Hyperbaric oxygen therapy stimulates colonic stem cells and induces mucosal healing in patients with refractory ulcerative colitis: a prospective case series
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ABSTRACT

Background: Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) is used as part of treatment in a variety of clinical conditions. Its use in the treatment of ulcerative colitis has been reported in few clinical reports.

Objective: We report the effect of HBO on refractory ulcerative colitis exploring one potential mechanism of action.

Design: A review of records of patients with refractory ulcerative colitis who received HBO was conducted. Clinical and histopathological scoring was utilised to evaluate the response to HBO therapy (HBOT).

Results: All patients manifested clinical improvement by the 40th cycle of HBOT. The median number of stool frequency dropped from seven motions/day (range=3–20) to 1/day (range=0.5–3), which was significant (z=−4.6, p<0.001). None of the patients manifested persistent blood passage after HBOT (z=−3.2, p=0.002). The severity index significantly improved after HBOT (z=−4.97, p<0.001). Histologically, a significant reduction of the scores of activity was recorded accompanied by a significant increase in the proliferating cell nuclear antigen labelling index of the CD44 cells of the colonic mucosa (p=0.001).

Conclusions: HBOT is effective in the setting of refractory ulcerative colitis. The described protocol is necessary for successful treatment. HBOT stimulates colonic stem cells to promote healing.

BACKGROUND

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an inflammatory bowel disease characterised by colonic mucosal ulcers and a disturbing alteration of bowel habits.1 The disease is manifested in the active phase by increased frequency of bowel motions with or without lower gastrointestinal bleeding. These manifestations are reversed by induction of mucosal healing and subsiding inflammation.2 Genetic susceptibility,3 alteration of bacterial flora,4 immune dysfunction5 and abnormal cytokine production6 are implicated factors, among others,7 in the pathogenesis of UC. The 5-aminosalicylate acid (5-ASA) class of drugs is considered first line therapy as it induces remission in the majority of patients with mild and moderate disease.8 Patients with severe disease require adjuvant therapeutic lines involving corticosteroids and immune modulators.9 Although these medications are effective in many cases, in other cases, these lines are not effective.10 Moreover, they could have significant adverse effects particularly after long-term use.11

Hyperbaric oxygen (ie, the use of 100% oxygen inhalation in a pressurised room) has been in clinical use as a therapeutic option for a variety of medical conditions.12–14

Summary box

What is already known about this subject?

▸ Hyperbaric oxygen has been proposed as a therapy for several diseases.
▸ Hyperbaric oxygen has an anti-inflammatory effect.
▸ Hyperbaric oxygen might be useful in ulcerative colitis.

What are the new findings?

▸ Hyperbaric oxygen stimulates colonic stem cells.
▸ Hyperbaric oxygen seems be useful in the treatment of refractory ulcerative colitis.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

▸ This modality is readily available.
▸ It is inexpensive compared to other lines.
▸ It might have an additional benefit by replenishing the general condition of patients.
Nevertheless, the utility of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) to treat inflammatory bowel diseases did not receive attention until recently and its use in gastrointestinal conditions is not yet well established. Several experimental studies have been conducted on laboratory animal models with induced intestinal diseases such as ischaemia and inflammation. Among others, these experiments demonstrated the strong potential for the use of HBO in the treatment of various gastrointestinal conditions, with promising results. Only a few clinical studies have reported the use of HBOT in the treatment of UC. Stem cell activation was one of the proposed mechanisms of the action of HBOT.

**OBJECTIVE**

This study aims at presenting our clinical experience in the use of HBO for the treatment of refractory UC, investigating the status of colonic stem cells to delineate a possible mechanism of action for HBOT in this clinical setting.

**Study setting**

The study was conducted at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Alexandria, Egypt.

**METHODS**

In 1994, a woman with unresponsive severe UC was referred to undergo hyperbaric oxygen sessions to improve her general condition prior to a scheduled colectomy. After 40 sessions, the patient’s general condition improved remarkably along with complete remission of her colonic symptoms, therefore surgery was deferred. Thereafter, we systematically offered HBO sessions to patients with refractory UC.

After institutional review board approval (IRB), records of patients with refractory UC who were referred for HBOT were retrieved from a prospectively maintained cohort. Those with documented pre-therapy and post-therapy endoscopic and histopathological data were included in this study.

