* ↓ AST* ↓ ALT* with DASH not low cal ↓ WT* (3.0% low cal and 4.6% DASH)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant; §No statistical difference.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index (kg/m²); BP, blood pressure; CHO, carbohydrate; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; FER, ferritin; FIB, serum fibrinogen; FM, fat mass; GLC, glucose; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA, Homeostasis Model Assessment; IHTG, intrahepatic triglyceride; INS, insulin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MDA, malondialdehyde; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; TS, transferrin saturation; VLDL, very low calorie diet; VLDL, very low density lipoprotein; WC, waist circumference; WHR = waist:hip ratio; WT, weight.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); country</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Age range; sample size (% male)</th>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Changes to outcome measures postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnson (2009); Australia</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer 30–45 min 3x/week, increasing from 50–70% pretraining VO2 max</td>
<td>43–51; 23 (65%)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG, SI, ALT Other: WT, BMI, Cholesterol, IMTG, SAT, VAT</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 1.76% No significant change SI, ALT, WT, BMI ↓ VAT* ↓ ALT*, and ↓ AST* in all groups, greatest seen in moderate-intensity intervention ↓ WT* and ↓ BMI*, greatest in moderate-intensity intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St George (2009); Australia</td>
<td>Counselling sessions; low-intensity: 3 fortnightly consultations; moderate-intensity: 2 groups received 6 fortnightly consultation, with 1 group receiving additional telephone support</td>
<td>35–60; 141 (61%)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Primary: ALT, AST Other: WT, BMI, LDL, HDL, GLC, TC, TG, GGT, physical activity</td>
<td>↓ ALT*, and ↓ AST* in all groups, greatest seen in moderate-intensity intervention ↓ WT* and ↓ BMI*, greatest in moderate-intensity intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth (2011); UK</td>
<td>Resistance exercise 45–60 min, 3x/week</td>
<td>33–72; 21 (NS)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRS), ALT Other: WT, BMI, HC, WHR, TC, TG, HbA1c, VAT, SAT, FM, GLC, INS, HOMA-IR</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 13%; 3 participants moved from clinical NAFLD to normal (&lt;5% IHTG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan (2012); USA</td>
<td>Brisk walk 30–60 min, 5x/week</td>
<td>44–51; 18 (38%)</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRS), ALT Other: WT, BMI, FF, FFM, VO2 peak, TC, HDL, LDL, TG, FFA, VLDDL</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 10.3%, ↓ ALT* No change WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacchi (2013); Italy</td>
<td>Aerobic exercise (AER) at 60% VO2 max, 60 min 3x/week or, resistance exercises (RES) 60 min, 3x/week</td>
<td>54–58; 31 (70%)</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRI), ALT, AST Other: BMI, HbA1c, GGT, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, FFM, IATG, SAT</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* ~35% in both groups; hepatic steatosis resolved in 3 AER and 4 of RES participants ↓ BMI* in both groups No change ALT, AST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelber-Sagi (2014); Israel</td>
<td>Resistance training (RT) 40 min 3x/week or, stretching exercises 3x/week</td>
<td>35–57; 82 (53%)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Primary: HRI score (ultrasound), ALT, AST Other: WT, BMI, BP, GLC, INS, HOMA, TC, TG, HbA1c, HDL, LDL, GGT, FER</td>
<td>↑ HRI score* 11% in RT group ↓ WT* and ↓ BMI* compared with stretching No change ALT, AST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating (2015); Australia</td>
<td>Cycling ergometer and walking at either high intensity/low volume, 45 min 3x/week (HI/LO); low intensity/high volume, 60 min 4x/week (LO/HI); or low intensity/low volume, 45 min 3x/week (LO/LO)</td>
<td>25–59; 48 (35%)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRS), ALT, AST, SI, WT, BMI Other: WT, BMI, BP, WC, VO2 max, TG, TC, HDL, LDL, FFA, INS, GLC, VAT</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 2.4% (HI/LO), 2.6% (LO/HI), 0.8% (LO/LO) ↓ WT* 1.3% (HI/LO), 1.5% (LO/HI); ↓ BMI* (IHTG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldacci (2015); Italy</td>
<td>Low-intensity resistance and aerobic exercise 2x/week or; high-intensity resistance and aerobic exercise 2x /week</td>
<td>Age NS; 606 (58%)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT, FLI Other: BMI, VAI, GGT, TG, WC, HOMA-IR, HbA1c</td>
<td>↓ AST*, ↓ ALT*, in exercise and control groups ↓ FLI*, ↓ BMI*, ↓ VAI* in exercise group*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
Table 2 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary: Stratified ALT, AST</td>
<td>AST* in RES group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: FFA, ALT, AST, HOMA-IR</td>
<td>ALT* in both; greatest in AER group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, AST, ALT, IHTG</td>
<td>↓ BMI* in AER group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant.

