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ABSTRACT

Inflammatory bowel disease is frequently associated with spondylarthritis (SpA). It has been discussed that α4/β7 expressing lymphocytes are involved in the aetiology of SpA. We report a case of a successful combination therapy of vedolizumab (VDZ) and etanercept (ETA) in a patient with ulcerative colitis with pouchitis and SpA. In our case VDZ was effective for pouchitis and ineffective for SpA. The combination with ETA might be a useful treatment strategy to control both diseases and first indications suggest that it is safe. α4/β7 Expressing lymphocytes are most likely not associated in the aetiology of SpA.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is frequently associated with independent inflammatory comorbidities including spondylarthritis (enteropathic seronegative spondylarthritis (SpA)), rheumatoid arthritis or psoriasis. SpA is one of the most frequent extraintestinal manifestations (EIM) in patients with IBD. About a third of patients with IBD suffer from arthropathies and in 5–12% SpA can be observed. Vice versa, about 7% of patients with SpA develop IBD during the course of their disease.

It has been discussed that α4/β7 expressing lymphocytes, as part of the gut-joint axis in IBD, are involved in the aetiology of SpA. Established treatments of SpA include glucocorticoids, sulfasalazine, azathioprine, methotrexate and TNF-inhibitors.

Pouchitis is the main complication after proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (IPAA) in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC). Most patients respond to antibiotic treatment. About 5–19% patients develop a chronic relapsing or treatment-refractory disease. For patients who suffer from chronic antibiotic refractory pouchitis some alternative immunosuppressive therapy options are successful (ie, anti-TNF biologics).

CASE REPORT

A 56-year-old man suffering from UC for 17 years was diagnosed with SpA 14 years ago. Symptoms of SpA developed parallel to manifestation of UC with lower back pain and morning stiffness.

The human leucocyte antigen B27-negative SpA presented with axial and peripheral involvement and initially showed clinical response on sulfasalazine and paracetamol. The 41-year-old patient underwent proctocolectomy with IPAA as a result of refractory pancolitis. Thereafter, he developed severe chronic refractory pouchitis. Subsequently various combinations of antibiotics, mesalazine, steroids and probiotics were...
administered with only transient response. Owing to increased joint pain and ongoing pouch inflammation treatment with a TNF-inhibitor adalimumab was initiated 3 years after surgery. While TNF inhibition led to control of SpA, activity of chronic pouchitis only partially improved. Despite several changes of treatment regime, especially switching to other TNF blocking agents, increase in dosage (consecutively infliximab, certolizumab pegol and golimumab) and combination with methotrexate no substantial clinical response was achieved. Owing to persistent pouchitis and a severe impact on his quality of life, inhibition of α4/β7-integrin was initiated with the therapeutic antibody vedolizumab (VDZ) at standard dose (300 mg at 0, 2 and 6 weeks) and anti-TNF therapy was stopped. The application intervals were continued every 8 weeks. After 6 weeks of therapy with VDZ, the clinical symptoms of pouchitis disappeared, but SpA substantially worsened with clinical enthesopathy, peripheral arthritis, significant vertebral pain, further stiffening, a rise in C reactive protein and a BASDAI-Index of 24. Therapy with TNF-inhibitor etanercept (ETA) (50 mg/week) was started in addition to VDZ.

After 20 weeks of VDZ endoscopic and histopathological assessment of the pouch revealed normal, uninflamed mucosa (figure 1). The additional application of ETA improved the joint symptoms after 1 week. After 4 weeks of therapy the BASDAI-Index decreased to 0. After 10 months of treatment the patient is still without any symptoms of pouchitis or joint pain. No side effects of the combination of α4/β7-integrin and TNF-inhibition were seen.

