		Reviewer	R1	R2	R1	R2	R1	R2
AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Item	Guideline	SBH	SBH	ACG	ACG	EASL	EASL
_	1: The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described.	_	5	4	6	6	6	5
1: Scope & Purpose	2: The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described.		1	4	5	5	6	6
	3: The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described.		5	4	5	6	7	5
2: Stakeholder Involvement 3: Rigor of Development	4: The guideline development group includes individuals from all relevant professional groups.		5	4	3	6	6	7
	5: The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought.		1	2	2	1	1	2
	6: The target users of the guideline are clearly defined.		5	3	4	3	2	5
	7: Systematic methods were used to search for evidence.		1	2	6	6	2	3
	8: The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described.		2	3	3	5	2	3
	9: . The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described.		1	2	6	6	6	6
	10: The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described.		1	1	3	2	1	2
	11: The health benefits, side effects, and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations.		5	3	3	6	6	4
	12: There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence.		5	4	6	5	5	6
	13: . The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication		3	3	4	6	6	6
	14: A procedure for updating the guideline is provided.		1	2	2	2	1	2
4: Clarity of Presentation	15: The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.		5	4	5	6	7	7
	16: The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.		4	4	5	5	5	6
	17 :Key recommendations are easily identifiable.		5	4	6	7	7	6
5: Applicability	18: The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application.		1	3	3	1	4	5
	19: The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice.		3	2	3	5	6	6
	20: The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.		1	2	2	1	1	3
	21: The guideline presents monitoring and/or auditing criteria.		4	3	4	6	6	5
6: Editorial Independence	22: The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline.		7	6	6	7	4	4
	23: Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed.		7	6	6	7	7	6

Abbreviations: ACG, American college of gastroenterology; SBH, Brazilian society of hepatology; EASL, European association for the study of the liver. Domain scores calculated as instructed in AGREE II protocol.[26]