**Exercise interventions**

Ten RCTs assessing the effects of exercise on NAFLD were identified from: Australia,18 19 26 UK,27 31 USA,28 Italy,16 17 Israel,29 and Japan.30 Interventions ranged from 4 weeks to 12 months (Table 2). Eight trials examined the effects of aerobic exercise, in comparison to a more sedentary lifestyle with only standard care implemented, resulting in improvements in NAFLD17 19 26 28 30 31 as measured by reduced levels of hepatic enzymes ALT and aspartate aminotransferase (AST). Six of the 10 interventions also measured and reported percentage change in IHTG content by either proton MRS (H-MRS)19 27 28 31 or MRI.16 18 This ranged from 1.76–48%, with the smallest percentage change being associated with the shortest duration intervention of 4 weeks,18 and the largest change being a trial of longer duration at 4 months.31 The interventions carried out by Bacchi et al31 and Cuthbertson et al31 were both 4 months (16 weeks) in duration with cohorts of similar age and both supervised in exercise achieving 48% and 35% reduction in % IHTG, respectively. However the Bacchi trial defined all three exercise sessions per week as supervised, and the Cuthbertson trial saw participants attend the gym weekly and were monitored through the wellness system which tracked exercise within designated facilities; so the results could be indicative of the beneficial role of direct supervision, in the form of personal trainers.