Between 1994 and 2011, 32 consecutive patients with refractory UC were treated with HBOT. We considered UC refractory when there was minimal or no response after 4–6 weeks of continuous standard medical therapy. Besides the dietary management based on a well-balanced plan, rich in protein, complex carbohydrates, whole grains and fats, our standard medical therapy was composed of an escalating regime of 3.2–4.8 g oral 5-ASA/day with a 4 g (5-ASA) enema/day. In more severe cases, 40–50 mg of oral methylprednisolone were given daily and tapered over 4–6 weeks along with 2–2.5 mg/kg/day of oral azathioprine. Patients with clinically more severe disease were given intravenous corticosteroids (60 mg prednisolone/day). Patients were judged to have refractory disease when no more than minimal clinical improvement, based on the Mayo Clinic Disease Severity Index, manifested after 4–6 weeks of maximal dose therapy.

HBOT was performed in two multiplaced hyperbaric chambers. The first chamber was a Sebi—a Gorman design built in England in 1973, with a 200 cm diameter—which was used until 2003. Thereafter, a second chamber, a Haux Starmed 2000—built in Germany in 2002, with a 200 cm diameter—was used. Patients were subjected to gradual compression and decompression at 2–3 psi/min (pounds per square inch) with air at the beginning and end of each session.

The total oxygen breathing time was 60 min with a 5 min air break at 30 min. The hyperbaric cycles were given at a pressure depth of 2.8 atmospheric absolute (ATA) (equivalent to 18 m). The sessions were repeated five times per week for eight consecutive weeks. All patients received their medical therapy contemporaneously with the hyperbaric sessions.

From 1 to 3 weeks after completion of the hyperbaric sessions, a follow-up colonoscopy was performed and multiple punch biopsies were taken from different areas during the examination. The majority of colonoscopic examinations were performed after colon preparation.

Endoscopic grading was assigned following scores described in the Mayo Clinic Grading Scale. We did not keep data on the severity of blood passage per anus, hence we only had information on the presence or absence of blood passage. Therefore we calculated the severity score index using 9 instead of 12 levels.

All endoscopic examinations were performed by a single consultant (YT) and the cohort data maintained by the senior author (EM).

**Morphometric analysis**

All morphological evaluations were performed by one of the authors (NB) blinded to the patients’ codes. At least 18 serial sections of every biopsy were examined and histopathological scores of activity given according to the adopted scoring system from Geboes. Scores were assigned to the different categories according to the worst area in the examined biopsy. A standard point-counting method was used to quantify CD44 positivity, using Steptovidin–peroxidase (ab64269, Abcam, USA), to estimate the number of stem cells in the mucosa. Towards that end, 12 consecutive non-overlapping fields were evaluated in quantification of CD44 positivity, using an Olympus microscope (CH 20 BIMF 200, Olympus, China) under a magnification of ×400. A total of 81×12 points (number of intersections in the grid used) were evaluated in each biopsy. All glandular profiles in the biopsy were point counted. All positively stained points falling on the grid’s cross-points were counted. Negatively stained glandular epithelial cells falling on the intersections of the counting grid were also counted.

The mean score per biopsy was calculated. The results are expressed as the percentage of positively stained points of the total number of points counted.
The proliferating cell nuclear antigen labelling index (PCNA/LI) was counted as a percentage of nuclei counted in a field of 0.15 mm of an ×400 magnification, using the following formula: number of positively staining nuclei/number of positively stained nuclei and number of negatively stained nuclei.22

During evaluation of the biopsy, only positively stained glandular epithelial cells with deeply stained nuclei were counted as positive. Fields with positively stained inflammatory cells, smooth muscle fibres or fibroblasts, were ignored.

Statistical analysis
The continuous data are expressed in mean±SD, and median and range, according to the normality of distribution test. The significance of differences between the clinical groups (before and after treatment) was determined by Wilcoxon signed rank test, and used for non-parametric paired data. Correlations between the different parameters were performed using the Spearman’s rank correlation test. A p value of <5% was considered significant. All analysis was performed using the statistical software package SPSS V.20 (IBM Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS
The median age of the included patients was 34.5 years (range 19–50). Fifty per cent of the patients were females. All the patients (100%) included in the present study who underwent HBOT therapy for refractory UC demonstrated a remarkably favourable clinical improvement as well as improvement in both, the endoscopic and histopathologic parameters used for assessment of the response to therapy.

Clinical evaluation
All patients manifested clinical improvement by the 40th cycle of HBOT. The median number of stool frequency in the pre-HBOT stage was 7 motions/day (range=3–20), which was significantly (z=−4.6, p<0.001) decreased to a median of 1 motion/day (range=0.5–3). None of the patients manifested persistent blood passage after the HBOT (z=−3.2, p=0.002).