**DISCUSSION**

This case is the report of a successful combination therapy of VDZ and ETA in patients with refractory pouchitis and additional seronegative SpA. The precise aetiology of pouchitis is still unclear. Often pouchitis is because of a dysbalance of the microbial flora. Antibiotics are still the first-line therapy and patients with most acute pouchitis respond to antibiotic therapy. There are some retrospective studies, case series and case reports demonstrating the successful use of anti-TNF-agents (infliximab and adalimumab) in patients with refractory pouchitis. In a Spanish multicentre study, 31 patients with UC with chronic refractory pouchitis were treated with infliximab. After 8 weeks of treatment 21% of patients achieved complete response and 63% showed partial clinical response. Similar results have been reported in other studies. The response of pouchitis to immunomodulating therapies implies that not only dysbiosis, most likely also cytokines play a central role in the maintenance of pouchitis.

In our case VDZ was highly effective for the long lasting, refractory pouchitis. VDZ seems to be effective for maintaining remission as well. Especially for patients who suffer from chronic antibiotic refractory pouchitis and show a loss of response this seems to be an alternative therapeutic option. This presumption stands in line with results of other studies, which have shown that particularly patients with IBD who failed prior anti-TNF therapy showed good response to VDZ. There is no data in the recent literature on the safety and efficacy of using VDZ in the management of refractory pouchitis.

VDZ was ineffective for SpA in our patient, whose symptoms even worsened under VDZ intervention. The additional application of ETA resulted in full control of SpA. Theoretically, a delayed benefit from VDZ could have improved the patient after 6 weeks when the ETA was administered. However, one has to point out that SpA symptoms were absent when the patient was started on VDZ because of his refractory pouchitis and the SpA relapsed after the switch from anti-TNF to VDZ.

Rubin et al performed a post hoc analysis of the Gemini II study to investigate the effect of VDZ on EIM. These data did not show a significant benefit of VDZ over placebo for the treatment of EIMs including arthritis and SpA in IBD. However, this retrospective analysis was limited by the fact that EIM of IBD was not assessed systematically on quantitative or semiquantitative scales in the Gemini studies.

In the Gemini studies, arthralgia was one of the most common adverse events affecting patients who received VDZ. However, there was no significant difference of the incidences of arthralgia in comparison to the placebo group (9.0–9.1% in Gemini II, 13.5–13.1% in Gemini I). This could suggest that VDZ itself does not cause arthralgia in terms of a side effect but rather seems to have no benefits on IBD-associated arthralgia with exacerbation of joint symptoms.

Our case demonstrates that the combination of VDZ and ETA might be an option to control both diseases. Anti-TNF is highly effective in the treatment of IBD as well as in SpA. However, up to one-third of the patients develop a primary and over one-third secondary loss of response. In our case there was a secondary loss of response to anti-TNF regarding IBD but maintaining response regarding SpA. Switching to VDZ was associated with exacerbation of joint inflammation. Although there are considerable overlaps in the treatment of IBD and SpA, biological therapies can result in differential efficacies. Therefore, the combination of an anti-Integrin and an anti-TNF could be a feasible treatment strategy to control both entities. In conclusion ETA can be used as an add-on therapy for patients with refractory IBD who showed response to vedolizumab concerning intestinal inflammation but suffering from SpA or other joint manifestation.

Based on the differential response to VDZ, it appears unlikely that SpA and IBD are pathophysiologically related to α4/β7 positive lymphocytes as previously suggested. α4/β7 Expressing lymphocytes are most likely not associated in the aetiology of SpA. In contrast, previous studies assumed that aberrant homing of mucosal T
cells might play a role in the induction/perpetuation of arthritis in SpA. Further studies are needed to clarify these conflicting observations.

It is unlikely that ETA has an effect on mucosal inflammation in pouchitis. Other studies demonstrated that ETA is not effective for the treatment of patients with moderate-to-severe Crohn’s disease. Therefore, ETA can be only considered as an add-on therapy to VDZ in patients with IBD.

Our case report suggests that the combination of VDZ and ETA is safe. After 40 weeks of therapy no side effects were observed. This has been also suggested in other case reports. However, a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that monotherapy with anti-TNF agents as well as VDZ increase the risk of opportunistic infections in patients with IBD. Although no infectious complications were observed in our patient, larger studies should investigate the safety profile of combination therapy.
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