**Combination interventions**

Eight trials that assessed the effects of a combination of dietary and exercise interventions were identified from: Taiwan,20 Taiwan,20 Spain,22 USA,23 and Iran.24 25 Interventions were heterogeneous (Table 1) and ranged in duration from 2 weeks to 6 months. Notably five of the six dietary interventions reported liver enzymes and all saw reductions in these after intervention. All measured a weight loss from dietary changes, with most achieving 3.0–4.9%, with the exception of the VLCD trial carried out by Lin et al20 where participants only consumed 450 kcal/day or 800 kcal/day. This intervention saw larger weight losses of 9.14% and 8.98%, respectively; with 5 and 10 cases of NAFLD being completely resolved, as assessed by ultrasound. Three of the interventions measured NAFLD severity by ultrasound or nuclear magnetic resonance, and only Lin et al20 saw cases resolved; whereas a more moderate calorie restriction diet carried out by Browning et al25 saw NAFLD improvement with a 28% intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) reduction; and Razavi et al25 using a calorie-restricted, dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet resulted in 10 participants moving from grade III to II NAFLD and 20 from grade II to I, as assessed by MRS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); country</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Age range; sample size (% male)</th>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Changes to outcome measures postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen (2008); Taiwan</td>
<td>Hypocaloric diet 1200–1500 kcal/day and 1 hour 2×/week on stationary cycle (diet and exercise group, DPE); or exercise only group (E)</td>
<td>29–46; 54 (62%)</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: Steatosis grade (ultrasound), AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, WC, HC, TC, TG, HDL, LDL, GLC, INS, HOMA-IR, GGT, CVE, HR</td>
<td>↓ steatosis* grade both groups ↓ AST* and ↓ ALT*, greatest in DPE ↓ WT* and ↓ BMI both groups*, greatest in DPE group (6.3% vs. 0.9% in E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kantartzis (2009); Germany</td>
<td>Dietary counselling consisting of 10 sessions with dietitian and asked to perform 3 hours moderate exercise/week (e.g., walking, swimming)</td>
<td>19–68; 170 (41%)</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (MRS), AST, ALT Other: BMI, WC, FM, SAT, VAT, GLC, INS, VO₂ max</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 35.2%; 20 of 50 NAFLD cases were resolved at follow up ↓ AST*, and ↓ ALT* ↓ BMI*, and ↓ VAT*, ↓ NAS*, greatest change seen in intensive intervention (−2.4 vs. −1.4 in control) ↓ AST*, ↓ ALT*, (greatest change seen in intensive intervention)† See ↓ AST but no significant difference between the two treatment groups ↓ WT* (8.8% in intensive vs. 0.5%) and ↓ BMI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promrat (2010); USA</td>
<td>1000–1500 kcal/day (25% fat), moderate intensity exercise progressing to 200 min/week with weekly (first 6 months) then biweekly health education sessions; or basic education sessions (every 12 weeks) on healthy eating, physical activity and weight control</td>
<td>34–59; 31 (59%)</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>Primary: NAS (liver biopsy), AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, TG, LDL, HDL, GLC, INS, HbA1C, HOMA</td>
<td>↓ NAS*, greatest change seen in intensive intervention (−2.4 vs. −1.4 in control) ↓ AST* and ↓ ALT*, (greatest change seen in intensive intervention)‡ See ↓ AST but no significant difference between the two treatment groups ↓ WT* (8.8% in intensive vs. 0.5%) and ↓ BMI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues-Hernandez (2011); Mexico</td>
<td>Low calorie (30 kcal/kg/day), low CHO (27% protein, 28% fat, 45% CHO) plus 5 hours exercise/week; or an isocaloric, low fat (21% fat, 25% protein, 54% CHO) with same exercise</td>
<td>20–65; 59 (0%)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, FM, GLC, TC, TG</td>
<td>↓ AST* and ↓ ALT* in both groups, but no difference between diets. ↓ WT* (5.7% low cal, 5.5% low fat) and ↓ BMI* ↓ AST* and ↓ ALT* in diet plus exercise group ↓ BMI* in AER group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Jiffri (2013); Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>1200 calories/day (15% protein, 30–35% fat, 50–55% CHO) plus aerobic exercise 30 min 3×/week</td>
<td>35–55; 100 (100%)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Primary: AST, ALT Other: BMI, GGT, HOMA-IR, ALP</td>
<td>Continued</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); country</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Age range; sample size (% male)</th>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Changes to outcome measures postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eckard (2013); USA</td>
<td>Low-fat diet (20% fat, 60% CHO, 20% protein) with moderate exercise (20–60 min 4–7 days/week); moderate-fat/low CHO diet (30% fat, 50% CHO, 20% protein) with moderate exercise; or moderate exercise only</td>
<td>18–70; 41 (61%)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Primary: NAS (liver biopsy), AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, LDL, HDL, TG, ALP, INS, GLC</td>