Endoscopic evaluation and activity scoring
Endoscopic evaluation demonstrated a highly significant reduction of disease activity scores (z=−5.156, p<0.001). The pre-HBOT scores were (median=2, range=1–3) as compared with the post-HBOT scores (median=0, range=0–1) (figures 1–3). Table 1 summarises the patients’ disease severity scoring.

The median disease severity index was 7 (range=5–9) in the pre-HBOT assessment sheets, which was significantly decreased to a median of 0 (range=0–3) after the HBOT (z=−4.97, p<0.001).

Histopathological evaluation
Histologically, a significant reduction in the scores of activity was recorded and is summarised in table 2.

The chronic inflammatory infiltrate in the lamina propria (figure 4), the numbers of neutrophils infiltrating the lamina propria (figure 5) and the surface epithelium (figure 6), crypt destruction and surface erosions/ulcerations (figure 7), were observed. Signs of
chronicity as architectural distortion did not exhibit significant improvement (p>0.05).

Other signs of healing observed in the post-treatment biopsies included a significant increase in the degree of fibrosis of the lamina propria (figure 8), as well as restoration of the goblet cell component of the glands (figure 9).

Immunohistochemical determination of the numbers of CD44 positive stem cells in the colonic biopsies

PCNA labelled the nuclei of the epithelial cells and inflammatory cells as well as the fibroblasts. A significant increase (p=0.001) in the PCNA/LI was observed in the post-treatment biopsies as compared with the pretreatment biopsies (x=20.56±1.88, range=18–26 vs x=16.03±1.09, range=14–18, respectively) (figures 10 and 11).

CD44 positivity was observed in the membranes of the glandular epithelium. A significant increase (p=0.001) was observed between pretreatment and post-treatment biopsies; (x=6.56±0.5, range=6–7) in the pre-treatment (x=9.13±0.61, range=8–12) versus in the post-treatment biopsies (figure 12). This increase was accompanied by a significant increase in the PCNA/LI (p=0.001).

In the post-treatment biopsies, a significant correlation was detected between the PCNA/LI and CD44 positivity (r=0.86, p=0.001). Also, a significant correlation was seen between the scores of the erosions and the PCNA/LI (r=6.82, p=0.008) as well as, in an inverse pattern, between the scores of inflammation and CD44 positivity (r=−0.813, p=0.001).

DISCUSSION

Our series is one of the largest reported in the English literature, with documented mucosal healing in cases of UC treated with HBOT. In this study, the use of hyperbaric oxygen in the treatment of refractory UC proved to be effective. This adds to the accumulating evidence on the efficacy of HBOT in the treatment of UC.18

Table 1 Summary of patients’ disease severity index according to the Mayo Clinic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological feature</th>
<th>Median* (range) Pre-HBOT</th>
<th>Median* (range) Post-HBOT</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architectural changes</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.3)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic inflammation</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2–1.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (1–1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils in the lamina propria</td>
<td>2.15 (2–2.5)</td>
<td>2.05 (2–2.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in the lamina propria</td>
<td>2.1 (2.0–2.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0–2.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in the epithelium</td>
<td>3.3 (3.1–3.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (3.1–3.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypt destruction</td>
<td>4.3 (4.1–4.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (4–4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface erosions/ ulcerations</td>
<td>5.3 (5.2–5.3)</td>
<td>5.1 (5.0–5.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The median was used to express the central tendency instead of the mean rank, to facilitate interpretation by the reader.†p Value is estimated from Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Table 2 Histopathological changes of the mucosa induced by hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with refractory ulcerative colitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological feature</th>
<th>Median (range) Pre-therapy</th>
<th>Median (range) Post-therapy</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architectural changes</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.3)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic inflammation</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2–1.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (1–1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils in the lamina propria</td>
<td>2.15 (2–2.5)</td>
<td>2.05 (2–2.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in the lamina propria</td>
<td>2.1 (2.0–2.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0–2.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in the epithelium</td>
<td>3.3 (3.1–3.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (3.1–3.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypt destruction</td>
<td>4.3 (4.1–4.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (4–4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface erosions/ ulcerations</td>
<td>5.3 (5.2–5.3)</td>
<td>5.1 (5.0–5.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, it promotes mucosal healing, which is a primary target for all therapeutic regimens of UC.2