<td>↓ NAS* in both diet and exercise combination groups (−1.3 low fat, −1.2 low CHO) ↓ AST§ and ↓ ALT* in all groups No significant change in WT among groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong (2013); Hong Kong</td>
<td>Weight loss diet supervised by dietitian weekly (4 months) then monthly (8 months) plus moderate-intensity aerobic exercise 30 min 3–5 days/week</td>
<td>42–60; 154 (46%)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Primary: IHTG (H-MRS), LS, AST, ALT Other: WT, BMI, WC, WHR, GLC, TC, HDL, LDL, TG</td>
<td>↓ IHTG* 54%, 49 of 77 NAFLD cases resolved (&lt;5% IHTG at 1 year) ↓ LS*, ↓ ALT* and ↓ AST§ ↓ WT* (7.9%) and ↓ BMI* ↓ ALT* and ↓ AST* in both groups ↓ WT* (4.4% M, 4.7% P) and ↓ BMI* No differences between M and P diets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aller (2014); Spain</td>
<td>Hypocaloric diet either high MUFA (M; 1342 kcal/day, 21% fat of which 67% MUFA); or high PUFA (P; 1459 kcal/day, 21% fat of which 55% PUFA); with aerobic exercise 60 min 3x/week</td>
<td>32–66; 306 (28%)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Primary: ALT, AST Secondary: WT, BMI, FM, WC, WHR, GLC, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, INS, HOMA, GGT</td>
<td>↓ ALT* and ↓ AST* in both groups ↓ WT* (4.4% M, 4.7% P) and ↓ BMI* No differences between M and P diets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant. §No statistically significant difference.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index (kg/m²); CVE, cardiovascular endurance; FM, fat mass, GGT, gamma glutaryl transferase; GLC, glucose; HbA1c, glycosylated haemoglobin; HC, hip circumference; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA, Homeostasis Model Assessment; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance Index; HR, heart rate; IHTG, intrahepatic triglyceride; INS, insulin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LS, liver stiffness; MUFA, monounsaturated fat; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NAS, NAFLD activity score; PUFA, polyunsaturated fat; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; VO₂ max, peak oxygen consumption (mL/kg/min); WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist:hip ratio; WT, weight.
Figure 2  American Dietetic Association (ADA) Quality Criteria Checklist carried out on the 24 eligible trials. (1) Clear Research Question; (2) Unbiased Selection of Participants; (3) Randomization/Group Comparability; (4) Description of Withdrawals; (5) Blinding; (6) Study Procedures Described; (7) Clearly Defined Outcomes; (8) Appropriate Statistical Analysis; (9) Results Support Conclusion; (10) Funding or Sponsorship Bias Unlikely. To be rated positive, each of criteria 2, 3, 6 and 7 must be met and the majority of 10 criteria overall. Any of criteria 2, 3, 6 and 7 not being met resulted in a neutral rating. The majority of criteria not being met results in a negative rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Overall Quality rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Yamamoto (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lin (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De Luis (2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Browning (2011)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kani (2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Johnson (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St George (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hallsworth (2011)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sullivan (2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacchi (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zelber-Sagi (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keating (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balducci (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takahashi (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cuthbertson (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>Chen (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kantartzis (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promrat (2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al-Jiffri (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eckard (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wong (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aller (2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of 10 weeks to 12 months with two exclusively studying women35 or men.36 They all used a combination of hypocaloric diet and regular aerobic exercise, although protocols varied with some also assessing the benefits of resistance exercise. A 9-month study by Kantartzis et al33 used dietary counselling and moderate physical activity recommendations, which achieved a 35.2% reduction in liver fat (assessed by MRS), and significant changes in hepatic enzyme levels; resulted in 40% of participants seeing NAFLD resolved. Similarly, in the larger cohort of Wong et al,38 154 patients given dietary counselling sessions with aerobic exercise advice over a year, there was a considerable percent change of 54% in IHTG. Other interventions reported the grade of NAFLD rather than %IHTG8 32 34 37 but all measured an improvement in their NAFLD grade or NAS. In the six of eight trials that reported changes in body weight over the course of their interventions, five studies showed weight losses of 4.4–8.8%; with Promrat et al34 showing the greatest losses in an intensive 48-week intervention.