One of the recognised intermediate mechanisms in UC is mucosal hypoxia.23 This could be supported by the presence of reduced rectal blood supply in some affected individuals.24 Mucosal hypoxia increases the oxidative stress factors that lead to reduction of mitochondrial functions25 with further expression of inflammatory factors,26 which, in turn, reduces oxygenation through increased oedema formation. Hyperbaric oxygen is suggested to exert its effect through reducing the inflammation and promoting mucosal healing.27 28 It induces down regulation of the hypoxia-induced inflammation.29

One of the proposed mechanisms of action of HBOT is promoting mucosal healing by increasing the numbers of stem cells in the colonic mucosa. It promotes the differentiation of colonic stem cells, resident in the colonic mucosal crypts, and migration of bone marrow stem cells to the colonic mucosa,30 to aid in the repair process.

CD44 has been found to be a marker of stem cells activity in several organs, including the colon.31 32 In the present study, CD44 was found to be increased in post-treatment biopsies and to correlate with mucosal glandular epithelial cell proliferation and mucosal healing.

Ditschkowski et al33 reported the clinical improvement of UC symptoms following allogeneic stem cell transplant, which is supported by our findings. The influence of HBOT along with stem cell transplant is reported to be more profound than stem cell transplant alone, in an experimental study.34 In our study, it appears that the stimulation of stem cell activity plays an important role in the remission of disease activity. This is in line with the reported sentinel role of stem cells as an anti-inflammatory guardian,35 which was found to down regulate inflammation.36

The observed increase in the fibrosis score in post-treatment biopsies in the present study is another mechanism of action of HBOT. HBOT is reported to stimulate the proliferation of fibroblasts in a dose-dependent fashion.37 The deposition of fibroblasts in the different...
subepithelial layers could explain the insignificant change of the architecture despite the significant improvement of all parameters of the utilised histopathological scoring system.

On the other hand, there are reports of potential complications of HBOT. Simsek et al., in an experimental study, reported that repeated exposure to hyperbaric oxygen could lead to accumulation of oxidative stress in the lung tissue. A few complications have also been reported in clinical settings following hyperbaric therapy for clinical conditions other than UC.39 40

There were no adverse effects inflicted by HBOT following our therapeutic protocol. A low complication rate was reported in different clinical reports of UC treated with hyperbaric oxygen,41 42 and for other clinical conditions.43 Moreover, when compared with other
therapeutic lines for the treatment of refractory UC, no studies reporting on the clinical use of HBOT for UC reported any significant complications.\(^{18}\)

There was no unified therapeutic protocol in the published literature for the use HBOT in UC.\(^{44,45}\) Buchman et al.\(^{46}\) reported successful therapy in a single case at a pressure of 2 ATA. In our patients, we noticed that a pressure of 2.8 ATA was important for the therapy to be effective.

In the present study, we used two chambers for treatment delivery, as reported in the ‘Methods’ section of this paper. Treatment sessions at the older chamber (Sebi Gorman, 1973) were delivered at 2.8 ATA. At the beginning of the use of the newer chamber (Haux Starmed, 2000), treatments were delivered at a pressure of 2.5 ATA (equivalent to 15 m). Treatments given at this pressure were not as clinically successful as expected. On modifying treatment pressures to 2.8 ATA, the treated patients demonstrated significant improvement. Four patients were treated first with 2.5 ATA pressure protocol and were not included in this study, to avoid the possible influence of a synergistic dose effect. The heterogeneity of the reported therapeutic protocols could be attributed to the limited number of published cases, the variation in the definition of refractoriness and the severity of disease in each series as well as the different patient demographics.\(^{41,44,46}\)

Reduction in the cost of care has been demonstrated for other diseases treated with HBO.\(^{47}\) Although the same concept applies for refractory UC, no sufficient data are currently available to support this information for UC therapy. Nonetheless, it would be much cheaper when compared to relatively new therapeutic lines such as infliximab. Recent reports demonstrated the efficacy of infliximab in refractory UC.\(^{38}\) None of the patients in this report received this treatment.

The major caveat of this study is the non-controlled design. Nonetheless, our results are encouraging for future randomised controlled studies to be conducted to provide stronger evidence on the true value of hyperbaric oxygen in the treatment of refractory and even non-refractory UC, and to further explore the possible therapeutic mechanisms of HBO in the treatment of UC.

CONCLUSION
HBOT is effective in the setting of refractory UC. The described protocol is necessary for successful treatment. The mechanism of action of HBOT in treatment of refractory cases of UC involves stimulation of colonic stem cells to promote healing.
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