Quality assessment
Using the ADA quality assessment criteria (figure 2), 13 of the 24 studies were rated as positive, high-quality trials.16–20 24 25 28 29 33 34 37 38 In eight of the trials, insufficient information was provided to make an informed decision regarding the adequacy of randomisation21–23 26 27 32 33 36 39 and one trial was described as ‘semi-random’.25 One trial rated neutral provided insufficient evidence of group comparability,36 two neutral trials had patients studied in two different centres20 31 and one provided insufficient information about the exercise arm of their intervention.35 A number of the trials were rated positive as they met criteria 2, 3, 6 and 7 of the checklist and the majority of the checklist overall, but there were some remaining concerns. In 10 of the trials there was insufficient description of the methods of handling withdrawals from the trial,21–23 26 27 32 33 36 39 nine did not provide information regarding blinding where appropriate,22–24 26 33 three provided no discussion of potential limitations23 26 36 and eight provided insufficient evidence regarding their funding source21–23 33 35 37 39 so that it was not possible to reasonably conclude that the results had not been biased as a result of the funding source. In summary, over 50% of trials were of high quality and those rated as neutral did have a number of positive points.

DISCUSSION
Overall, the evidence from the interventions reviewed suggests that weight loss of 5% in NAFLD or 7–10% in NASH is beneficial, and this should be achieved by a combination of moderate dietary restriction and 30–60 min of moderate-intensity exercise on 3–5 days per week. Moderate-intensity exercise requires moderate effort and noticeable acceleration of the heart rate with some increase in rate of breathing, but the person should still be able to hold a conversation. Moderate-intensity activities include brisk walking, taking stairs, using a cross trainer, gardening or vigorous housework. High-intensity exercise...
requires a large effort with substantial increase in heart rate and rapid breathing, for example, running or fast cycling; individuals are considerably less able to hold a conversation. The combination of diet and exercise appears to increase the likelihood of achieving the required weight loss and leads to greater improvements in markers of NAFLD activity; current guidelines reflect this.\textsuperscript{3, 4} Interventions which improve insulin resistance also improve markers of metabolic syndrome, and dietary restriction and exercise have been shown to improve insulin resistance in trials included in this review.\textsuperscript{16, 22, 24, 30, 32, 33, 39} However, the relationship may be more complex in that some individuals without insulin resistance or obesity develop NAFLD, and while NAFLD and metabolic syndrome have underlying pathology strongly related to insulin resistance, the relationship may be bi-directional.\textsuperscript{41}

The results of the dietary interventions included in this review suggest that reductions in body weight lead to significant improvements in NAFLD activity. NAS are calculated based on histological grading of patients’ liver steatosis, lobular inflammation and cellular ballooning. The higher the score the greater the NAFLD severity. Four of the trials\textsuperscript{21–24} achieved comparable weight losses of 4% using a moderately hypocaloric diet. The study involving the VLCD\textsuperscript{20} achieved a greater loss but these type of diets are a unique intervention in their own right and not intended to be a long-term lifestyle.\textsuperscript{42} Weight losses on VLCD are typically greater than the 10% recommended for maximal improvement in NAFLD,\textsuperscript{5, 6} but the risk of recurring weight gain is significant and weight loss maintenance is challenging in the long term.\textsuperscript{43} A low carbohydrate diet may improve NAFLD more than other forms of dietary restriction;\textsuperscript{23} however, evidence is mixed and studies comparing different dietary constituents showed no significant difference between low carbohydrate and low fat diets.\textsuperscript{22, 35, 37}

Exercise interventions on the whole resulted in more modest benefits; all of the trials achieved significant improvements in markers of NAFLD activity regardless of the intervention protocol and one\textsuperscript{16} noted that 23% of subjects experienced resolution of NAFLD. Most of the intervention protocols in this review involved 30–60 min of exercise on 3–5 days per week. The data demonstrate aerobic and resistance exercise are both effective. This is an important finding because resistance exercise is more readily available to those of the population most at risk of NAFLD, that is, those with overweight/obesity. Low cardiorespiratory fitness and numerous medical conditions are often concomitant with this population and can preclude aerobic exercise. Therefore performing resistance exercises, relying on body weight alone, can be effective first steps in improving NAFLD.\textsuperscript{36} However, while statistically significant, the magnitude of the improvement following exercise interventions appears to be less than in dietary interventions.

Combination interventions appear to be the most effective at improving NAFLD. Greater weight loss was observed in the interventions reviewed, with a range of 4.4–8.8% achieved in these studies.\textsuperscript{32, 34, 35, 38, 39} The two longest most intensive intervention trials reported the highest losses in body weight.\textsuperscript{34, 38} Al Jiffri et al\textsuperscript{46} did not report body weight but carried out an intervention of 5 months with 100 participants, that resulted in a 15% reduction in BMI; however reasons for this are unclear. At 1200 kcal/day, it was one of the more hypocaloric interventions, with an exercise protocol that was comparable to the other combination interventions. The all-male cohort may be a contributing factor; men lose more weight than women given the same physical activity intervention and are less likely to compensate for energy expended during exercise by increasing energy intake.\textsuperscript{45, 46} which may result in greater adherence to the dietary component of the intervention. However, the improvement in transaminases that they demonstrated is similar to those in studies achieving lesser weight reductions and 15% BMI losses are unlikely to be achievable in the clinical setting. All of the combination interventions noted a significant improvement in markers of NAFLD; while the interventions were varied in the details, they were broadly similar, measuring improvements in transaminases comparable with those achieved in the weight loss trials. However, transaminase levels do not provide as much information about NAFLD activity as imaging and histology, and are found to be normal in 80% of those with clinically diagnosed NAFLD.\textsuperscript{47}

Evidence that weight loss by energy restriction and exercise leads to an improvement in NAFLD, as discussed here, is consistent in that each of the trials included achieved a significant reduction in one or more markers of NAFLD activity. However, further clarification is needed; trials were varied in size and duration, and the heterogeneity of both these and the intervention designs produced equally diverse results. Such diversity limits the extent to which reliable conclusions can be drawn; nevertheless, evidence-based recommendations regarding weight loss and exercise for the management of NAFLD can still be made while acknowledging that some aspects currently remain incompletely understood. The ability to assess patients with NAFLD in the clinical setting is enhanced with more advanced imaging techniques and larger RCTs of longer duration are needed to further investigate the effects of lifestyle on NAFLD.

The mechanisms by which dietary restriction and increased exercise improve NAFLD are not fully understood. Weight loss and exercise are already known to improve other components of metabolic syndrome,\textsuperscript{48} so the finding that they also improve the hepatic component of this multiorgan disease is not entirely unexpected. Improvements in NAFLD without weight loss may be due to a change of body composition. During interventions involving exercise, fat mass will be lost and muscle mass will be gained, and these opposing changes can result in no overall effect on total body weight. It is possible that it is the fat loss which is important as
systemic fat loss is associated with a reduction in IHTG, or increased metabolic activity of new muscle mass confers benefits including increased resting metabolic rate. An alternative hypothesis is that NAFLD and obesity are inflammatory metabolic states and it is the anti-inflammatory effects of the exercise that lead to the improvements seen. In conclusion, this review has found that interventions involving a combination of dietary restriction and exercise, associated with weight loss, are effective in reducing NAFLD activity, in many cases leading to resolution of the condition. Patients with NAFLD should be advised to aim to lose 5–10% of their total body weight by eating a modestly hypocaloric (~500 kcal per day) diet and engaging in regular exercise for 30–60 min on 3–5 days per week. Dietary composition is probably less important than total energy intake, although a low-carbohydrate diet may be slightly more effective than the alternatives if it is acceptable to the individual. Aerobic and resistance exercise both appear to be equally effective, but less so alone without dietary intervention. Achieving this amount of weight loss is challenging in the existing obesogenic environment and clinical intervention is needed, either in a tier 3 multidisciplinary weight management clinic or via bariatric surgery, which is beyond the scope of this review. Tier 3 clinics are currently underdeveloped to meet existing need and an increase in this type of service is desirable, to support patients and reduce NAFLD progression.
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